BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:)	
)	R12-23
CONCENTRATED ANIMAL FEEDING)	
OPERATIONS (CAFOs): PROPOSED)	(Rulemaking- Water)
AMENDMENTS TO 35 ILL. ADM. CODE)	RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE
PARTS 501, 502, AND 504)	CLERK'S OFFICE
		SFP 1.7 2012

NOTICE OF FILING

STATE OF ILLINOIS

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have filed today with the Illinois Pollution Control Board ILLINOIS EPA'S MOTION TO CORRECT TRANSCRIPT, a copy of which is herewith served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Joanne M. Olson Assistant Counsel

Division of Legal Counsel

DATED: September 12, 2012

1021 N. Grand Ave. East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276 (217) 782-5544

THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ON RECYCLED PAPER



SEP 17 2012 BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

STATE OF ILLINOIS WILDER CONTRIBERSOF:)	
Politice.)	R12-23
CONCENTRATED ANIMAL FEEDING)	
OPERATIONS (CAFOs): PROPOSED)	(Rulemaking- Water)
AMENDMENTS TO 35 ILL. ADM. CODE)	
PARTS 501, 502, AND 504)	

ILLINOIS EPA' MOTION TO CORRECT TRANSCRIPT

NOW COMES the ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (Illinois EPA), by and through its counsel, and pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 101.604 hereby submits this motion to correct the hearing transcripts of August 21, 2012 as follows:

- 1. Hearing transcript page 30, line 21, "5.308" should be changed to "308."
- 2. Hearing transcript page 53, line 15, "stay us" should be changed to "stay with us"
- 3. Hearing transcript page 75, line 14, "of water of the state" should be changed to "to waters of the state."
- 4. Hearing transcript page 83, line 15, "have that" should be changed to "have what."
- 5. Hearing transcript page 84, line 19, "water CAFO case" should be changed to "Waterkeeper case."
 - 6. Hearing transcript page 84, line 20, "NPDC" should be changed to "NPPC."
- 7. Hearing transcript page 106, line 13, "IDPH list on the LMFA" should be changed to "IDPH list or the LMFA."
 - 8 Hearing transcript page 110, line 24, "you in" should be changed to "you and"
 - 9. Hearing transcript page 112, line 1, "NBCC" should be changed to "NPPC."
- 10. Hearing transcript page 140, line 21, "a paper done by paper by" should be changed to "a paper done by."
- 11. Hearing transcript page 144, line 14, "Russell 2" should be changed to "RUSLE2."
 - 12. Hearing transcript page 144, line 15, "diversion" should be changed to "erosion."

13. Hearing transcript page 144, line 18, "ejection appropriation" should be changed to "injection or incorporation."

WHEREFORE, the ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY respectfully requests that this honorable Hearing Officer grant its motion to correct the transcript.

Respectfully submitted,

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Joanne M. Olson

Assistant Counsel

Division of Legal Counsel

DATED: September 12, 2012

1021 N. Grand Ave. East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276 (217) 782-5544

THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ON RECYCLED PAPER



STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control BEARTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Joanne M. Olson, Assistant Counsel for the Illinois EPA, herein certifies that she has served a copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF FILING and ILLINOIS EPA'S MOTION TO CORRECT TRANSCRIPT upon persons listed on the Service List by mailing, unless otherwise noted on the Service List, a true copy thereof in an envelope duly addressed bearing proper first class postage and deposited in the United States mail at Springfield, Illinois on September 12, 2012.

Joanne M. Olson

1021 N. Grand Ave. East

P.O. Box 19276

Springfield, IL 62794-9276

(217) 782-5544

THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

SERVICE LIST

CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 1 7 2012

Matthew Dunn, Chief Division of Environmental Enforcement Office of the Attorney General 69 West Washington Street, Suite 1800 Chicago, IL 60602

Mitchell Cohen General Counsel Illinois Department of Natural Resources One Natural Resources Way Springfield, IL 62702-1271

Brett Roberts United States Department of Agriculture 2118 West Park Court Champaign, IL 61821

Illinois State University Campus Box 5020 Normal, IL 61790-5020

Jeff Keiser Director of Engineering Illinois American Water Company 100 North Water Works Drive Belleville, IL 62223

Stephanie R. Hammer Brown, Hay & Stephens, L.L.P. 700 First Mercantile Bank Building 205 South Fifth Street P.O. Box 2459 Springfield, IL 62705-2459

Illinois Beef Association 2060 West Iles Ave Suite B Springfield, IL 62704 Warren Goetsch
Illinois Department of Agriculture
P.O. Box 19281
801 East Sangamon Avenue
Springfield, IL 62794-9281

Illinois Department of Public Health 535 West Jefferson Springfield, IL 62761

Matt Robert United States Department of Agriculture 2118 West Park Court Champaign, IL 61821

Alec M. Davis Illinois Environmental Regulatory Group 215 East Adams Street Springfield, IL 62701

Marvin Traylor Executive Director Illinois Association of Wastewater Agencies 241 North Fifth Street Springfield, IL 62701

Claire A. Manning Brown, Hay & Stephens, L.L.P. 700 First Mercantile Bank Building 205 South Fifth Street P.O. Box 2459 Springfield, IL 62705-2459

Tim Maiers Director of Industry & Public Relations Illinois Pork Producers Associate 6411 S. Sixth Street Frontage Rd. East Springfield, IL 62707 Jim Kaitschuck
Executive Director
Illinois Pork Producers Associate
6411 S. Sixth Street Frontage Rd. East
Springfield, IL 62707

Bart Bittner Illinois Farm Bureau 1701 N. Towanda Ave P.O. Box 2901 Bloomington, IL 61702

Jim Fraley
Illinois Livestock Development Group
1701 N. Towanda Ave
P.O. Box 2901
Bloomington, IL 61702-2901

Karen Hudson Families Against Rural Messes, Inc. 22514 West Claybaugh Rd Elmwood, IL 61529-9457

Jack Darin Sierra Club 70 East Lake Street Suite 1500 Chicago, IL 60601-7447

Danielle Diamond Illinois Citizens for Clean Air and Water 3431 W. Elm Street McHenry, IL 60050

Brian J. Sauder Central Illinois Outreach & Policy Coordinator 1001 South Wright Street Room 7 Champaign, IL 61802 Nancy Erickson Illinois Farm Bureau 1701 N. Towanda Ave P.O. Box 2901 Bloomington, IL 61702

Paul Cope Illinois Farm Bureau 1701 N. Towanda Ave P.O. Box 2901 Bloomington, IL 61702

Jim Fraley
Illinois Milk Producers Association
1701 N. Towanda Ave
Bloomington, IL 61701

Ann Alexander Senior Attorney, Midwest Program Natural Resources Defense Council 2 N. Riverside Plaza, Suite 2250 Chicago, IL 60606

Stacy James Prairie Rivers Network 1902 Fox Drive Suite G Champaign, IL 61820

Kendall Thu Illinois Citizens for Clean Air and Water 609 Parkside Drive Sycamore, IL 60178

Esther Liberman League of Women Voters of Jo Davies County Interested Party 815 Clinton Street Galena, Il 61036 Jessica Dexter Staff Attorney Environmental Law and Policy Center 35 East Wacker Drive, Ste. 1300 Chicago, IL 60601

Arnie Leder 1022 N. 40th Road Mendota, IL 61342

Tim Fox Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center 100 W. Randolph Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Albert Ettinger 53 W. Jackson Suite 1664 Chicago, IL 60604

I. Ronald Lawfer 14123 Burr Oak Stockton, II 61085

Shari L. West General Counsel Illinois Department of Agriculture P.O. Box 19281 801 East Sangamon Avenue Springfield, IL 62794-9281

Electronic Service Per Agreement:

Virginia Yang Deputy Legal Counsel Illinois Department of Natural Resources One Natural Resources Way Springfield, IL 62702-1271

Laurie Ann Dougherty
Executive Director
Illinois Section of American Water Works
545 South Randall Road
St. Charles, IL 60174
(email Sandi McGinnis)

Ted Funk
Extension Specialist
University of Illinois Extension
332 E. Ag. Eng. Science Building
1304 W. Pennsylvania Ave
MC-644
Urbana, IL 61801

William D. Ingersoll Brown, Hay & Stephens, L.L.P. 700 First Mercantile Bank Building 205 South Fifth Street P.O. Box 2459 Springfield, IL 62705-2459

Kim Knowles Prairie Rivers Network 1902 Fox Drive Suite G Champaign, IL 61820

Lindsay Record Executive Director Illinois Stewardship Alliance 401 W. Jackson Parkway Springfield, IL 62704

Page 1

BEFORE THE

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

CONCENTRATED ANIMAL FEEDING
OPERATIONS (CAFOS): PROPOSED
AMENDMENTS TO 35 ILL. ADM. CODE
PARTS 501, 502 AND 504

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET R12-23

RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

AUG 2 8 2012

STATE OF ILLINOIS
Pollution Control Board

Hearing held, pursuant to notice, on Tuesday,
August 21, 2012 at the hour of 10:00 a.m. at 1021
North Grand Avenue, Springfield, Illinois, before
TIM FOX, duly appointed Hearing Officer.

L.A. REPORTING COMPANY, LLC (312)419-9292

REPORTER: LAUREL A. PATKES, CSR #084-001340

```
Page 2
 1
     BOARD MEMBERS:
          TOM HOLBROOK, Chairman
         CARRIE ZALEWSKI
 3
          JENNIFER BURKE
         DEANNE GLOSSER
 4
         THOMAS JOHNSON
 5
     BOARD'S TECHNICAL UNIT:
 6
         ANAND RAO
 7
         ALISA LIU
     APPEARANCES:
          DEBORAH J. WILLIAMS & JOANNE OLSON
10
         Assistant Counsel
         1021 N. Grand Ave. East
11
         Springfield, Illinois 62794
12
                         appearing on behalf of IEPA;
13
         BROWN, HAY & STEPHENS, LLP, by
         CLAIRE A. MANNING
         205 S. Fifth St.
14
         Suite 700
15
         Springfield, Illinois 62705
16
                         appearing on behalf of
                         Agricultural Coalition;
17
         RYAN D. GAMMELGARD
18
         LAURA A. HARMON
         Office of the General Counsel
19
         1701 Towanda Avenue
         Bloomington, Illinois 61702
20
                         appearing on behalf of Illinois
21
                         Agricultural Association;
22
23
24
```

```
Page 3
 1
     APPEARANCES: (CONT'D.)
 2
         KIM KNOWLES
         Staff Attorney
 3
         1902 Fox Drive
         Suite G
 4
         Champaign, Illinois 61820
 5
                         appearing on behalf of Prairie
                         Rivers Network;
 6
         JESSICA A. DEXTER
 7
         Staff Attorney
         Environmental Law & Policy Center
 8
         35 E. Wacker Drive
         Suite 1600
 9
         Chicago, Illinois 60601
10
               -and-
         DIAMOND & LeSUEUR, P.C., by
11
         DANIELLE J. DIAMOND
12
         3431 W. Elm Street
         McHenry, Illinois 60050
13
                         appearing on behalf of
14
                         Environmental Groups.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

			Page 4
1	INE) E X	
2			PAGE
3	COMMENTS BY:		ПОП
4	Dereke Dunkirk		12
5	Dale Hadden Christos Gegas		14 18
6	Jim Braun Paul Rice		21 25
7	Opening statement by Deborah	n Williams	28
8	QUESTIONING OF EPA PANEL:		36
9	By Ms. Manning		
10	Comments by Senator Sam McCa	inn	49
11	QUESTIONING OF EPA PANEL: By Ms. Manning (Cont'd.)		54
12	By Ms. Dexter By Ms. Knowles		73 120
13	By Mr. Rao		186
14	Narrative Testimony by Jim K	(aitschuk	193
15	EXHIE	BITS	
16	NUMBER	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
17	1 thru 3	32	32
18	9	61	61
19	10	70	71
20	11	78	79
21	12	82	82
22	13	83	84
23	14	85	86
23	15 & 16 17 & 18	87 99	88 99
24	19 & 20 21	185 192	186 192

thru 8 34

	Page 5
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	HEARING OFFICER FOX: Good morning,
3	everyone. My name is Tim Fox, and I'm the hearing
4	officer for this rulemaking proceeding which is
5	entitled Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations, or
6	CAFOs, Proposed Amendments to 35 Illinois
7	Administrative Code Parts 501, 502 and 504. The
8	Board docket number for this proceeding is R12-23.
9	I first want to note that
10	present today from the Board is at my immediate left
11	the Board's Chairman, Tom Holbrook, who is the lead
12	board member on this proceeding.
13	At his left is Board Member
14	Carrie Zalewski and at her left is Board Member Tom
15	Johnson.
16	Starting at my far right we
17	have Board Member Jennifer Burke and Board Member
18	Deanna Glosser, and at my immediate right are the
19	Board's Technical Unit, Mr. Anand Rao and Ms. Alisa
20	Liu.
21	The Illinois Environmental
22	Protection Agency, or IEPA, initiated this
23	rulemaking by filing a proposal with the Board on
24	March 1st of 2012, and in an order dated March 15th,

- the Board accepted that proposal for hearing.
- Today we are, of course,
- 3 holding the first hearing on this rulemaking. We
- 4 will be hearing testimony and questions today based
- 5 upon the IEPA's proposal, and there will be also an
- opportunity to offer testimony on the Board's
- 7 request that the Department of Commerce and Economic
- 8 Opportunity, or DCEO, perform an economic impact
- 9 study of it.
- In an order dated March 23,
- 11 2012, the hearing officer directed participants
- wishing to prefile testimony for the first hearing
- to do so on or before June 19th, and on June 18th,
- the Board received prefiled testimony from the IEPA
- by Mr. Bruce Yurdin, by Mr. Sanjay Sofat, and
- 16 Mr. Dan Heacock.
- On June 19th, the Board also
- 18 received prefiled testimony from Mr. Jim Kaitschuk
- on behalf of the Illinois Agricultural Coalition
- which indicates that that coalition includes the
- 21 Illinois Pork Producers Association, the Illinois
- Beef Association, the Illinois Milk Producers
- 23 Association and the Illinois Farm Bureau as well.
- The March 23rd hearing officer

- order also directed participants to prefile written
- 2 questions based on any of that prefiled testimony no
- later than July 17th, and on July 17th, the
- 4 Agricultural Coalition prefiled written questions
- for the Agency.
- 6 Later that same day, the Board
- 7 received prefiled questions from the environmental
- groups, and that text indicated that the group
- 9 includes Prairie Rivers Network, Illinois citizens
- 10 for Clean Air and Water, the Natural Resources
- Defense Council, and the Environmental Law and
- 12 Policy Center.
- 13 Also on July 17, 2012, a Board
- 14 hearing officer order included in an attachment
- questions on behalf of the Board based upon the
- 16 Agency's testimony. That hearing officer order on
- March 23rd directed any participant whose testimony
- elicited questions to prefile written answers no
- later than August 14, 2012, and on that date, the
- Board did, of course, receive the Agency's written
- 21 responses to the three sets of prefiled questions on
- behalf of the Agricultural Coalition, the
- 23 Environmental Groups, and, of course, the Board
- 24 itself. The Board has posted this prefiled

- 1 testimony and the questions and answers to its
- clerk's office online, or COOL.
- 3 Although the March hearing
- 4 officer order had intended to begin today with the
- 5 Agency's prefiled testimony, we have had appearing
- 6 today a few persons who would like to offer a public
- 7 comment, and in discussing procedural matters with
- 8 the chief participants before the hearing, it was
- 9 readily agreed that in the interest of their time,
- 10 it would make sense to begin with those comments.
- I have five persons who have
- indicated by signing in that they would like to
- offer written comments of three to five minutes, and
- we will begin in a few moments with those so that
- those folks are not required to wait until the end
- of the testimony and questions to offer those.
- 17 Then we will turn to the
- 18 Agency's prefiled testimony, and, again, with the
- 19 chief participants, we have worked out the
- 20 procedural issue of this order. Under
- Section 104.424(f) of the Board's procedural rules,
- that prefiled testimony will be entered into the
- 23 record as if read, but the agency that wishes to do
- so can certainly begin with a brief summary or

- introduction before we turn to the questions that
- 2 that testimony elicited.
- Those questions will be
- 4 directed to the agency's witnesses, and based on the
- order in which they were filed, we will turn first
- 6 to the Agricultural Coalition to determine whether
- 7 they have any follow-up questions based on the
- 8 agency's prefiled answers. We'll attack those
- 9 simply one by one to see whether the written answer
- is satisfactory or whether there is a follow-up
- 11 question.
- Next we would provide the same
- opportunity to the environmental groups, again,
- proceeding one-on-one to determine whether they had
- any follow-ups, and then the Board will pose its own
- follow-up questions after those two sets have been
- 17 fully addressed.
- 18 After that, we can turn to
- Mr. Kaitschuk who, of course, did prefile testimony
- on behalf of the Agricultural Coalition. I do
- stress that his testimony did not elicit any
- 22 prefiled questions, but we could give him the same
- opportunity to make a brief introduction or summary
- and respond to any questions based on that testimony

- 1 that he filed.
- 2 After those questions, we can
- 3 see whether there is anyone who did not prefile
- 4 testimony but may like to testify here today. I did
- 5 provide a sign-in sheet at which people could
- indicate that they wish to do so. Just before
- 5 beginning hearing, I noticed no one had so
- indicated, so I do not expect to have testimony that
- 9 was not prefiled to deal with in the course of this
- 10 hearing today.
- 11 As time allows, after we have
- completed all of that testimony, we can determine
- whether any additional person or persons have
- appeared and wish to offer a comment, and we can
- make every effort to accommodate them before we
- 16 adjourn for the day.
- 17 Before I move on, are there
- any questions about our order of proceeding or any
- other procedural issues?
- Neither seeing nor hearing
- any, I want to cover a couple of quick points, the
- first of which that this proceeding is governed by
- the Board's procedural rules. All information that
- is relevant and that is not repetitious or

- 1 privileged will be admitted into the record.
- Second, I would also note that
- any questions posed today by Board members or the
- 4 Board staff are intended only to assist in
- 5 developing a clear and complete proposal and do not
- 6 reflect any predetermination on it.
- 7 Finally, on behalf of our
- 8 court reporter, please make every effort to speak as
- 9 loudly and clearly as you can and avoid speaking at
- the same time as another person so that the record
- 11 can be as clear as possible.
- In the event that we need to,
- we do have a microphone and a public address system
- 14 connected so that if anyone has a soft voice or
- 15 would like to make sure that they are heard, we can
- 16 certainly employ that as necessary.
- 17 Is there anything else before
- we begin?
- I have, as I mentioned, a list
- of five persons who have indicated that they wish to
- begin by offering a brief public comment. Let me
- 22 start by seeing if they are here.
- 23 Is there a Mr. Dunkirk in the
- 24 room? He is here.

- And second, Mr. Hadden also
- 2 present.
- Mr. Gegas -- am I pronouncing
- 4 that correctly me -- also present.
- Is it Mr. Braun or Brown?
- 6 B-r-a-u-n I believe.
- 7 MR. BRAUN: Either way.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 And a Mr. Rice, am I correct
- that he is here as well? Very good.
- 12 If there are no questions at
- all before we begin, Mr. Dunkirk why don't we just
- have you step up to the microphone here that's
- available for you, and if you would offer a comment
- of three to five minutes, we would appreciate your
- doing so.
- 18 MR. DUNKIRK: Dereke Dunkirk;
- D-e-r-e-k-e D-u-n-k-i-r-k.
- Good morning. My name is
- Dereke Dunkirk. I'm the president of the Illinois
- Pork Producers Association. I own and manage a
- 23 diversified crop farm with 4500 contract
- wean-to-finish spaces with my wife, children, and

- 1 parents in Morrisonville, Illinois just south of
- 2 here in Christian County.
- First of all, I'd like to say
- 4 that farmers are committed to protecting our natural
- 5 resources. We support research programs that help
- 6 us capture, treat, and recycle the viable nutrients
- found in the manure produced on our farms.
- 8 This manure is applied in ways
- 9 with the utmost care to make sure that we properly
- 10 balance the amount of nutrients in the manure with
- 11 the amount the crops can take up.
- 12 I'd also like to say that the
- Pork Producers, the Farm Bureau, Illinois Beef, and
- 14 Milk Associations have been working with the EPA for
- 15 several years to clarify the requirements of the
- NPDES permit program and have been negotiating with
- the Agency to bring a common sense approach to the
- 18 permit requirement and, ultimately, improving the
- 19 environmental performance.
- 20 The coalition has a common
- interest in ensuring that the CAFO rules are easily
- 22 understood so that compliance is achieved. At the
- end of the day, we wish to ensure that the Board's
- rules are economically reasonable and technically

- 1 sensible and feasible.
- The NPDES permit is not a
- 3 permit that allows us to pollute. Illinois farms
- are held to a zero discharge standard, and that will
- 5 remain the same even with NPDES permits.
- Based on federal court
- 7 rulings, this rule and permit only applies to
- 8 discharging CAFOs and does not mean that an
- 9 accidental discharge will require a permit when the
- 10 CAFOs design, construction, operation and
- maintenance are established to not discharge.
- 12 And in closing, I'd just like
- to ensure that the Illinois rule parallels that of
- the federal rule since Illinois has delegated its
- authority for this rule from the federal government
- in addition to the already established Livestock
- 17 Management Facilities Act established by the
- 18 Illinois General Assembly.
- Thank you.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Thank you,
- 21 Mr. Dunkirk.
- We're ready, Mr. Hadden, for
- your comment at this point.
- MR. HADDEN: My name is Dale Hadden

- 1 (D-a-l-e H-a-d-d-e-n. I operate a grain and
- livestock farm in Jacksonville, Illinois just west
- of here with my family, my parents and my two
- 4 brothers.
- In addition to raising corn,
- 6 soybeans, wheat, and alfalfa hay, we also raise
- 7 swine and cattle.
- I also sit on the Illinois
- 9 State Farm Bureau Board of which there are 20
- 10 directors from around the state.
- I would like to start by
- saying that no one is more dedicated to preserving
- the importance of our natural resources and
- 14 protecting the environment than farmers. As a
- member of our local communities, our families work
- and live in those communities. The quality of
- water, air and land are of great importance to all
- of us just as they are to all the Illinois citizens.
- 19 Farmers are committed to
- 20 environmental responsibility on their farms. Not
- only do we implement best management practices, we
- work proactively to protect the soil, water, and
- work with regulatory officials to ensure that the
- 24 goal is met.

Livestock	farmers	drink	the

- 2 same water, breathe the same air that their
- neighbors do. Farmers want to protect and sustain
- the environment for their families, their
- 5 communities, the future generations so their
- 6 children may have things as good or better than they
- 7 do today.
- There are strict standards in
- 9 place to ensure nutrient management that we respect,
- support and abide by these standards. Although our
- farms look different than they did in the past, we
- are firmly committed to the values that have guided
- 13 Illinois farmers for generations. Safe food,
- quality animal care and sound environmental
- practices are what we preach. Farmers are committed
- to protecting our viable resources supporting
- 17 research programs that help us better capture, treat
- and recycle the valuable nutrients from manure
- 19 produced on our farms.
- These methods in which these
- 21 nutrients are applied are done with the utmost care
- so that we use the proper balance by what is removed
- by the crops that we are growing on the land.
- Livestock farmers are a very

- important part of the Illinois economy. Livestock
- 2 production in Illinois directly creates three and a
- 3 half billion dollars of economic activity and
- 4 employs over 25,000 Illinois citizens.
- 5 In addition to supporting
- 6 livestock production, these livestock producers also
- 7 allow grain farmers, feed mills, meat processors,
- 8 dairy processors and other associated businesses in
- 9 Illinois to thrive.
- These combined economic
- impacts on livestock production and processing in
- 12 Illinois is over \$27 billion or five percent of the
- state's economy. To maintain a vibrant agriculture
- industry in Illinois, these regulations must be
- economically reasonable and technically sensible and
- 16 feasible.
- The Illinois rule regarding
- NPDES permits for CAFOs should parallel the federal
- rule. Illinois has delegated the authority for this
- regulatory program from the federal government to
- 21 provide clarity for farmers on rules that they must
- 22 adhere to. The Illinois regulations should mirror
- the federal CAFO rule.
- The Livestock Facilities Act,

- or LMFA, must also be respected by the rule. The
- 2 LMFA is a law prescribed by the General Assembly
- 3 through these three different revisions of the act
- 4 to govern the construction and pollution prevention
- 5 standards for livestock farms in Illinois.
- All farmers, including myself,
- 7 have the responsibility to adapt best management
- 8 practices that protect our natural resources and
- 9 limit any environmental impact regardless of the
- size of the farm or the number of animals that we
- 11 raise.
- 12 I thank you for the
- opportunity to offer these comments regarding the
- importance of these livestock rules to the State of
- 15 Illinois producers.
- Thank you.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Hadden,
- 18 thank you for your time.
- We are ready for Mr. Gegas.
- 20 Am I pronouncing your name correctly?
- MR. GEGAS: No.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: My apologies.
- MR. GEGAS: Christos Gegas;
- C-h-r-i-s-t-o-s G-e-g-a-s.

- 1 Thank you for this opportunity
- to speak. I really appreciate it.
- My name is Christos Gegas, and
- 4 I'm representing Rural Residents For Responsible
- 5 Agriculture, a nonprofit group based in west central
- 6 Illinois. We're a group of grain farmers and cattle
- farmers as well as private citizens who live out in
- 8 the country.
- I live in Eldorado Township in
- McDonough County, Illinois. I live with my wife on
- a piece of her family's farm that dates back to the
- turn of the century.
- I believe that we are
- 14 representative of many people who love their home,
- who love their beautiful rural home, but are
- currently facing what seems to be a plague of
- pollution for concentrated animal feeding
- operations, better known as CAFOs, that are
- 19 threatening our way of life.
- 20 Our home is downstream from
- 21 multiple CAFOs including Eagle Point, LLC that
- 22 confines tens of thousands of animals in a small
- area of land that cannot handle the waste produced.
- Many of these facilities are currently being sued by

- the attorney general for extensive water pollution.
- 2 As a result, the beautiful
- 3 creek that borders our property, Sugar Creek, is on
- 4 the EPA 303D list as high priority for fecal
- 5 coliform pollution. In the meantime, children in
- 6 our area cannot play in the waters of Sugar Creek
- 7 that were formerly pristine when my wife grew up on
- 8 this land.
- 9 Examples of this water
- 10 pollution taken from the Attorney General suit
- include...and I'm quoting here from the lawsuit...
- "a manure stream approximately two feet wide and 200
- yards long flowing into state waters, discolored
- lakes smelling like swine waste and 90,000 gallons
- of waste leaking into a local creek."
- This pollution can be
- 17 prevented in one easy step. Require responsible
- waste management practices and regulatory oversight
- for all large CAFOs before they construct or begin
- operations, not just those CAFOs that have
- documented discharges as is being proposed in the
- 22 rulemaking.
- 23 All large CAFOs should be held
- to the same standards and should be required to

- 1 produce and submit waste management plans to the
- 2 Agency to ensure that they are adequate. This way,
- we residents and the public can be assured that they
- 4 have the amount of land necessary to apply the
- 5 manure. Otherwise, there will be a continued
- 6 pattern of facilities producing more waste than they
- 7 have land to apply it on.
- 8 Waiting until our rivers and
- 9 streams are already damaged before having regulatory
- requirements kick in is untenable. It's like
- 11 closing the barn door after the manure is already
- 12 out.
- Thank you.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Thank you,
- sir, and we are ready, Mr. Braun, for you.
- MR. BRAUN: Jim Braun; J-i-m
- B-r-a-u-n).
- I want to thank the Board for
- the opportunity to speak today, and I have always
- said that I wasn't going to get back involved with
- this issue.
- Since I've come to Illinois
- for the last seven years, I have been working to
- build a local food system here in Illinois for

- economic development, job creation, rural/urban
- 2 revitalization, public health and emergency
- preparedness reasons, and there's a growing, ever
- qrowing demand for locally, Illinois produced meat,
- 5 dairy and poultry, and the current trench war that
- 6 is taking place between the industry and the
- 7 citizens needs to stop. We need to find a solution
- 8 to this so that responsible producers can expand,
- 9 and that's why I'm here today.
- 10 My history is very entrenched
- in CAFOs. In 1970, my family and I, with the
- innovators of confinement technology in north
- central Iowa, we built 104 sow farrowing houses, and
- within four years, all of our hogs were on slatted
- 15 floors and under aluminum roofs.
- By the mid '80s, we were the
- largest privately owned and operated hog confinement
- in the State of Iowa marketing over 12,000 head of
- 19 finishing hogs a year.
- The uniqueness of our
- operation was that we were located in my hometown
- 22 city limits a quarter of a mile from downtown Main
- 23 Street, an eighth of a mile from the ball
- 24 diamond/city park, and an eighth of a mile from the

- city's pride, its golf course, and I don't need to
- 2 explain to anyone here today the negotiations that
- 3 had to take place to keep that many hogs that close
- 4 to neighbors and try to maintain peace. It was not
- 5 an easy job.
- In 1991, I had a fish kill
- 7 created by one of my employees who made a mistake
- 8 that killed 7,000 fish in a three-mile stretch of a
- 9 little stream. It cost me \$8,000 that I paid the
- 10 people of the State of Iowa very willingly because I
- 11 had killed their fish.
- 12 I served with the rulemaking
- process of the USDA on the rule that you are working
- 14 with now, and then Governor Tom Vilsack of Iowa
- appointed me as a commissioner on the EPC, the
- 16 Environmental Protection Commission, which is the
- same as the board here in Illinois which you serve
- on, so I understand your dilemma in the midst of
- 19 this problem.
- 20 My suggestion, my two cents
- worth, which probably isn't worth half that, comes
- from a quote from the Apostle Paul in First Timothy
- 1:9. He said, "The law is not made for the
- righteous but for the lawless and the disobedient."

Page 24

1 And in the midst of this

- 2 struggle that is taking place not only in Illinois
- 3 but all across the nation, I kind of felt like I've
- 4 been in the middle of it having spent by life as a
- 5 cable operator but also seeing the other sides and
- 6 trying to balance the needs of neighbors, and it's I
- 7 think essential that you as a board find the balance
- 8 to put in today's language what the Apostle Paul
- 9 I believe was saying.
- 10 When I'm driving to Chicago or
- 11 Carbondale on Interstate 55, if I'm doing 65 miles
- an hour, I don't mind if I see a patrolman with a
- radar gun. I can even being do 72 if I've got my
- speedometer set by my navigator. They don't bother
- me at all. In fact, I like seeing them there
- because there are some people who drive drunk and
- others who, if there was not a law, would drive 110
- miles an hour, and these folks will endanger the
- 19 life of responsible people.
- 20 As you are putting in place
- 21 this law, keep in mind that there are those of us
- who would like to expand livestock and poultry
- 23 production who are in the middle of the
- 24 entrenchment, and there needs to be a balance that

- is struck between the rights of neighbors to
- 2 maintain their property values and quality of life
- and the ability for those who want to begin
- livestock, poultry and dairy production in the State
- of Illinois to do so without burdensome regulations,
- 6 and that balance must be found in order to stop this
- 7 trench war and peaceably expand livestock poultry
- 8 and dairy across the State of Illinois.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Thank you,
- 11 sir.
- 12 And that brings us to Mr. Rice
- for his comment.
- MR. RICE: Good morning. Paul Rice
- 15 (R-i-c-e). I'll take a breath. I'm not a very good
- speaker so bear with me folks. I'm just a common
- farmer who has a handful of cows out west of town,
- Springfield. My wife calls it my hobby, and I have
- 19 to agree with her at times.
- 20 It's a passion of mine. I've
- grown up on a small farm in central Illinois. I
- 22 know a lot of farmers. I work in agriculture real
- estate appraisal work. I travel central Illinois
- 24 managing grain operations.

1 We see a lot of good folks or	L	We see	a lot	of	good	folks	Ol
---------------------------------	---	--------	-------	----	------	-------	----

- there in the country, a lot of folks who are trying
- to do the right job trying to make a profit in these
- 4 difficult times.
- 5 A friend of mine who has
- 6 raised livestock, hogs, and what would be considered
- 7 a CAFO takes a test every year for spreading his
- 8 manure. He's only been audited, I guess that's the
- 9 proper term, one time over the 15 years. He feels
- that it's overregulated, but yet at the same time he
- 11 doesn't feel it's wrong.
- 12 There's a lot of rules on the
- books if we just enforce what we have, and we need
- to have standards that will be equivalent throughout
- the area that work with the federal standards.
- There's dairymen that have
- been drawn to the southwest in past years because of
- economics, and they've traveled there. There's been
- issues where they've started to close because of
- 20 economics, and there have been individuals who have
- 21 come back through central Illinois to continue on to
- Indiana, Michigan, Wisconsin, to set up their
- livestock operations.
- 24 We need standards that will

- 1 keep people here in our state so we can grow the
- 2 agriculture as we would like for it to.
- Thank you for your time.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER FOX: And,
- 5 Mr. Rice, thank you for your comment as well. That
- 6 brings us to the end of the folks who had signed in
- 7 indicating that they wish to offer a comment.
- 8 Have we overlooked anyone or
- 9 is there anyone who has not been able to sign in
- 10 this sheet?
- Neither seeing nor hearing
- anyone who wished to, it's my understanding that
- Senator McCann is en route, and we will certainly
- make every effort to accommodate him when he does
- arrive for a brief comment, but he is not present
- here yet, and we will move on with the course of the
- 17 hearing.
- I do want to make one quick
- note before we do go on.
- The Agency has graciously made
- 21 available through Kathy who is sitting there with
- 22 the boxes in the second row of the tables some
- copies of the documents that were prefiled, the
- testimony and responses to questions. I know that's

- not a limitless supply, but we do appreciate the
- Agency making those available so that if anyone is
- 3 in attendance and would like to follow along with
- 4 those documents, I believe Kathy would be the right
- 5 person to check so that she can produce it from
- 6 those large boxes that are in front of her.
- 7 And rather than wait for
- 8 Senator McCann, why don't we go ahead and begin with
- 9 the Agency. If it is time, Ms. Williams, to do so,
- 10 we can swear in the three witnesses that you have
- prefiled testimony for and begin with any
- introduction or summary that the Agency would like
- to provide before beginning.
- And if we could swear in the
- 15 Agency's witnesses. There's three gentlemen who are
- here at the head table.
- 17 (Whereupon the witnesses were
- sworn by the reporter.)
- MS. WILLIAMS: I think I'll do a
- 20 brief opening statement if that's all right, and
- we'll proceed without summarizing the testimony.
- Good morning. My name is
- Deborah Williams, and I represent Illinois EPA in
- this proceeding, R12-23, In the Matter of

- 1 Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations Proposed
- 2 Amendments to 35 Illinois Administrative Code Parts
- 3 501, 502 and 504.
- 4 Also representing the Agency
- 5 in this proceeding and sitting to my immediate right
- 6 is Joanne Olson.
- 7 The Agency filed this proposal
- 8 to amend the existing agriculture-related pollution
- 9 regulations in Subtitle E of the Board's Water
- 10 Pollution Regulations on March 1st of this year.
- 11 This proposal was developed in response to two
- federal rulemakings, the first being in 2003 and the
- second in 2008, establishing revised requirements
- for NPDES permits and effluent limitations for
- 15 CAFOs.
- Under the Clean Water Act and
- accompanying regulations, Illinois has an obligation
- to update its regulations within one year of the
- 19 federal amendments to maintain consistency of its
- NPDES program with the federal program and to
- 21 maintain delegation of that program. Due to the
- complexity of the regulatory requirements and the
- ongoing litigation in the federal courts, the Agency
- 24 was not able to complete the obligation to develop a

- 1 proposal for consideration by the Board until this
- 2 year.
- 3 The proposed changes were
- 4 developed to conform Subtitle E to the revised
- federal NPDES regulations and to adopt the required
- technical standards that were mandated in the 2003
- 7 and 2008 CAFO rule but have been left to Illinois
- and the other states to develop and implement.
- In general, the Agency's
- 10 proposal seeks to first adopt provisions from the
- 11 federal rule; second, adopt technical standards
- required by the federal rule; and third, to amend
- those provisions of the existing regulations that
- may conflict with the federal CAFO rule and
- potentially cause the Illinois rules to be less
- stringent than the federal rule.
- A proposal that did not fit
- into any of these three categories would be proposed
- 19 Section 501.505 addressing registration of CAFOs as
- would have been required by proposed USEPA
- 21 regulations under 5.308 of the Clean Water Act.
- In support of its proposal,
- the Agency filed testimony in this proceeding from
- three technical witnesses. Those three witnesses

- 1 compose today the Agency witness panel, and they are
- 2 to my far left Sanjay Sofat, manager of the Division
- of Water Pollution Control, to my immediate left,
- Dan Heacock, permit manager for the Facility
- 5 Evaluation Unit, and to my far right Bruce Yurdin,
- the manager of the Facility Operations Section.
- 7 The Agency's witness panel
- 8 participated together in the development of the
- 9 responses to the prefiled questions submitted by the
- 10 parties and the Board, so while certain questions
- 11 may have been directed at specific witnesses as a
- result of their testimony, the Agency directed
- responses to the most appropriate witness and will
- direct follow-up on the Agency's responses
- 15 accordingly.
- 16 That's all I have this
- morning. At this time, we can move to presenting
- the testimony as if read if that's okay with you.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Yes. It's
- certainly entered into the record as if read under
- the Board's procedural rules as I have mentioned.
- I have seen perhaps an
- indication from Ms. Olson that she may wish to
- introduce some of those documents as hearing

were admitted into evidence at

HEARING OFFICER FOX: And I would

this time.)

further note that those have been posted to the

21

22

23

24

- Board's website under the clerk's office online
- since shortly after their filing, and again, the
- 3 Agency I believe has made copies of those available
- 4 to those who might wish to take a look at them.
- 5 Let me clarify again for the
- 6 record that it's the testimony of Mr. Sofat that is
- 7 Exhibit No. 1. It is the exhibit of Mr. Yurdin that
- is Exhibit No. 2, and thank you for your patience
- 9 while I mark these, and finally, of course, the
- testimony of Mr. Heacock as Exhibit No. 3 in this
- 11 proceeding.
- 12 Any other motions or exhibits,
- Ms. Olson, Ms. Williams?
- MS. OLSON: We also have exhibits
- for our prefiled answers if the Board would like to
- 16 entertain that at this time.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Considering
- that as a motion to admit them, do you have numbers
- corresponding to those, Ms. Olson?
- MS. OLSON: I do.
- In our prefiled answers, we
- have Attachment 1 which was Illinois EPA's answers
- to prefiled questions of the Illinois Pollution
- 24 Control Board. We'd like to admit that as

- 1 Exhibit 4.
- The Illinois EPA's answers to
- 3 prefiled questions for the Illinois Agricultural
- 4 Coalition we'd like to admit as Exhibit 5.
- 5 The Illinois EPA's answers to
- 6 the prefiled questions of Environmental Groups
- 7 directed to Sanjay Sofat we'd like to admit as
- 8 Exhibit 6.
- 9 Illinois EPA's answers to
- 10 prefiled questions of Environmental Groups directed
- to Bruce Yurdin we'd like to admit as Exhibit 7.
- 12 And the Illinois EPA's answers
- to prefiled questions of Environmental Groups
- directed to Daniel Heacock as Exhibit 8.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Having heard
- 16 the motion to admit those documents as those exhibit
- numbers, is there any participant who has an
- objection to their admission into the record at this
- 19 hearing?
- Neither seeing nor hearing
- any, Ms. Olson, the motion is granted, and they will
- be entered into the record according to the exhibit
- 23 numbers that you have provided.

	Page 36
1	QUESTIONING OF EPA PANEL
2	BY MS. MANNING:
3	The first question I believe
4	we raised to Mr. Bruce Yurdin, and it involved
5	inspection of livestock facilities.
6	Bruce sitting right here on my
7	left, this should be easy kind of questions and
8	answers, but at any rate, Bruce, you indicate in
9	question 1(b), Does the IEPA plan to inspect
10	unpermitted facilities, and, if so, under what
11	circumstances, you state that unpermitted facilities
12	will remain a priority as will our response to
13	citizen complaints.
14	And my only question there is
15	how does the Agency evaluate a citizen complaint in
16	terms of whether it ought to engage the Agency's
17	resources to go and do an inspection? Is there any
18	evaluation that's done when someone calls and makes
19	a complaint?
20	Is it different whether the
21	complaint is as to odor or whether the complaint is
22	as to an allegation that there is a release in the
23	waters of the United States for example?

MR. YURDIN: We try and gather as

- 1 much information as we can over the phone if
- 2 possible or through e-mail if that's the way it came
- 3 to us. It's somewhat more limited if it comes to us
- 4 in writing since we can't naturally correspond
- 5 quickly for that complaint.
- The type of information we're
- 7 looking for would depend on, of course, whether it
- 8 was an odor complaint, a wastewater complaint or
- 9 something else, and that can often happen too. It's
- often the case that there's more than one thing
- 11 going on at one time at one site.
- 12 You asked about the different
- types of information we'd be looking for other than
- just the normal name, location, that type of thing,
- whether there was a fish kill involved if there was
- a water pollution complaint. Those are the basic
- types of information we'd be looking for.
- 18 You also I believe were asking
- about what criteria the Agency would use, and I
- think that some of that basic information is
- 21 relative to odor, relative to a wastewater discharge
- 22 that we'd be looking for. Also the size, nature and
- type of operation that we'd be looking at once we
- 24 got into the field.

1 Thank you. MS. MANNING: 2 As a sort of follow-up to that 3 question, and in light of your answer to No. 1(c), we asked about notification of the producer when the Agency does an inspection, and you indicated that 5 6 the Agency's protocol is to notify the producer 7 except in emergency situations. It reads, "The Agency's biosecurity procedures specify that Agency 8 9 staff must contact the producer prior to the 10 inspection (except for emergency situations) to 11 discuss the producer's biosecurity requirements." 12 Two parts to the follow-up. 13 One is, does the Agency have written protocols in 14 terms of the inspection notification procedures, 15 and, if so, would you be willing to put those into 16 the record? 17 And secondarily, how does the 18 Agency determine whether it's an emergency procedure 19 that requires that the producer not be notified and 20 you just go on the producer's territory without 21 notification? 22 Yes, we do have MR. YURDIN: 23 written biosecurity procedures that are part of our 24 standard operating procedures for inspection.

- 1 I don't know about the
- willingness of the Agency to submit those so I'll
- 3 turn to the legal counsel at this point.
- MS. WILLIAMS: I think if the Board
- 5 found it useful for the entire standard operating
- 6 procedure to be put into the record for this limited
- 7 purpose, we certainly would be willing to do that if
- 8 that's the board's request.
- 9 MR. RAO: I had the same question,
- that we'd like to see what those procedures are.
- MR. YURDIN: Very well.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. We will
- follow up with that after the hearing.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER FOX: And,
- Ms. Manning, if I may interrupt just for a second.
- We will set a deadline in
- 17 consultation with the parties for the filing of
- post-hearing comments and filing of responses to
- requests of that nature, but we can leave that open
- for the time being.
- And, Ms. Manning, thank you
- for that interruption.
- I believe actually,
- Mr. Yurdin, you were in the middle of a response.

- 1 We can return to you.
- MR. YURDIN: Yes, I was.
- I believe the remainder of the
- 4 question had to do with what constitutes an
- 5 emergency situation and why we'd be providing prior
- 6 notification in one set of circumstances and not
- 7 provide prior notification in say an emergency
- 8 situation.
- 9 The prior notification has a
- 10 lot to do with the biosecurity arrangements that we
- feel we need to make prior to stepping onto a
- livestock property, and so making the contact with
- the producer or the owner or the operator, someone
- who is in charge and who has working knowledge of
- the operation just to give us information that we
- need just to get onto the property is I think
- 17 critical, and that's what's covered in part with our
- 18 biosecurity protocol.
- The emergency situations are a
- little bit different. Obviously, we may have reason
- to get there and get there rather quickly, to get
- onto the site rather quickly, to take samples if
- necessary, to take photographs, to gather evidence.
- Sometimes that does not allow us to make contact

- with people who may or may not be at the same
- location that we need to be.
- 3 So I would say that's
- 4 primarily the difference between a routine
- 5 inspection and making contact in an emergency
- 6 situation and attempting to make contact but perhaps
- 7 not being able to do so.
- MS. MANNING: Thank you.
- 9 MR. RAO: May I ask a follow-up
- 10 question?
- MS. MANNING: Sure.
- MR. RAO: Mr. Yurdin, you mentioned
- how the Agency responds to complaints that you
- 14 receive.
- 15 Are most of the inspections
- always done in response to the complaints or does
- the Agency have routine inspections based on a
- 18 certain schedule?
- 19 MR. YURDIN: All of the above. We
- do respond to complaints as I just indicated to
- Ms. Manning's questions. We also have routine
- inspections, so it's a combination of both.
- 23 MR. RAO: And would these routine
- inspections be mostly for permitted facilities?

- 1 THE WITNESS: No. Most of the
- facilities we visit are not permitted, so most of
- 3 the inspections we make at this stage are for
- 4 nonpermitted facilities.
- 5 MR. RAO: Thank you.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Manning,
- 7 did that exhaust your follow-up questions?
- 8 MS. MANNING: It did. I'm ready to
- 9 move to question 2.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER FOX: I did not
- 11 mean to rush you.
- MS. MANNING: That's all right.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: If you're
- 14 ready, please do.
- MS. MANNING: Thank you for your
- 16 answers, Mr. Yurdin.
- 17 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
- MS. MANNING: In paragraph 2(d), we
- asked the Agency to identify what part of the
- 20 proposed rules are derived from the existing
- 21 livestock management regulations that are
- regulations of the Illinois Department of
- 23 Agriculture pursuant to the Livestock Management
- Facilities Act, and the answer is that proposed

- 1 Section 502.505 and 502.510, and 502.515 are not
- 2 derived from existing livestock management
- 3 regulations.
- So I guess my question is, are
- 5 any of these regulations derived directly from the
- 6 Livestock Management Facilities Act, and, if so,
- 7 which of those regulations.
- And secondarily, how did the
- 9 Agency review and what did the Agency consider when
- 10 it reviewed the Livestock Management Facilities Act
- 11 regulations in conjunction with the development of
- 12 these rules?
- MS. WILLIAMS: So these questions,
- I don't know if they were directed at anyone in
- particular...
- MS. MANNING: No, they weren't.
- MS. WILLIAMS: ...but we were going
- to direct follow-up on these questions to
- 19 Mr. Heacock.
- MS. MANNING: That's fine.
- MR. HEACOCK: Can you repeat the
- last part of that question?
- MS. MANNING: The last part of the
- question, and perhaps Ms. Williams wants to answer

- it as well, the question is when the Agency
- developed these packages of rules, what kind of
- 3 consideration did it give to the existing rules of
- 4 the Livestock Management Facilities Act?
- MR. HEACOCK: Well, we were aware
- of the LMFA when we adopted these rules, and we used
- 7 it as guidance for part of the requirements, but the
- 8 main emphasis was the federal regulation as to what
- 9 we needed to include in NMP.
- MS. MANNING: Then a follow-up to
- that question is, can the Agency testify that these
- 12 rules are completely consistent with the rules of
- the Livestock Management Facilities Act or are there
- any inconsistencies that the Agency is aware of?
- 15 MS. WILLIAMS: I think that
- question calls for a legal conclusion that I'm not
- sure the witnesses are in a position to answer. I
- mean, generally I think, from the legal side, I
- think the Agency can say that the two acts have
- separate statutory mandates and both must be
- 21 followed.
- 22 MS. MANNING: All right. I'll
- leave that for now. Thank you.
- We can move on to question 3,

- and on question 3, you were asked based on a
- 2 statement you made on page 4 where you discuss the
- 3 terms of the NMP as provided in the approach used by
- 4 the livestock producer can be reviewed by the
- 5 Illinois EPA during an on-site visit. You then
- state, "The diversion of clean water, to use the
- same example, would be an important factor if our
- 8 field review of discharges or a potential to
- 9 discharge were observed." And we asked a question
- related to the potential to discharge, and I just
- want to clarify that on the basis of the statement
- of reasons presented by the Agency and, Mr. Yurdin,
- I believe in your testimony or perhaps in
- Mr. Sofat's testimony as well, the Agency has an
- understanding that a potential to discharge pursuant
- to federal case law is not a triggering event that
- then would require an NPDES permit; that there has
- to be an actual discharge.
- 19 So I just want to confirm that
- 20 that is the Agency's position despite the fact that
- there was testimony in your testimony as to a
- 22 potential for discharge.
- 23 MR. YURDIN: Yes, we understand
- that case law, and I think we tried, we've attempted

- in the answer to 3(b) primarily in this case to
- 2 address that question.
- MS. MANNING: Okay. Thank you.
- 4 The next question then goes to
- 5 3(c) and involves the Agency's proposal that allows
- 6 the Agency to develop a designation in Section
- 502.106 that the facility needs an NPDES permit, and
- 8 my question is to ask you to clarify what happens
- 9 when the producer disagrees with the Agency's
- determination that a permit is needed.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Are you asking him
- about No. 7 now? Where are you asking about 3(c)?
- MS. MANNING: It actually,
- 14 Ms. Williams, is in 3(c). It might also be in No.
- 15 7.
- 16 You answer in 7(c) that the
- 17 producer may appeal the Agency's determination to
- the Board once the permit has been issued, and I
- 19 guess I don't quite understand that.
- 20 If the question is that a
- 21 permit is needed, is that not a final determination
- of the Agency that makes the producer act that then
- is appealable to the Board, or does the producer
- have to go through the entire permit process, get a

- 1 permit, and then what is it that he would be
- 2 appealing.
- I quess those are my
- 4 questions.
- MS. WILLIAMS: We may direct this
- 6 to Sanjay to respond.
- 7 MR. SOFAT: This is Sanjay
- 8 (S-a-n-j-a-y).
- 9 I think that designation is
- not the final -- this is just the first step in the
- process because you can still show that we have
- 12 fixed the problem that caused the Agency to
- designate in the first place.
- So I think the Agency's final
- 15 action is more consistent when we have issued or
- denied the permit, and that's why we are taking the
- position that only when the Agency has gone through
- all the steps, designation requiring them to fix the
- problem, and if the problem still exists and then
- requiring them to seek a permit, it makes sense to
- 21 appeal the Agency's decision. Otherwise, it's an
- intermittent step that may or may not be final.
- MS. MANNING: I understand that in
- the context of an enforcement action, and you

- indicate in your answers, in some of your answers
- that that's how it would work in an enforcement
- 3 action.
- I don't understand it if the
- 5 inspector goes to an unpermitted facility and says
- 6 we believe that you need a permit and makes a
- 7 designation and there's no enforcement action
- 8 pending.
- 9 Am I to assume that maybe that
- would not be the case because the only reason the
- 11 Agency would make a designation would be if there is
- 12 a discharge, and if there is a discharge, there
- would also then be an enforcement action.
- So is the Agency's line of
- thinking the only time it would make a designation
- is with a producer who is in enforcement?
- 17 MR. YURDIN: Yes, that would most
- 18 likely be the case. That would be the circumstances
- under which that would happen, and I think following
- the sort of legal rational stepwise fashion which
- the Agency would use to both correct and follow
- 22 through its enforcement procedure, the appeal of
- that decision would logically come at the end of
- that process, not somewhere in the middle of that

- 1 process.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Manning,
- I understand that Senator McCann has just arrived.
- If it would benefit you to take a moment or two.
- 5 MS. MANNING: Thank you. Perfect.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER FOX: We could have
- 7 Senator McCann offer his comment if he's prepared to
- 8 do so.
- 9 Senator, we'll give you a
- 10 chance to catch your breath.
- We've got a microphone set up.
- 12 If you're prepared to begin offering your comments,
- we are ready for you to do so.
- 14 SENATOR McCANN: My apologies for
- my tardiness this morning. I apologize.
- Well, good morning, and I'm
- glad I can make a statement.
- My name is Sam McCann, and I'm
- 19 the State Senator from the 49th State Senate
- District, and I do appreciate you having me here
- this morning.
- 22 The Concentrated Animal
- 23 Feeding Operations rule changes being proposed are
- the result of several years of discussion and hard

- work between a coalition of agricultural
- 2 organizations and the Illinois Environmental
- 3 Protection Agency.
- 4 The Illinois Pork Producers
- 5 Association, the Illinois Farm Bureau, the Illinois
- 6 Beef Association, the Illinois Milk Producers
- 7 Association are in large part supportive of the work
- 8 product yielded from these discussions. It is quite
- 9 obvious to all of us that any rules or regulations
- 10 pertaining to our environment must allow for the
- 11 sustainability of our environment in perpetuity, but
- it is also equally important to ensure that the
- rules we are working for work for us as well. We
- should always seek to work together to ensure that
- governmental rules are economically and technically
- 16 feasible.
- 17 Livestock farmers are
- 18 committed to being good stewards of the land, air
- 19 and water we are blessed to have. Their goal is to
- 20 provide financially today for their family's
- 21 well-being while safeguarding the environment that
- 22 will continue to provide untold resources for coming
- 23 generations.
- The agricultural industry has

- a long and successful history of working with
- 2 regulatory officials while implementing their own
- 3 best practices management principles and techniques
- to ensure their natural resources are protected,
- 5 preserved and improved.
- I want to thank the senior
- 7 management team at IEPA for working with the
- 8 stakeholders of the proposed rule changes. Whether
- one is a member of the legislative branch such as me
- or representative of the executive or the
- enforcement branch, we should never lose sight of
- the fact that we work for the people, all the
- people, not the other way around. We should always
- 14 strive to provide the most excellent service
- possible.
- And from the reports that I
- have been given by representatives of the
- stakeholders, IEPA is to be commended for working
- with the agricultural industry to provide for a
- 20 common sense approach to permitting and regulating
- 21 livestock farms.
- 22 Livestock and grain farming
- have a long storied and successful past in Illinois.
- 24 Agriculture has long been a driver of our great

- state's and nation's economic successes, but the
- 2 overall importance of its economic impact has never
- 3 been so obvious as it is today.
- 4 In a state economy that is
- 5 lethargic at best, agriculture has continued to
- 6 shine. Livestock production in Illinois directly
- 7 creates three and a half billion dollars of economic
- 8 activity and employs over 25,000 Illinoisans, but
- 9 the indirect impact livestock farming has on grain
- farmers, feed mills, meat processors, dairy
- 11 processors and other associated businesses is even
- more impressive. The combined economic impact of
- livestock production and processing is over
- 14 \$27 billion.
- 15 At a time when our economy is
- in the condition it is in, we could not sustain as a
- society a situation that would not only threaten the
- food supply but also weaken an already jittery
- 19 economy. The result could be a deadly one-two punch
- that prudent leaders should not and would seek to
- 21 avoid at all costs. That is why it's so important
- for these proposed changes to be economically
- reasonable and technically sensible and feasible.
- I sincerely thank and commend

- all those who sat at the negotiation table to derive
- a set of standards that work best for all of us.
- When we all work together we win. When we respect
- one another's viewpoints and work towards reaching a
- 5 common goal as the industry and the regulators have
- done with these proposed changes, we achieve a
- society in which we have a greater opportunity to
- 8 excel and succeed in the process, provide the
- 9 shoulders upon which the next generation will stand
- 10 even taller.
- Thank you again and thank you
- for all of your hard work.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Senator
- 14 McCann, thank you for your comment. Please feel
- free to stay us for as long as your schedule would
- 16 allow.
- 17 SENATOR McCANN: Thank you.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: And,
- 19 Ms. Manning, thank you for that break. I believe
- you were in follow-up questions generally on 4(c)
- and 4(d) but please feel free to go ahead whenever
- you're ready.
- MS. MANNING: Thank you,
- 24 Mr. Hearing Officer.

- I do have general follow-up
- questions related to the last line of questioning,
- and they have to do with the NPDES permit in the
- 4 context of a CAFO.
- 5 QUESTIONING OF EPA PANEL
- BY MS. MANNING: (Cont'd.)
- 7 In a typical NPDES permit that
- is with the wastewater treatment plant or a POTW,
- 9 there are allowable effluent kind of standard
- limitations, so in other words, when you have an
- 11 NPDES permit in your general industrial context, a
- discharge is allowed.
- Would the Agency explain for
- 14 purposes of the record and purposes of the Board
- what kind of discharge is anticipated or regulated
- by an NPDES permit for a CAFO?
- MR. HEACOCK: This is Dan Heacock.
- There's really two main areas.
- The overflows from storage facilities are allowed to
- 20 have discharges under certain conditions of the
- 21 permit generally due to large precipitation events
- 22 provided they meet certain conditions of the permit
- for maintenance and operation.
- The other opportunity or

- discharge that may occur would actually be in the
- 2 sense the discharge had run off from land
- application fields. In that case, if they call it
- 4 conditions of the permit, that discharge so to speak
- is allowed as runoff from the site as an
- 6 agricultural stormwater discharge under the permit
- 7 as long as they follow the best management practices
- 8 of the permit.
- 9 So that's the primary two
- allowances for some type of discharge from the
- 11 facility.
- MS. MANNING: As a follow-up to
- that question, a facility however that is following
- a CNMP that has been developed at a livestock manure
- management plant pursuant to the LMFA and
- appropriately applying livestock waste on a field,
- that is not a discharge in and of itself to begin
- with that requires an NPDES permit, is that correct?
- 19 If the application is
- 20 consistent with the regulations pursuant to LMFA and
- 21 if it's consistent with the facility's nutrient
- 22 management plan, it is not a discharge.
- MR. HEACOCK: In this case, they're
- subject to essentially what the federal rules

- 1 require for agricultural stormwater discharge, and
- 2 if their nutrient management plan is adequate under
- 3 those rules or under the rules that we're proposing,
- 4 then they could have that discharge without needing
- 5 to get a permit. It may or may not be compliant
- 6 with the LMFA exactly in that sense.
- 7 MS. MANNING: I'm not sure I
- 8 understand that, may or may not be compliant with
- 9 the LMFA.
- MR. HEACOCK: LMFA isn't the
- 11 federal regulations. So because we have to adopt
- those requirements, we have to look at that. So it
- may be that the LMFA approach will take care of that
- 14 but it's not a quarantee.
- 15 MS. MANNING: This goes then -- I'm
- 16 going to follow up directly to No. 6(c) which is on
- the bottom of page 7, and that is, if a large CAFO
- does not have an NMP, or in its NMP does not meet
- one or more the provisions in proposed Section
- 502.510(b), will the IEPA cite the large CAFO with a
- violation even if there has been no discharge, and
- 22 what violation would that be?
- 23 And the answer is: An
- 24 unpermitted large CAFO is not required to have an

- 1 NMP to meet the provisions of the proposed section.
- 2 However, an unpermitted large CAFO that cannot
- demonstrate that livestock waste has been land
- 4 applied in accordance with site specific nutrient
- 5 management practices that ensure appropriate
- 6 agricultural utilization of the nutrients in the
- 7 livestock waste in compliance with 502.510(b) will
- 8 not be able to claim that a precipitation related
- 9 discharge of livestock waste from the land
- 10 application area is an agricultural stormwater
- 11 discharge.
- 12 Is that not going beyond the
- federal rule in that it is requiring an order for a
- 14 producer to utilize the agricultural stormwater
- exemption under the Federal rules? These rules only
- require that exemption to be utilized if the large
- 17 CAFO is following that particular rule as opposed to
- 18 a large CAFO that is following exactly the
- 19 provisions of the Livestock Management Facility Act
- 20 regulations?
- In other words, is there
- 22 another way for the large CAFO to demonstrate that
- 23 its land application practices are consistent with
- 24 the law?

- MS. WILLIAMS: In a way other than
- 2 what? Can you rephrase?
- MS. MANNING: Is the only way to
- allow for -- in the Agency's proposed rules, is the
- only way a producer of a large CAFO can claim an
- 6 agricultural stormwater exemption is to establish
- 7 that he has applied consistent with your proposed
- 8 Section 502.510(b)?
- 9 MR. SOFAT: The short answer is
- 10 yes, I believe so. In 510(b), what we are proposing
- is in order to avail the ag stormwater exemption,
- one must comply with the elements we have identified
- in 510(b).
- 14 MS. MANNING: That's it for now on
- 15 that page.
- On page 8 and 9, question
- 7 (b), we simply asked in that, there was a
- notification requirement in 502.106 related to the
- 19 agency's determination of a designation that a
- permit was necessary, and we discussed that earlier,
- 21 Mr. Yurdin, where you indicated that in most cases,
- that would occur during the context of an
- 23 enforcement action.
- Our question was simply why

- 1 was the original proposal eliminated, and the
- original proposal actually required that designation
- 3 to be in writing to the producer, and the answer is:
- Well, because the USEPA asked us to do it that way.
- 5 And I was wondering if you
- 6 could give us more information related to that.
- 7 Was it USEPA Region 5 and what
- 8 was their concern and consideration?
- 9 MR. YURDIN: Yes, it was Region 5,
- and I believe their consideration was that this
- 11 placed our proposal more in line with the federal
- 12 rule.
- 13 It certainly wasn't our
- intention to not notify the producer, and I think
- we'll continue to do that.
- 16 MS. MANNING: You will continue to
- 17 notify the producer?
- MR. YURDIN: Yes.
- MS. MANNING: On page 10 and 11,
- particularly question 9(d), this is more of a
- 21 reminder than anything I think. We asked questions
- about the general NPDES permit and whether the
- 23 Agency was willing to put the general NPDES permit
- that is currently being used into evidence, and you

- 1 said that you would.
- 2 Has that been done yet?
- MS. WILLIAMS: No. We'll do that
- 4 now.
- 5 MS. MANNING: Thank you.
- And while you're doing that,
- questions related to the Agency's thoughts regarding
- 8 individual NPDES permits and when a producer might
- 9 be expected to file for an individual NPDES permit
- as opposed to a general NPDES CAFO permit.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Is that a question?
- MS. MANNING: Yes.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Could you read it
- 14 again? I didn't hear a question.
- MS. MANNING: The question is -- we
- generally ask these in question 9.
- MS. WILLIAMS: 9(b), is that what
- you're looking at, or 9(a)?
- MS. MANNING: Well, in 9(a), we
- asked how you distinguish between the general permit
- 21 and the individual permit, and you explained that.
- In 9(b) we generally ask what
- circumstances you would allow an individual permit,
- and I am asking that you expand on that discussion.

```
Page 61
 1
                       MS. WILLIAMS: Would you like us to
 2
       enter it as an exhibit maybe first?
 3
                       MS. MANNING: That's fine.
                       MS. OLSON: We'd like to move to
       enter Exhibit 9, the Illinois Environmental
       Protection Agency NPDES Permit for Concentrated
       Animal Feed Operations.
                       HEARING OFFICER FOX: Having heard
       the motion to admit that general permit as
       Exhibit 9, is there any participant who has an
10
11
       objection?
                             Neither seeing nor hearing
12
13
       any, Ms. Olson, it will be admitted and marked as
       Exhibit No. 9 in this proceeding.
14
15
                             Thank you very much for the
16
       сору.
                           (Whereupon Exhibit 9 was admitted
17
18
                          into evidence at this time.)
19
                       MS. MANNING: I quess to make this
20
       easy in terms of...
21
                       HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Manning,
22
       just one moment while we distribute copies.
23
                       MS. OLSON: I have copies for the
24
       Board members.
```

	Page 6
1	(Whereupon copies are being
2	distributed to the Board
3	members.)
4	HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Manning,
5	before you resume I just wanted to thank Ms. Olson
6	for the copies which were helpful, and, Ms. Manning
7	when you're ready to resume, I think we're prepared
8	for you to do so.
9	MS. MANNING: Okay.
10	The general permit that was
11	just put in evidence, are there any provisions of
12	the general permit currently as they exist which
13	might be inconsistent with the proposed rules?
14	MR. HEACOCK: Yeah, there are some
15	changes in the proposed rules that would possibly
16	result in changes in the general permit if we were
17	to reissue the permit.
18	MS. MANNING: And my question on
19	the individual permit, just to simplify, how I
20	understand the Agency's responses to the general
21	questions we did in Section No. 9 there is that you
22	really don't expect individual permits to be filed
23	with any degree of regularity at all for a regular
24	CAFO; that your expectation really is that people

- will seek coverage, people that need coverage should
- 2 seek coverage under the general permit.
- Is that accurate?
- 4 MR. HEACOCK: Yes.
- 5 MS. MANNING: I think I'll move
- 6 straight to No. 14 on page 13.
- 7 Could I just have a moment,
- please?
- 9 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Yes, that's
- 10 fine, Ms. Manning.
- 11 (Pause)
- MS. MANNING: You provided a very
- long answer there, and I appreciate it, but the
- 14 guestion I think we had asked and still seek an
- answer to is, number one, is it correct that the
- Agency used Wisconsin's regulatory model as to what
- 17 constitutes frozen ground as the model for what the
- 18 Illinois rules should be instead of the proposal
- 19 that is more like the proposal, the rules in Iowa,
- and if so, why did the Agency choose the Wisconsin
- 21 regulatory definition of frozen ground over that of
- 22 Towa?
- 23 MR. YURDIN: I think the most
- 24 straightforward answer I can give you is that we

- looked at several states to begin with, Iowa,
- Wisconsin, several others in the Midwest, and the
- model that most closely resembled what we're
- 4 proposing today is the one from Wisconsin. It
- 5 resembles it. It does not word for word begin to
- 6 approach the complexity with which Wisconsin tried
- 7 to deal with the same issue in its entirety, the
- 8 whole issue of winter application, but the
- 9 definition of frozen ground is very similar to what
- 10 Wisconsin is, yes.
- MS. MANNING: And why again
- 12 Wisconsin as opposed to Iowa? Iowa is more similar
- to Illinois than Wisconsin in terms of weather,
- 14 climate, agricultural sources.
- MR. YURDIN: I don't think we
- looked at those factors necessarily. I think we
- 17 assumed that Iowa agriculture and Wisconsin in
- 18 general in the Midwest was essentially the same as
- 19 far as we could tell. There was no great
- 20 distinction although there are differences in the
- 21 regulations.
- So the rationale, I think we
- 23 discussed the one-half to eight inch depth
- 24 measurement for frozen soil and frozen ground. We

- thought it was reasonable and a very practical
- 2 application of that term, that term being frozen
- 3 ground.
- 4 MS. MANNING: Thank you. We'll
- 5 present more on this later.
- On page 15, No. 16, we asked
- 7 how the IEPA's proposed definition of livestock
- 8 waste differs from the federal definition, and you
- 9 answered that question. The federal CAFO rule does
- 10 not define or use the term livestock waste. The
- 11 federal rule refers to manure, litter and processed
- 12 wastewater which, of course, is livestock waste.
- But let me ask, why is it different?
- The Agency has attempted to
- combine federal terminology into the existing term
- 16 livestock waste.
- Does the Agency then not agree
- 18 that the state definition of livestock waste
- regulated pursuant to these rules is much broader
- than that of the federal government's definition of
- 21 livestock waste under the Clean Water Act?
- MR. SOFAT: That is not our intent.
- The definition of livestock waste, we did not want
- 24 to have three different terms that we have to follow

- and understand, so why did we do this? Purely for
- the ease so that people who read it can understand
- and people who are implementing it can understand.
- 4 But there's no intent to
- broaden or narrowing it down from what the federal
- 6 definition of manure, litter or process wastewater
- 7 is.
- MS. MANNING: So when the Agency
- 9 uses terms like contaminated soil in the definition
- of livestock waste pursuant to the Clean Water Act,
- it's not the Agency's intention to bring these
- 12 producers under the regulatory authority of the
- Bureau of Land, the Agency, pursuant to any
- authority as it relates to land pollution or
- 15 contaminated soils or anything like that?
- MR. SOFAT: No.
- MS. MANNING: Then why did the
- 18 Agency use the terminology contaminated soil in its
- 19 definition of livestock waste?
- 20 And I think, correct me if I'm
- wrong, this is something new. I don't believe that
- the definition of livestock waste either in the
- 23 Livestock Management Facilities Act or currently
- 24 anywhere in the Environmental Protection Act or

- 1 regulations pursuant to either acts uses that kind
- of terminology that's definition of livestock waste.
- MR. SOFAT: If you look at our
- definition, this was just our attempt to give an
- 5 example.
- 6 MS. MANNING: Okay. Thank you.
- 7 I'm going to leave that for
- 8 now, and we'll come back to that.
- 9 I guess I would ask then,
- Mr. Sofat, before I leave that, would the Agency be
- willing to accept a more standard definition of
- 12 livestock waste that mirrors the Livestock
- Management Facilities Act and that is understood
- currently under the regulatory regime of the federal
- 15 CAFO rules?
- MR. SOFAT: We would like to take a
- look at it before we respond yes or no.
- MS. MANNING: Thank you.
- I think that's all I have at
- this point. The Agency was very comprehensive in
- its answers to questions, and we just had follow-up
- on select questions, not all of them.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: So for the
- time being, to the extent...

- MS. MANNING: For the time being,
- 2 we're done asking the Agency follow-up questions to
- 3 our questions.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good.
- 5 Having come to that point, we
- 6 have been under way without interruption for at
- 7 least 90 minutes at this point. If I don't see or
- 8 hear any strenuous objection, it seems like it would
- be an appropriate place to break for 60 minutes for
- 10 lunch.
- I don't see or hear any
- objection. Let's plan to resume here at 12:30 after
- folks have had a chance to eat lunch.
- And based on what Ms. Manning
- just described, that they, for the time being, have
- completed the follow-up questions based on the
- 17 Agency's written answers, Ms. Dexter, I think we'll
- be prepared very quickly after we resume to turn to
- the follow-up questions you have.
- I do want to note just as a
- 21 matter of housekeeping, I have the list for folks to
- sign indicating that they wish to comment. If there
- are people who appear over the course of the break
- or at the beginning of the afternoon that wish to

- 1 comment, please have them add their name to this so
- 2 that we can accommodate them, and other than that,
- we will intend to see you back here in 60 minutes at
- 4 12:30.
- 5 (Whereupon the lunch recess was
- taken from 11:30 a.m. to 12:30
- 7 p.m.)
- 8 HEARING OFFICER FOX: We've gotten
- 9 to 12:30, and I appreciate your promptness returning
- from lunch. We have a couple of our board members,
- and that will allow us to resume.
- 12 Thanks again, everyone, for
- your promptness in returning from the lunch break.
- Before we resume with any
- questions, Ms. Olson had indicated that on behalf of
- the Agency she had a housekeeping detail to begin
- with, and, Ms. Olson, if you want to take that up
- it's a good time to do that I think.
- MS. OLSON: If it's okay with you,
- 20 I'd like to ask Bruce a few questions to lay a
- little bit of foundation to get this in; very
- 22 briefly.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Please go
- 24 ahead.

- MS. OLSON: Mr. Yurdin, I'm going
- to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 10. Can
- you tell me what that is?
- 4 MR. YURDIN: This is a copy of the
- 5 Illinois EPA Bureau of Water Field Operations
- 6 Section CAFO Field Procedures Manual dated
- 7 April 2012.
- MS. OLSON: And does that manual
- 9 contain the Agency's biosecurity protocols?
- MR. YURDIN: Yes, it does.
- MS. OLSON: And can you tell us
- what pages?
- MR. YURDIN: Beginning on page 16
- of this document and concluding on or about page 18.
- MS. OLSON: The Agency would like
- to move Exhibit 10 into the record.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Having heard
- the motion, is there any participant who wishes to
- 19 lodge an objection to its admission as Exhibit
- 20 No. 10?
- Neither seeing nor hearing
- any, Ms. Olson, it will be so marked and admitted as
- Exhibit No. 10, and you've supplied some extra
- copies, which I appreciate, for our Board members

and technical staff. Thank you very much. 2 (Whereupon Exhibit 10 was admitted into evidence at this 3 time.) 4 And before we HEARING OFFICER FOX: 5 begin, I do just want quickly to note that the 6 7 sign-up sheets both for people who did not prefile but wished to testify and the sign-in sheet for persons who wished to offer comment do not have any 9 additions from our beginning at 10 o'clock this 10 morning, so at this point, we have no additional 11 commenters or witnesses to accommodate other than 12 13 those that were present at the beginning of the day. When we concluded before our 14 15 lunch break, Ms. Manning had indicated that she had exhausted the follow-up questions that she had on 16 the basis of the Agency's written answers to the 17 18 Agricultural Coalition's questions. 19 That was a mouthful, Ms. Manning. Is that correct? 20 That is correct. 21 MS. MANNING: 22 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good. And we had left it at that 23

point, Ms. Dexter, that we would be prepared to turn

24

- to the follow-up questions that the Environmental
- 2 Groups had on the basis of the Agency's written
- responses to the Group's questions, and unless there
- 4 are any questions or other details to take care of,
- 5 Ms. Dexter, we can turn to that.
- 6 The Agency had labeled its
- 7 separate responses Attachments Nos. 3, 4 and 5
- 8 corresponding to witnesses Sofat, Yurdin and
- 9 Heacock. It might perhaps make most sense to go in
- 10 numerical order according to the attachment numbers
- they have used, but if you have a different order in
- mind, we can cover them in that order.
- MS. DEXTER: That's fine.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Okay. That
- sounds good, Ms. Dexter, and I understand that
- Ms. Knowles may be posing some follow-up questions
- as well. We are ready to turn it over to you to
- pose those follow-up questions to the Agency
- 19 witnesses.
- MS. DEXTER: Great.
- Good afternoon. My name is
- Jessica Dexter (D-e-x-t-e-r). I am at the,
- Environmental Law and Policy Center, and I'm
- speaking for the Environmental Groups today.

1 QUESTIONING OF EPA PANEL

- 2 BY MS. DEXTER:
- I'm going to start with just a
- few questions following up to Mr. Sofat's testimony.
- 5 The first one is a follow-up
- 6 to question 1 where we talked about whether or not
- 7 Mr. Sofat had reviewed USEPA's final action on the
- 8 2011 proposed NPDES CAFO reporting rule that was
- 9 published on July 16, 2012, and my question, having
- 10 reviewed this and considered what EPA's authority
- is, do you think that EPA's decision not to
- promulgate a national reporting rule precludes
- 13 Illinois from developing its own reporting rule?
- 14 MR. SOFAT: I think the issue is
- whether or not Illinois needs a similar reporting
- 16 rule, and we believe that the inventory that we are
- developing with the help of Region 5 will actually
- satisfy what EPA was trying to do through this rule.
- MS. DEXTER: Okay. But to answer
- 20 my more specific question, does Illinois have
- 21 authority to adopt a reporting rule if it is
- 22 necessary?
- MR. SOFAT: I think it will require
- some sort of investigation on our part for me to say

- whether or not we have the authority outside USEPA's
- 2 rule.
- MS. DEXTER: My follow-up question
- 4 is related to my second prefiled question. Thank
- 5 you for providing the correspondence between USEPA
- and Illinois EPA on the rules that have been
- 7 developed, and I just wanted to ask a question.
- 8 Has USEPA seen the version of
- 9 the rule that's been presented to the Board or is
- that still one draft behind?
- MR. YURDIN: I'm not clear on which
- version of the rule you're -- if you're asking has
- Region 5 USEPA seen the rule we proposed to the
- Board, I believe they have, yes.
- MS. DEXTER: All right. And my
- next follow-up question is related to basically the
- 17 rest of my follow-up questions.
- 18 I'd like to just clarify
- 19 Illinois EPA's position on whether or not this rule
- applies to waters of the state or waters of the
- 21 United States.
- 22 Does the draft rule allow
- livestock waste to be discharged to waters of the
- state that are not waters of the U.S.?

- MR. SOFAT: Can you repeat that
- 2 question, please?
- MS. DEXTER: Does the draft rule
- allow livestock waste to be discharged to waters of
- 5 the state that are not also waters of the U.S.?
- 6 MR. SOFAT: You mean the proposed
- 7 rule?
- MS. DEXTER: Yes.
- 9 MR. SOFAT: The proposed rule says
- only those waters that are waters of the U.S. and
- 11 that discharge into those waters would require NPDES
- 12 permit.
- MS. DEXTER: So if it was discharge
- of waters of the state that is also not a water of
- the U.S., it would not be covered by this rule?
- MR. SOFAT: True.
- MS. DEXTER: Okay. So how will
- waters of the state that are not waters of the U.S.
- be protected by this rule from livestock waste?
- 20 MR. SOFAT: Can I go back to the
- 21 previous response?
- 22 There is Part 501 in Subtitle
- E that would apply to livestock waste handling
- facilities and storage facilities, so when I'm

- 1 responding, I'm responding in context of NPDES
- 2 permit.
- MS. DEXTER: So I will ask my
- follow-up question again in the context that you
- 5 answered.
- 6 How will waters of the state
- 7 that are not waters of the U.S. be protected by this
- 8 rule for livestock waste?
- 9 MR. SOFAT: We do have authority
- under 12(a) of the Act to look at site-specific
- pollution cases and determine a suitable course how
- to address water pollution and then see what else
- needs to be done, so we'll be using 12(a) authority
- to address those pollution cases that are not
- addressed under Part 502.
- MS. DEXTER: But the rules
- themselves or the proposed rules are not speaking to
- that issue directly?
- MR. SOFAT: Part 502, no.
- 20 MS. DEXTER: Okay. That is all I
- 21 have for Mr. Sofat, and I will move on to
- 22 Mr. Yurdin.
- So my first follow-up question
- is related to prefiled question 3, and you

```
Page 77
```

- referenced IEPA's 305(b) reports in your response to
- 2 question 3.
- MS. MANNING: Excuse me. We can't
- 4 hear you. Is there an extra microphone?
- 5 MS. KNOWLES: There's that one.
- MS. MANNING: Thank you. I'm
- 7 sorry.
- MS. DEXTER: All right. I will
- 9 just start again.
- 10 So you referenced IEPA's
- 305(b) reports in your response to question 3, and
- 12 I'd like to, if we can, enter an example of that
- into the record. I apologize. I only have one copy
- of this. This is something that in the prefiled
- answers the citation to this document on IEPA's
- website is included here, so I have just one copy
- here. If we need to produce more, I'm happy to.
- 18 (Discussion held off the record.)
- MS. DEXTER: Mr. Hearing Officer,
- 20 what I was indicating to counsel for the
- 21 Environmental Groups is one of the Board's questions
- 22 to the Agency was could we enter an example of the
- 305(b) 303D reports, and I'm showing her what we had
- 24 planned to enter to see if that's acceptable for

- 1 what she was going to do.
- MS. KNOWLES: Yes, it will be.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER FOX: And just for
- 4 the record, Ms. Williams, I believe that's in
- 5 response to the Board's question No. 2.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Correct.
- 7 I'm handing both parties and
- 8 the Board a copy of the Agency's draft 2010 305(b)
- 9 report 303d list. It is dated December 2011 but it
- is officially the 2010 report.
- 11 At this time, I move to have
- it entered as Exhibit 11.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER FOX: It is
- 14 number -- I'm certain you're right, Ms. Williams. I
- will check, it is Exhibit No. 11.
- Ms. Dexter, if you don't mind,
- we'll take up the motion to admit this as Exhibit
- No. 11. I do note that copies have been
- 19 distributed.
- Is there any participant who
- objects to the admission of this document as Exhibit
- No. 11 in this proceeding?
- Neither seeing nor hearing
- any, it will be so marked and admitted.

Page 79 1 Ms. Williams, thank you for 2 the distribution of these. If Ms. Dexter will give me one moment to mark these and we can resume. 3 (Whereupon Exhibit 11 was admitted into evidence at this 5 time.) 6 7 MS. DEXTER: Are you ready? HEARING OFFICER FOX: Please 8 9 resume, yes. MS. DEXTER: So I am looking at 10 what is labeled Table C-32 on page 104 of what was 11 just entered as Exhibit 11, and the name of the 12 table is the Statewide Summary of Potential 13 14 Sources... 15 MR. YURDIN: Could I ask you again 16 what table you're on? We had to borrow a copy. 17 Table --MS. DEXTER: It's Table C-32. 18 19 MR. YURDIN: On page... 20 MS. DEXTER: On page 104. 21 MR. YURDIN: Thank you. 22 MS. DEXTER: And I'm not sure if I 23 got the whole title out. It's the Statewide Summary

of Potential Sources of All Use Impairments and

24

- 1 Streams, and looking at this table, would it be fair
- 2 to say that pollution from animal feeding operations
- 3 is the leading cause of impairments of Illinois
- 4 streams?
- 5 MR. YURDIN: Without getting into a
- 6 debate over what leading cause means, it does
- 7 indicate rather specifically in this column that
- animal feeding operations identified as nonpoint
- 9 source, or NPS, have impaired stream miles to the
- 10 extent of 657 miles.
- Now, whether or not that's
- 12 significant or what have you, whatever adjective you
- chose, I'll let you describe that.
- MS. DEXTER: And can you explain
- whether -- is there a distinction between
- discharges -- are the kinds of discharges that we're
- talking about in this proceeding sort of a larger
- set than that NPS set that's listed in the table?
- 19 Because it's a nonpoint source, I just wondered if
- there's not a more direct discharge. Like would it
- 21 fall under agriculture? Are there other discharges
- related to this?
- 23 MR. YURDIN: I think if you look
- down the column, there are several categories.

- 1 There's a livestock category further down that
- column. There's an agriculture description. These
- 3 are, for the most part, these descriptions I think
- are suitably broad to enable the Agency to describe
- 5 what it believes the source of the impairment are
- 6 without getting into the rather nitty gritty detail
- 7 when we're doing stream assessments.
- MS. DEXTER: Thank you.
- 9 All right. My next follow-up
- is to question 11, prefiled question 11.
- In response to the question
- 12 how many livestock operations there are in the State
- of Illinois, you stated that IEPA has no information
- on which to base an estimate.
- 15 So I'm wondering, if we're
- talking about the universe of livestock operations
- in Illinois, I'm wondering if you think that a
- number around 24,500 might be in the ballpark of
- 19 livestock operations?
- 20 And I can enter an exhibit and
- you can decide whether or not you find this a
- 22 believable number.
- I have this report called,
- it's a report called "Illinois Agriculture" just to

- 1 give a sense of the scale here that I would like to
- enter as an exhibit, and the number 24,500 came from
- a table on page 3 titled "Number of Illinois Farms
- 4 with Livestock and Dairy," and I added up the
- 5 cattle, milk cows, hog, and sheep to come up with
- 6 24,500.
- 7 MS. WILLIAMS: We have no
- 8 objections.
- 9 MS. DEXTER: May we enter this as
- 10 Exhibit 12?
- 11 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Dexter
- has moved to admit the document entitled "Illinois
- 13 Agriculture" into the record of this hearing as
- Exhibit No. 12.
- Does any participant have any
- objection to its admission as Exhibit No. 12?
- Neither hearing or seeing any,
- 18 Ms. Dexter, it will be so marked and admitted as
- 19 Exhibit No. 12 in this proceeding.
- 20 (Whereupon Exhibit 12 was
- 21 admitted into evidence at this
- 22 time.)
- MS. DEXTER: So, Mr. Yurdin, do you
- have any reason to believe that this wouldn't be an

- 1 accurate estimate of the number of livestock
- 2 operations in Illinois?
- MR. YURDIN: No, I don't have any
- 4 reason to believe that although I do note that there
- are different dates. I'd have to study this in a
- 6 little more detail than just the last 30 seconds
- 7 I've had here, but there are different dates. I'd
- be a little cautious about how I'd add some of the
- 9 tables.
- MS. DEXTER: Right. Oh, yes. I
- was going to give the caveat that it was kind of a
- ballpark, maybe an order of magnitude estimate
- because I recognize some might have overlapped where
- they have more than one type of livestock.
- I also have that I'd like to
- present as an exhibit a press release from April 23,
- 17 2004 from the Agency. This is in response to the
- 18 2003 CAFO rule proposal.
- 19 I'll ask to have that entered
- as an exhibit. Mr. Hearing Officer, I'd move that
- we enter this exhibit as Exhibit 13.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Noting that
- 23 it has been distributed, Ms Dexter, and noting the
- motion to admit it as Exhibit No. 13, is there any

- objection to its admission?
- Neither seeing nor hearing
- any, Ms. Dexter, it will be marked as Exhibit No. 13
- 4 and entered into the record.
- 5 (Whereupon Exhibit 13 was
- admitted into evidence at this
- 7 time.)
- MS. DEXTER: So, Mr. Yurdin, how
- 9 many livestock operations did IEPA report were
- 10 eligible to comply with the Federal Clean Water Act
- 11 requirements to protect the state's waterways from
- manure and wastewater under this version of the
- 13 rule?
- MR. YURDIN: Which version of the
- 15 rule?
- MS. DEXTER: This press release in
- 17 the first paragraph.
- MR. YURDIN: Oh, okay. This goes
- back to 2004 preceding the water CAFOs case and then
- also the NPDC case of 2011, so at that time, I think
- we were taking a best shot and saying, as you can
- read here, 3200 livestock operation.
- MS. DEXTER: Thank you.
- One more thing I would like to

- interpret right now. This is a document, it has a
- cover letter on it, but it's a report titled Initial
- Results of an Informal Investigation of the National
- 4 Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Program for
- 5 Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations in the State
- of Illinois, and it's produced by Region 5 dated
- 7 September 2010.
- I move that we enter this as
- 9 Exhibit 14.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER FOX: And
- 11 Ms. Dexter has moved the admission of the report
- that she just described into the record of this
- proceeding as Exhibit No. 14.
- Does any participant have any
- objection to its admission?
- MS. WILLIAMS: If you can give me
- just one second. I don't think so but...
- 18 (Pause)
- MS. WILLIAMS: No objections.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Hearing no
- objection from the Agency and not seeing or hearing
- any other objection, Ms. Dexter, it will be so
- marked as Exhibit No. 14 and admitted.

```
Page 86
 1
                           (Whereupon Exhibit 14 was
 2
                           admitted into evidence at this
 3
                           time.)
 4
                       MS. DEXTER: Mr. Yurdin, can you
 5
       look at the bottom of page 13 of Exhibit 14?
 6
                       MR. YURDIN: Yes.
 7
                       MS. DEXTER: And in that last
       paragraph, there's an estimate from USEPA of how
       many large CAFOs are present in Illinois.
 9
10
                              What is the number of the
11
       estimate there?
12
                       MR. YURDIN: They're estimating 500
13
       large CAFOs if I'm reading where you're reading.
14
                       MS. DEXTER: Yes. That's what I'm
15
       reading.
16
                       MR. YURDIN: Okay.
17
                       MS. DEXTER:
                                     Thank you.
18
                              All right. So I'm changing
19
       speed a little bit.
20
                              In response to several of the
21
       prefiled questions, you referred us to annual
22
       reports from the Illinois EPA Livestock Program.
23
       would like to enter two of these into the record as
24
       examples.
```

- I've got here the Illinois EPA
- 2 Livestock Program 2011 Livestock Facility
- 3 Investigation Annual Report, and I also have the
- 4 Illinois EPA Livestock Program 2008 Livestock
- 5 Facility Investigation Annual Report.
- I ask that these be entered
- 7 into the record but I've lost track of which exhibit
- 8 we're on.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER FOX: What I heard
- you say, Ms. Dexter, please correct me if I'm
- mistaken, it was a motion to admit the 2011 report
- as Exhibit No. 14 and the 2008 report as Exhibit
- No. 15. Does that sound correct?
- MS. DEXTER: I think it's 15.
- MS. WILLIAMS: 15 and 16.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Thank you.
- 17 That's absolutely correct.
- The 2011 report, to be clear,
- is Exhibit No. 15 and the 2008 report is Exhibit
- 20 No. 16.
- Thank you for the correction
- from many corners.
- Having heard Ms. Dexter's
- 24 motion to admit those two reports as those two

- exhibit numbers, does any participant have any
- 2 objection?
- Neither hearing or seeing any,
- 4 Ms. Dexter, they will be admitted as those numbers.
- 5 (Whereupon Exhibits 15 and 16
- 6 were admitted into evidence at
- 7 this time.)
- MS. DEXTER: All right. So looking
- 9 at the exhibit that was just entered, No. 15, last
- 10 year's report, how many livestock facilities were
- inspected by the Illinois EPA?
- MR. YURDIN: If you look on page 2
- under general information, the total number of
- facilities surveyed during 2011 was 189.
- 15 Total number of on-site visits
- 16 conducted during that same period was 297.
- MS. DEXTER: Thank you.
- 18 And how many of those
- 19 facilities, of the facilities that you inspected,
- I'm assuming that's the right universe, how many of
- 21 the facilities were found to have one or more
- regulatory violations in 2011?
- MR. YURDIN: The Board may want to
- turn to page 4 that's labeled in Section 2

- 1 Regulatory Violations, No. 15. There's a list
- there. The list includes water quality standards
- 3 violations, and there are 21 for that period of
- 4 time.
- MS. DEXTER: And if you look at 16,
- 6 right below that, the number and percent of the
- 7 livestock facilities contacted or visited having one
- 8 or more regulatory violations in 2011, how many
- 9 facilities was that?
- MR. YURDIN: That was 109.
- I'm not sure I answered your
- first question entirely correctly but I was looking
- at that one as being a water quality violation.
- 14 There may have been other regulatory violations that
- should have been included so, yes, 16 does indicate
- that in that period of time, there were 109
- 17 regulatory violations.
- MS. DEXTER: Would you say that is
- a pretty typical level, that 58 percent of the
- facilities that were contacted or visited were found
- to have one or more violations? Is that a pretty
- typical number for a year of inspections?
- MR. YURDIN: I'd have to go -- I
- don't know how far back you want me to use my memory

- here, but we do tabulate these year to year, and I
- think it would be relatively simple for the Agency
- 3 to go back, review however many years we need to,
- 4 and get a suitable answer for you on that.
- 5 MS. DEXTER: All right.
- MS. MANNING: Excuse me,
- 7 Mr. Hearing Officer. May I ask a follow-up
- 9 question? Is that appropriate?
- 9 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Manning,
- 10 please go ahead.
- MS. MANNING: Thank you.
- Mr. Yurdin, just for purposes
- of the record, the answers in No. 15 and the answers
- in No. 16 are based on a charge of a violation,
- correct, and not necessarily an adjudication of a
- violation, but they're just after an Agency
- inspection, the Agency believes something to be in
- 18 violation.
- Whether it was, in fact, in
- violation pursuant to an adjudicatory procedure, we
- don't really know that based on these documents,
- 22 correct?
- 23 MR. YURDIN: These numbers would be
- based on Agency actions. If there was a subsequent

- action by a court or the Pollution Control Board,
- 2 that is not shown here.
- MS. MANNING: Okay. So these
- 4 basically resulted in notice of violation that the
- 5 Agency files that is just the initiation of an
- 6 enforcement action, and it may or may not have gone
- 7 to formal enforcement to the Office of Attorney
- 8 General, right?
- 9 MR. YURDIN: That's actually listed
- in a separate part of these same documents so that's
- 11 shown separately.
- MS. MANNING: Okay. Thank you.
- And to clarify as well in No.
- 14 17, 42 percent of the livestock facilities
- investigated and contacted by the Agency showed no
- violations whatsoever, correct?
- 17 MR. YURDIN: That's correct.
- MS. MANNING: Okay. Thank you.
- MR. YURDIN: 58 percent plus 42
- percent, 16 and 17, that's a hundred percent.
- 21 That's where that came from.
- MS. MANNING: Thank you.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Manning,
- 24 anything further?

- MS. MANNING: No, not right now.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Okay. Thank
- 3 you.
- 4 MS. DEXTER: All right. So do I
- 5 understand correctly that it is IEPA's position that
- 6 inspections are the primary means of determining
- 7 whether a facility needs an NPDES permit under the
- 8 draft rule?
- 9 MR. YURDIN: I think it's a
- 10 required element, yes.
- MS. DEXTER: In the last ten years,
- 12 you report in the prefiled answers that 248
- facilities were found to be in violation because
- they did not have an NPDES permit.
- Do you expect that if the
- draft rules are passed, you would find a greater
- 17 rate of compliance than you have in past
- inspections?
- MR. YURDIN: I'm sorry. I lost the
- last part.
- MS. DEXTER: Sorry. I kind of
- stumbled over my tongue.
- Do you expect that if the
- draft rules are passed, you would find a greater

- 1 rate of compliance than you have in past
- 2 inspections?
- MR. YURDIN: In past years, is that
- 4 what you mean?
- 5 MS. DEXTER: Yes.
- MR. YURDIN: Honestly, I think I'd
- 7 be speculating a bit to say what the increase in
- 8 compliance would be based on the proposal.
- 9 I would suggest that with a
- 10 certain amount of education and involvement with the
- 11 Agency that the producers would have a greater
- chance of coming into compliance with these
- regulations, but again, I think this is kind of
- speculative on my part.
- MS. DEXTER: Do you expect that
- 16 IEPA will conduct significantly more inspections
- annually after this rulemaking is completed?
- MR. YURDIN: No.
- MS. DEXTER: Do you expect that
- 20 more than 35 CAFOs will need permits under the draft
- 21 rules?
- MR. YURDIN: Yes.
- MS. DEXTER: 35, just for context,
- was the number reported for the number of current

- 1 NPDES permit holders.
- MR. YURDIN: Yes, we have 35 permit
- 3 holders.
- I believe the question was
- 5 will there be an increase or increasing number. I
- 6 think the answer is yes, that's probably going to be
- 7 the case, but I think it'll be...
- MS. DEXTER: Can you explain why
- 9 that will be the case?
- MR. YURDIN: I think if you look at
- the trend over the last several years, the number of
- permits that we've issued has increased, and I think
- with the adoption of these rules or some form of
- these rules that there will be a continued trend in
- that same direction.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Mr. Hearing Officer,
- would it be okay for me to ask a short follow-up?
- 18 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Williams,
- 19 please go ahead.
- 20 MS. WILLIAMS: And I'd like to
- 21 direct it to Mr. Heacock briefly.
- Mr. Heacock, I know
- 23 Mr. Yurdin's question from the perspective of the
- field side of it were referring a little bit to who

- needs a permit and how that's determined.
- 2 Can you just expand for the
- Board on how the proposed rules set up how a
- 4 determination is to be made and who needs a permit?
- 5 MR. HEACOCK: The regulations do
- 6 outline the requirements for who needs a permit, and
- 7 that determination can be made by the livestock
- 8 facility. It doesn't have to result from an
- 9 inspection.
- 10 If they are a large CAFO that
- 11 has some kind of discharge or will have some kind of
- discharge, they can be required or they are required
- to obtain a permit for that.
- A medium CAFO if they have
- certain types of discharges are defined also as
- needing to have a permit.
- So those two categories can
- 18 self-determine in a sense that, for whatever reason,
- they may have a discharge, and they would need to
- apply for the permit.
- 21 MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you. I don't
- 22 have anything further.
- MS. DEXTER: Did any of the 35
- 24 CAFOs that have NPDES permits come to have those

- 1 permits without being prompted to apply by the
- 2 Illinois EPA, self-determining as you were just
- 3 saying?
- 4 MR. YURDIN: Let me...I'll take
- 5 that.
- MR. HEACOCK: Why don't you go.
- 7 MR. YURDIN: There were a small
- 8 number of those 35, just a very few, that
- 9 voluntarily applied.
- MS. DEXTER: Okay. Are you
- familiar with the fish kill that was suspected to be
- caused by swine manure in Beaver Creek in Iroquois
- 13 County in late July?
- MS. OLSON: The EPA is going to
- object to this. This is an ongoing enforcement
- 16 matter. It's not relevant to the proceedings today,
- and we prefer not to get into it.
- 18 MS. MANNING: I would also object
- to the entrance of any press release talking about
- 20 an issue --
- 21 MS. DEXTER: I have here...we could
- not have testimony on it. I have two, they're
- public news articles that are describing what
- happened with the fish kill.

- MS. OLSON: Again, same objection.
- 2 I don't know what the news source is. I don't know
- 3 who wrote it.
- If you want to bring -- you
- 5 know, I mean, this is all venturing in speculation.
- MS. DEXTER: You haven't even seen
- 7 it. You can look at it.
- MS. MANNING: I've seen it.
- 9 MS. DEXTER: I would argue that the
- Board may -- I can let you see these things too.
- 11 The story of a fish kill could be something that the
- Board should consider because of the relationship
- between discharges from a livestock operation that
- may be subject to this rule and the impact that it
- has had on the local area where the spill occurred.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Manning,
- 17 did I hear you wishing to be heard?
- 18 MS. MANNING: Yes. I would object
- to any entrance of this press release into evidence.
- 20 It is an ongoing case, ongoing investigation, and
- there's no determination of anything at all, no
- 22 enforcement action pending, so I don't think it's
- relevant to the Board's inquiry whatsoever.
- There is a lot of issues

- related to cause and effect, whether there's a
- 2 drought that caused the fish kill, whether there is
- any source that was a livestock facility, and those
- issues are all right now being investigated, and no
- 5 notice of violation is issued, and besides, if one
- is issued, the Board may see it in an enforcement
- 7 context, and that's the better role of the Board
- 8 examining this as opposed to looking at any press
- 9 releases and drawing any conclusions therefrom in a
- 10 regulatory proceeding where we're talking about
- 11 regulatory parameters on an industry.
- I just don't think it's
- appropriate for the Board to take any kind of weight
- whatsoever as a result of a news article, and I
- believe the Agency made the same point.
- 16 MS. DEXTER: And all of that being
- noted in the record, the Board can interpret these
- articles knowing it hasn't been adjudicated yet.
- 19 It's just the media reporting on what happened.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Noting the
- objections both by the Agency and by the
- 22 Agricultural Coalition, I believe this is relevant
- to the potential consequences of a release. The
- release by its own terms, based if only on my very

understand entering the exhibits into the record.

24

- 1 That is fine, but I just don't think it is
- 2 acceptable to ask our field section manager to
- 3 comment on the fact of a pending enforcement in
- 4 which he may be called as a witness.
- MS. DEXTER: Does IEPA have a list
- of the facilities that have permits that we could
- 7 deduce that from since there are 35 of them?
- MR. YURDIN: All of our permits are
- 9 listed on our website.
- I should add that the permits
- are listed, and those pending before the Agency are
- 12 also listed.
- MS. DEXTER: And where is that on
- 14 the website?
- MR. YURDIN: On the Agency's
- website. I don't have a computer in front of me or
- 17 I'd get you that.
- 18 MS. WILLIAMS: But there's a link
- to the case on general permit.
- 20 MS. DEXTER: I'm just trying to get
- 21 it in the record.
- MR. HEACOCK: It's on the NPDES
- public notice page.
- MS. DEXTER: All right. We can

- 1 move on.
- 2 All right. So let's say that
- 3 Illinois EPA is made aware of a pollution problem in
- 4 an Illinois stream where there are livestock
- facilities in a watershed.
- 6 How does IEPA go about
- 7 investigating the source of the violation?
- MR. YURDIN: What was the reported
- 9 nature of the violation? That would be the first
- 10 step.
- MS. DEXTER: Assuming that it is a
- discharge. There's evidence of livestock waste in
- 13 the stream.
- 14 The question is, basically,
- how do you track down who's responsible for the
- water quality permit?
- 17 MR. YURDIN: There are any number
- of ways that can happen including calls from the
- 19 facility itself. A discharge from a livestock
- facility does not always operate or look or smell
- the same. Tracking and backtracking a discharge
- 22 upstream is not a difficult task, but it takes a
- little bit of expertise, a little bit of knowledge,
- a little bit of experience, and some specialized

- 1 equipment in some cases, and sampling would be first
- 2 and foremost among them.
- 3 MS. DEXTER: Is it ever difficult
- 4 to approve in an enforcement case context that the
- 5 violation occurred at a particular facility and not
- 6 at another source?
- 7 MR. YURDIN: And not at --
- MS. DEXTER: And not at another
- 9 source. Say there are multiple discharges in a
- 10 watershed.
- MR. YURDIN: That can be a little
- tricky, yes, but it's not impossible. I think we've
- had a pretty good success rate on that.
- 14 MS. DEXTER: So what assurance can
- 15 IEPA provide that operations that are not inspected
- are complying with the current law that's on the
- 17 books?
- MR. YURDIN: Could you repeat that
- for me, please?
- 20 MS. DEXTER: What assurance can
- 21 IEPA provide that operations that are not inspected
- are complying with the current law?
- Perhaps I should rephrase.
- 24 Can the Agency provide any

doing ambient monitoring downstream from permitted

24

- facilities? Is that your testimony?
- MR. YURDIN: At discharge points
- from permitted facilities, I think that's what I was
- 4 referring to there.
- MS. DEXTER: Do you do ambient
- 6 monitoring downstream from unpermitted facilities?
- 7 MR. YURDIN: Yes.
- MS. DEXTER: How comprehensive is
- 9 that?
- MR. YURDIN: That is covered in the
- 305(b) report that we spoke about earlier, and
- there's a listing of where those sites are around
- 13 the state.
- MR. RAO: May I ask a follow-up
- 15 question?
- MS. DEXTER: Yes. Please.
- MR. RAO: Do you select these
- locations for ambient monitoring based on what kind
- of facilities are around a particular stream or is
- it based on some other criteria?
- 21 MR. YURDIN: It's based on a number
- of different criteria, some of which involve the
- history of that location or co-location with other
- agencies who may be doing sampling along with us and

- 1 have a history of doing that sampling like U.S.
- 2 Geological Survey for example or DNR.
- They're not specific to
- 4 livestock facilities or what might be considered a
- 5 nonpoint source facility. Those ambient stations
- 6 have been set up in the past to capture more of a
- broad brush basin-wide quality issue, and they're
- 8 not specifically targeted at NPDES dischargers or
- 9 anything of that type. It's to capture what's going
- on within that basin over a long period of time.
- MR. RAO: Thank you.
- MS. DEXTER: I'm going to now ask a
- follow-up to the response to question 17 of my
- 14 prefiled questions where you discuss the effort to
- merge data sets from the Illinois Department of
- Public Health's dairy data set and the Livestock
- 17 Management Facilities Act's data set.
- 18 How confident are you that the
- 19 combined LMFA and IDPH data sets have captured all
- of the livestock operations in Illinois that would
- 21 be subject to the draft rule on the discharge?
- 22 MR. YURDIN: I don't know that
- they'd be subject, that this data set would capture
- 24 every facility in Illinois or every facility that

- would be subject to the proposed rule, but I think
- it's a very good way of going about getting an
- 3 extensive listing of livestock facilities in the
- 4 state that may be subject to these regulations, and
- 5 we've already talked about the fact that there has
- to be a discharge for there to be a permit, etc.,
- 7 etc.
- 8 MS. DEXTER: Right.
- 9 Does IEPA have a process for
- inspecting facilities that are not included in your
- list of what's out there?
- MR. YURDIN: You're talking about
- the IDPH list on the LMFA?
- MS. DEXTER: Assuming that's the
- universe of the facilities you know about.
- 16 MR. YURDIN: That's not the
- universe of everything we know about. The Agency
- also has an extensive record within its regional
- offices and here in the headquarter office of
- facilities we've inspected over the last 35 or 40
- years, so I would include those as well.
- 22 And on top of that is a rather
- extensive knowledge housed within the staff that
- works here, and that has worked in the Agency in the

- livestock arena for decades.
- MS. DEXTER: Has IEPA made an
- 3 effort to merge that information so that it's all in
- 4 one place?
- MR. YURDIN: That's what we're
- 6 trying to get at with the response to 17(b) or (a)
- 7 and (b) together. That's essentially what we're
- 8 trying to do.
- 9 MS. DEXTER: So that's also
- incorporating information from the field offices?
- MR. YURDIN: The two pieces of
- information that I referred to in response to 17(a)
- and (b) are two data sets, two electronic data sets.
- 14 Merging those together
- obviously is much, much simpler than trying to merge
- paper data sets that may or may not be relevant to
- an ongoing database inquiry or database compilation.
- I think eventually we may get
- around to that point, but the most up-to-date
- relevant information appears to be these two data
- 21 sets.
- MS. DEXTER: And can you describe a
- little bit the information that's available in these
- 24 data sets?

- MR. YURDIN: Yeah, I think I can.
- 2 The LMFA data set is based on
- 3 information that we are given from the Illinois
- Department of Agriculture. It's, as I said, it's
- 5 electronic information, or it actually comes to us
- in paper form but we put it into an electronic data
- 7 set, and it's based on actions that the Illinois
- B Department of Agriculture takes under the LMFA.
- 9 The second of those data sets
- is from the Illinois Department of Public Health,
- and that's based on their dairy inspection.
- MS. DEXTER: So what level of
- detail do you know about the operations at these
- particular facilities, and do those data sets
- overlap, and what have you needed to do to make it
- useful for your purposes?
- 17 MR. YURDIN: The information
- 18 contained within those data sets more often than not
- includes location information, size of the facility,
- 20 type of the facility.
- There's often, at least in
- terms of the LMFA data set, there may be some
- information there concerning waste management units,
- size, type, location.

- 1 The IDPH data set contains
- 2 basic information about the location.
- And so it's a question of
- 4 merging some of that information.
- Yes, there may be some
- 6 overlap, but again, I think it's a relatively easy
- 7 thing to purge duplicates and make that a very
- 8 usable data set, single data set.
- 9 MS. WILLIAMS: Just for the record
- or maybe for the Board's clarification, Attachments
- 11 K and L to the Agency's proposal have examples of
- these two things.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER FOX: And just for
- the record, Ms. Williams, they were the attachments
- to the original proposal filed with the Board on
- March 1st, am I correct?
- MS. WILLIAMS: Correct.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Thank you.
- 19 MS. DEXTER: All right. So one
- 20 more question, maybe two more questions on this
- 21 topic.
- You describe in your answer to
- the prefiled questions that this effort is a
- 24 starting point.

you describe any more specifically what you in

24

- 1 Region 5 are working out in terms of completing the
- 2 data set?
- MR. YURDIN: I think just as I
- described a moment ago, we're trying to put this
- 5 together in a usable form purging duplicates and
- 6 that type of thing.
- 7 MS. DEXTER: Has Illinois EPA
- 8 compared the administrative burden and cost of
- 9 developing a comprehensive inventory of CAFOs under
- this multiple agency approach that you've just
- described compared to requiring livestock operations
- to submit complete information?
- MR. YURDIN: No.
- MS. DEXTER: Thank you.
- All right. In your response
- to question 28, you explain what it means for an
- owner or operator to, quote, "take steps to provide
- 18 120 days of storage capacity."
- 19 MR. SOFAT: Can I go back to the
- 20 previous line of questioning?
- MS. DEXTER: Sure.
- MR. SOFAT: Our objective is not to
- identify each and every livestock facility out
- there. Our objective is to have enough information

Page 112

- because it is a starting point because of the NBCC
- 2 case that we have information on sources that we
- 3 could use to prioritize our inspections and
- 4 therefore assess whether or not an NPDES permit is
- 5 required.
- And to have a registry type
- 7 requirement is also very labor intensive. It will
- 8 require staff time to just have information, but
- 9 that information would be so burdensome that it may
- 10 not be that productive.
- So at this point, we believe
- what we have is more than adequate for us to run
- this program, and, as Bruce has indicated, over
- time, more data and information will be added to it
- through inspections and Public Health, and at that
- point in the future, we'll discuss whether or not
- there is any other step we need to take.
- 18 MS. DEXTER: So just to follow up
- on that, I'm referring back to Exhibit 14 that we
- 20 entered that's the USEPA national...it's the report
- on the NPDES CAFO program in Illinois, and I'm
- looking at page 3.
- In the executive summary,
- there's a couple of bullets. It's the very last

- bullet on the page. It says the actions Illinois
- 2 EPA must take to comply with Clean Water Act
- 3 requirements for authorized state NPDES programs.
- In particular, Illinois EPA must... What is that
- 5 second bullet?
- 6 MR. SOFAT: Develop and maintain a
- 7 comprehensive inventory of CAFOs and evaluate their
- 8 regulatory status.
- 9 MS. DEXTER: Thank you.
- 10 All right. And I will get
- 11 back to the question that I started.
- In response to question 28,
- you explain what it means for an owner or operator
- 14 to take steps to provide 120 days of storage
- capacity, and you explained that fairly clearly in
- your response to the prefiled answer.
- How will the owners or
- operators know that that's what those steps will be,
- and did you consider including a definition of this
- in the rule?
- MR. YURDIN: A definition of --
- MS. DEXTER: Of the further
- explaining or clarifying what it means to take steps
- towards the 120 days.

- 1 MR. YURDIN: I think as we
- 2 explained in our response to question 28, we mean
- 3 that -- I'm reading it here -- we mean that the
- 4 producer must have conducted livestock waste removal
- by means of land application or transfer of the
- 6 removal. So I think those are the steps we're
- 7 trying to sort through.
- MS. DEXTER: And I don't disagree
- 9 that those could or should be the steps.
- My question is if I'm an owner
- or operator, how do I know that those are the steps?
- How do I know that that's what the regulation is
- 13 asking me for?
- MR. YURDIN: I think we were
- thinking in relatively general terms there in using
- the statement "has taken steps to provide 120 days
- of available storage."
- There are some possibilities
- there, and we did not want to limit or specify what
- those possibilities were going to be.
- 21 MS. DEXTER: Would you be willing
- 22 to consider giving at least some examples in the
- rule to make it clearer?
- MR. YURDIN: Oh, examples would be

- fine. I'm sure there's plenty of that in here.
- 2 It's just not at that particular location of
- 3 502.630(a)(1)(c).
- 4 MS. DEXTER: And I have a similar
- 5 question in follow-up to question 26 where we asked
- 6 about what do, quote, "practical alternative
- 7 measures" mean, and, again, you explained what those
- are, and I'm wondering if the Agency would be open
- 9 to adding more clarification about what's expected,
- not necessarily defining these are the only
- 11 practices but...
- MR. YURDIN: If you'd like to
- suggest something, we'd certainly be willing to
- 14 consider it.
- MS. DEXTER: Did your response just
- now, was that for both defining what it means to
- take steps and what those practical alternative
- measures are?
- MR. YURDIN: Yes.
- MS. DEXTER: All right. How does
- 21 IEPA plan to approach permitting for large CAFOs
- that have discharged in the past?
- MS. OLSON: Could you be more
- 24 specific?

As we mentioned before as

24

- well, we have had cases where voluntarily submitted
- applications have come into the Agency and permits
- 3 have been issued.
- I think for the most part, the
- 5 most likely scenario is that a discharge would be
- 6 reported to the Agency or discovered by the Agency
- 7 and that subsequent enforcement and compliance
- 8 measures would be taken, and one of those steps,
- 9 just one, would be that a permit would be required.
- MS. DEXTER: So I guess to maybe
- clarify my question, or not clarify it but just make
- sure I have the right answer, IEPA doesn't have a
- specific process of, isn't planning any special
- outreach, for instance, to facilities that IEPA
- knows have discharged in the past compared to the
- rest that they are not aware of discharges in terms
- of implementing the rule?
- MR. YURDIN: We have in the past,
- unrelated to this rulemaking, taken certain steps
- both in terms of setting up a work group that lead
- to the development of these rules and, by other
- means, going out and trying to get the message out
- to the livestock producers. That could happen in a
- number of different ways, in a number of different

- 1 forums.
- I think that will continue,
- and certainly, once we have an established rule here
- or a new rule to work under, then I would think the
- 5 Agency would go out and certainly make an effort to
- 6 make it well-known to the regulated community.
- 7 MS. DEXTER: Can a legitimately
- 8 unpermitted CAFO later come to need a permit?
- 9 MR. YURDIN: Yes.
- MS. DEXTER: When do discharges
- from an unpermitted CAFO cross into the threshold of
- 12 needing a permit?
- MR. YURDIN: There's probably not
- one clear-cut answer here, so it would involve the
- establishment on our part that there is an ongoing
- 16 discharge;
- 17 That the correction of that
- discharge would, in all likelihood, based upon our
- investigation and our judgment, our inspection, that
- that would take a considerable amount of time to
- clear up, to change, to cease;
- That based on the design, the
- construction, the operation and the maintenance of
- that facility that that discharge is likely to

```
Page 119
       continue for some time into the foreseeable future,
 1
 2
       and therefore, that a permit, again, as one step in
 3
       that whole process, the permit would be required.
                       MS. DEXTER: Okay. So basically,
 5
       how this permitting scheme that's being proposed
 6
       works is that IEPA learns of discharges after the
 7
       fact and then may or may not require a permit,
 8
       depending on whether or not the facility is willing
       to take additional steps.
10
                       MR. YURDIN: I don't think it's
11
       entirely up to the facility to make that
       determination. I think we make that determination.
12
13
                       MS. DEXTER:
                                     Right.
14
                       MR. YURDIN:
                                    But to a certain
15
       extent, we rely on information gathered from the
16
       facility itself to make the decision as to whether
17
       or not a permit is necessary in that case, but it's
18
       case by case.
19
                       MS. DEXTER:
                                     Thank you.
                                                I don't
20
       have anything further.
21
                       MS. OLSON: Can I ask a follow-up
22
       question here?
23
                       HEARING OFFICER FOX:
                                              Please go
24
       ahead, Ms. Olson.
```

```
Page 120
```

- MS. OLSON: Mr. Yurdin, is it our
- 2 proposal that a facility that has a discharge must
- 3 show that it's been corrected before the Agency will
- 4 say it doesn't need a permit?
- 5 MR. YURDIN: Absolutely, yes.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Anything
- further, Ms. Olson?
- MS. OLSON: That's all.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Thank you.
- MS. DEXTER: I'm done, and my
- 11 co-counsel here will continue with questions for
- 12 Mr. Heacock.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER FOX: You're
- referring to Ms. Knowles, is that correct?
- MS. DEXTER: Yes.
- 16 QUESTIONING OF IEPA PANEL
- 17 BY MS. KNOWLES:
- 18 Okay. My questions are
- organized around topic area, and the first topic I
- 20 would like to address questions to Mr. Heacock.
- 21 First topic is regarding setbacks.
- 22 Within the setbacks, there are
- various kinds of setbacks in the rule pertaining to
- 24 different areas, and one of those is the production

- 1 area.
- So my first question, Dan, is
- 3 could you describe for us and the Board what kinds
- 4 of activities actually occur in the production area?
- MR. HEACOCK: The production area
- 6 includes the areas where the animals are held. It
- 7 includes the livestock waste storage facilities. It
- 8 may include other areas where they handle raw
- 9 materials like feed, and those are the primary
- 10 areas.
- MS. KNOWLES: Did you mention the
- handling of mortalities? Is that in the production
- 13 area as well?
- MR. HEACOCK: Yes.
- MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- 16 Could you also describe some
- of the specific problems that might occur in a
- production area that could pose a risk of discharge
- 19 to surface waters?
- MR. HEACOCK: Inadequate storage
- 21 either by operation or maintenance not being
- 22 adequate may result in a condition where there could
- be a discharge or an overflow. There may be other
- situations where an accident might occur or

- 1 something would fail.
- Those would be the kinds of
- 3 things that might cause issues of water quality.
- 4 MS. KNOWLES: Just to clarify, you
- said something might fail. Would that include, for
- 6 instance, damaged pipes or maybe runoff from areas
- 7 that best management practices aren't being
- 8 practiced?
- 9 MR. HEACOCK: Yes, it could include
- 10 those things.
- MS. KNOWLES: And is a lagoon an
- 12 example of a waste management facility that would be
- in the production area?
- MR. HEACOCK: Yes.
- MS. KNOWLES: So a lagoon overflow,
- is that a potential problem as well?
- MR. HEACOCK: Yes.
- 18 MS. KNOWLES: And are you able to
- 19 provide an estimate of how much waste a livestock
- lagoon can hold by volume, a ballpark or a maximum?
- 21 MR. HEACOCK: I don't know if I can
- 22 provide a ballpark or a maximum. It's going to
- depend on the constructed size of the facility and
- 24 what that facility needs for storage.

```
MS. KNOWLES: Well, it's my
```

- 2 understanding that a livestock lagoon can actually
- 3 hold millions of gallons of waste.
- 4 Is that accurate?
- 5 MR. HEACOCK: Yes.
- 6 MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- 7 And is it true that there is
- no buffer or setback requirement such that any of
- 9 the activities that you described that occur in a
- 10 production area or structures, that those activities
- and those structures can actually occur or be
- 12 located adjacent to surface waters?
- MR. HEACOCK: Under these rules, I
- don't believe there's anything with regard to
- surface water specifically. There is, however, a
- prohibition with regard to floodplains.
- MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- 18 So the question I ask is, for
- instance, could a lagoon holding up to two million
- gallons of waste be located adjacent to the surface
- 21 water? And, just for clarity, how close could it
- 22 be?
- MR. HEACOCK: Well, it could be
- next to or just outside the ten-year floodplain.

- 1 MS. KNOWLES: And could you
- describe what that means, the ten-year floodplain?
- MR. HEACOCK: That is an area where
- 4 it would flood on a frequency of once in ten years
- on average calculated through State Water Survey or
- 6 U.S.G.S.
- MS. KNOWLES: And are there rivers
- 8 and streams in Illinois where the bank of the river
- 9 would not actually be in that area so that you could
- 10 actually have a lagoon very close to the edge of a
- 11 river or stream?
- 12 MR. HEACOCK: It's conceivable that
- there could be a high bank, yes.
- 14 MS. KNOWLES: The next set of
- questions pertain to setbacks from potable wells.
- 16 Specifically, these questions relate to the distance
- between the production area and the potable well.
- 18 According to the Environmental
- 19 Protection Act, livestock handling facilities cannot
- 20 be located within 200 feet of a potable water supply
- 21 well.
- 22 Am I correct in that?
- MR. HEACOCK: No, not exactly.
- MS. KNOWLES: Can you tell me how

- 1 I'm not correct?
- MR. HEACOCK: The prohibitions or
- 3 the applicability of the Section 14.2 of the
- 4 Illinois Environmental Protection Act has to do a
- 5 with livestock waste handling facilities.
- 6 MR. KNOWLES: So if I rephrase that
- 7 and say that the Environmental Protection Act has a
- 8 setback of 200 feet between livestock waste handling
- 9 facilities and potable water suppliers, is that
- 10 correct?
- I'm not trying to give you a
- 12 hard time. I really don't --
- MR. HEACOCK: Yes, there is a
- setback of 200 feet for potable wells.
- 15 MS. KNOWLES: Okay. And this
- refers specifically to your answer to our question
- number 3 where you state that potable wells have
- been contaminated by livestock production areas
- 19 located more than 200 feet from a potable well.
- Given this, how is 200 feet a
- 21 sufficient setback?
- MS. WILLIAMS: This might be --
- this answer was redirected to Bruce initially, this
- 24 particular question, so if Bruce wants to follow up,

- 1 he can.
- 2 MR. YURDIN: We could think of only
- one instance in which that occurred, and that
- 4 instance involved a discharge from a livestock
- facility into a surface water that we believe then
- 6 contaminated shallow groundwater and lead to the
- 7 contamination of a residential well.
- 8 That's the only instance we
- 9 know of where that has occurred in Illinois over the
- last, over anyone's memory.
- We consulted our field staff,
- and that's the only one we could come up with.
- MS. KNOWLES: You also stated in
- 14 your answers that none of the permitted facilities
- are actually required to monitor groundwater around
- the production area.
- What is your basis for
- 18 claiming that? In your original answer, you said
- instances are few, and now you're saying you only
- 20 know of one.
- So if there's no monitoring
- going around the production area, you don't really
- 23 know, do you?
- MR. YURDIN: We don't have any --

```
Page 127
```

- we have not established through permit or any other
- 2 means that wells need to be installed to monitor
- 3 discharges into groundwater.
- 4 Keeping in mind, of course,
- 5 that we're talking about NPDES permits and
- 6 groundwater is a whole separate category of waters,
- 7 that may explain why that's the case. That and the
- 8 fact that the LMFA has a provision in it that calls
- 9 for wells to be installed under certain
- 10 circumstances.
- MS. KNOWLES: So, I mean, but you
- have adopted a setback from potable wells in your
- regs, so it's obviously a concern of the Agency,
- 14 protection of those wells, right?
- MR. YURDIN: Correct.
- MS. KNOWLES: Do you have a
- scientific basis for the 200-foot setback?
- MS. WILLIAMS: Wait. Hang on. I
- just want to make sure we're clear.
- Which setback are you
- 21 referring to?
- MS. KNOWLES: I'm sorry. We're
- still in the setback of the production area from a
- potable water supply well.

```
Page 128
 1
                       MS. OLSON: Are you talking about a
 2
       specific section of the proposed regulations of the
 3
       proposal?
 4
                       MS. KNOWLES: Yeah. I don't know
 5
       which one though. Give us a second to look, please.
 6
                           (Pause)
                       MS. KNOWLES: You're right.
 7
       not a section of the act. It was in our questions,
 8
       and you responded, and this was just a follow-up to
10
       clarify.
11
                       MS. OLSON: Can I pose one
12
       question?
13
                       MS. KNOWLES:
                                      Sure.
14
                       MS. OLSON: Mr. Heacock, what is
15
       the basis for our response to these questions that
16
       there's a setback of 200 feet from the production
17
       area?
18
                                     The primary basis was
                       MR. HEACOCK:
19
       the Illinois Environmental Protection Act.
20
                       MS. OLSON: Thank you.
21
                       MS. KNOWLES: All right.
                                                  So if I
22
       understand, the basis for the setback is in the
23
       Environmental Protection Act which the Agency is
```

24

bound by.

- So do you know the scientific
- 2 basis for the setback in that section?
- MR. SOFAT: I think the Agency has
- 4 no authority questioning what that Act says. The
- 5 purpose here was to make our rule consistent with
- 6 the Groundwater Protection Act, and that is the step
- 7 we are taking.
- We are not claiming whether or
- 9 not it is protected -- well, we are not claiming
- that it's not protected, and we have only one
- 11 example as Bruce described which gives us no reason
- 12 to question what the Act says.
- MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- 14 The next set of questions are
- about setbacks from temporary manure stacks and
- wells.
- Section 501.404(b) places
- certain restrictions on the location and maintenance
- of temporary -- I quote -- temporary manure stacks.
- 20 Could you explain or define
- for us what temporary is in that context in that
- 22 section?
- MR. HEACOCK: Well, that's actually
- in the regulations. It's livestock waste stored in

- an area for less than six months.
- MS. KNOWLES: Less than six months.
- And I believe I'm correct in
- 4 that there is a 200-foot setback for the temporary
- 5 manure stack to the potable water supply wells. Is
- 6 that right?
- 7 MR. HEACOCK: There can be. There
- 8 are exceptions to that.
- 9 MS. KNOWLES: And what is your
- 10 basis for the 200-foot setback? Given the
- understanding that there are exceptions, what is the
- 12 basis for the 200 feet?
- MR. HEACOCK: In potable wells,
- it's primarily, well, it is the Illinois
- 15 Environmental Protection Act.
- MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- And I understand there is also
- a 75-foot setback for manure stacks, temporary
- manure stacks from other wells non-potable, is that
- 20 correct?
- MR. HEACOCK: Yes.
- MS. KNOWLES: And do you have a
- scientific basis for the 75-foot setback?
- MR. HEACOCK: I don't think we

- 1 necessarily have a specific scientific basis. The
- 2 75 feet setback was based on existing Department of
- Public Health rules and in certain cases established
- a 75-foot setback for certain wells and certain
- 5 facilities including manure piles.
- 6 So to be consistent with that
- and the provisions that allow that under the
- 8 Environmental Protection Act, that's why we used the
- 9 75 feet.
- MS. KNOWLES: And finally on
- setbacks, there are certain setbacks that apply to
- 12 livestock waste land application and the distance
- from that to, again, potable water supply wells.
- In your answer to question 7,
- you state that the basis for the 200-foot setback
- from potable water wells is actually in RCS Standard
- 17 633. Is that correct?
- MR. HEACOCK: Yes.
- MS. KNOWLES: Do you have evidence
- that this 200-foot setback for land application is
- 21 protective of potable water supplies?
- MR. HEACOCK: I don't have any
- 23 specific evidence on that.
- MS. KNOWLES: Did the Agency

- 1 consider requiring livestock handling facilities to
- 2 monitor groundwater if the facility is located or
- 3 land applying within a certain distance of potable
- 4 water supply wells?
- 5 MR. YURDIN: No, we did not. We're
- 6 relying on the setback distance to provide that
- 7 function.
- MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- 9 I'm moving on to a new issue
- 10 which is the technical standards for land
- application. This is Subpart (f) of the proposed
- rule which is Section 502.600, et seq. regarding
- 13 soil sampling.
- 14 In your answer to question 11,
- you state that the Illinois Agronomy Handbook
- requires the soil sampling depth of seven inches
- when sampling for phosphorus.
- 18 It is our understanding that
- seven inches is the appropriate depth when sampling
- for agronomic purposes and that the Agronomy
- 21 Handbook in Chapter 8, page 110, actually represents
- 22 sampling for phosphorus at a depth of one to
- two inches for water quality purposes, and it is
- 24 because it is at this depth of one to two inches

Page 133

- that it will influence the phosphorus runoff from
- 2 that soil.
- We understand that this
- 4 sampling depth is recommended because phosphorus
- 5 builds up in the upper layer of the soil when
- 6 surface land application is practiced and in areas
- 7 where there's no till.
- 8 So given that one of the
- 9 concerns addressed in subpart (f) is the prevention
- of nutrient discharges to surface waters, why
- doesn't IEPA require sampling closer to the surface
- in accordance with the Illinois Agronomy Handbook?
- And I'd move to, if I can find
- it, admit Chapter 8 of the Illinois Agronomy
- 15 Handbook into evidence.
- We only have one copy.
- MS. OLSON: It's Attachment R.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Thank you,
- 19 Ms. Olson.
- I'm going to interrupt you
- only to make this clarification.
- The original proposal by the
- 23 Agency filed on March 1st includes the entire
- Illinois Agronomy Handbook, so if that is

- satisfactory, in the interest of avoiding
- duplication, we can certainly rely upon that in
- 3 reviewing your question and the response to it.
- 4 Did I anticipate what you
- 5 might say, Ms. Olson?
- 6 MS. OLSON: Yes.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER FOX: I thought so.
- 8 However, if you have page
- 9 numbers, section numbers, or other references within
- that handbook, which is fairly lengthy, that would
- 11 be helpful, Ms. Olson.
- MS. KNOWLES: To just state them?
- 13 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Yes. That
- 14 would be great. Thank you.
- In phrasing your question with
- regard to that handbook, if you have page numbers or
- section numbers, that would be helpful for us in
- 18 reviewing the transcript.
- MS. KNOWLES: Okay. I don't know
- if I should sort of summarize what my question was
- since it was kind of lengthy.
- Do you want me to do that,
- 23 Dan?
- MR. HEACOCK: Yeah, that would be

Т	okay.
2	MS. KNOWLES: Okay. So you
3	responded to our question about soil sampling, and
4	you said according to the Illinois Agronomy Handbook
5	for phosphorus sampling, it should be conducted at
6	seven inches, and according to the Agronomy
7	Handbook, they recommend seven inches when your goal
8	is sampling for agronomic purposes, but the Agronomy
9	Handbook also addresses what levels you should
10	sample at if your concerns are water quality,
11	specifically phosphorus runoff, and that
12	recommendation is actually one to two inches, and
13	that recommendation is on page 110 of Chapter 8.
14	So my question is, you know,
15	given that this is in subpart (f) and one of the
16	goals of subpart (f) is to prevent nutrient
17	discharges like phosphorus from discharges of
18	surface water, why doesn't the EPA require sampling
19	closer to the surface in accordance with this
20	recommendation in the Agronomy Handbook?
21	MR. HEACOCK: In developing this
22	criteria, we're basing it on the soil test
23	phosphorus level that's determined for agronomic
24	purposes which is used as the seven-inch sampling

- depth to determine that. It's a typical test used
- 2 by producers or crop growers to determine soil
- 3 phosphorus levels.
- 4 So we considered the fact that
- it is a seven-inch depth in determining the
- 6 appropriate levels needed for the criteria that we
- 7 developed. That's the basis of what we did.
- 8 So we determined the criteria
- 9 based on the sample depth, not another sample depth
- 10 as suggested in the Agronomy Handbook.
- MS. KNOWLES: Right, but there are
- multiple purposes for sampling, and the Agronomy
- Handbook addresses both of those, one of those being
- to determine agronomic rates. Another is to
- determine what phosphorus runoff may occur, is that
- 16 correct?
- MR. HEACOCK: Could you repeat
- that, please?
- MS. KNOWLES: You're claiming that
- the seven-inch sampling depth is recommended by the
- 21 Agronomy Handbook for agronomic purposes, and my
- question is, are there not multiple purposes for
- sampling and the Agronomy Handbook addresses both of
- those. One of those is to determine agronomic

- 1 rates. Another is to determine potential phosphorus
- 2 runoff.
- MR. HEACOCK: In looking at the
- 4 resources and literature that we looked at, many of
- 5 the studies were conducted based on typical sampling
- 6 depths for phosphorus for the purposes of
- 7 determining agronomic rates.
- 8 And so when we're using the
- 9 soil test phosphorus level approach, which is what
- we chose here, we use that rather than some
- 11 alternative depth that is not as well studied or
- 12 established, and it's certainly the case that there
- have been alternative depths proposed.
- MS. KNOWLES: One last question on
- this and I'll leave it.
- 16 Did you actually -- are you
- familiar with the page and the paragraph I'm
- referring to in the Agronomy Handbook, 110, upper
- 19 left-hand corner.
- MR. HEACOCK: Yeah, I'm aware of
- 21 it.
- MS. KNOWLES: Did the Agency
- consider that?
- MR. HEACOCK: Yes, we did.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Knowles,
- 2 I'm going to interrupt. We have been under way for
- approximately 90 minutes and, although she has not
- 4 asked, I suspect our court reporter would appreciate
- 5 a break. It is very nearly exactly 2 o'clock. Why
- 6 don't we take a break of 15 minutes and resume at
- 7 2:15 with the questions that you apparently have to
- 8 ask yet.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 (Recess taken.)
- 11 HEARING OFFICER FOX: We have
- reached 2:15, and it looks like virtually everyone
- has returned. If we could resume, I think our court
- 14 reporter is ready for that.
- The time of 2:15 having come,
- we are prepared to resume after taking a break.
- As a matter of housekeeping, I
- did again check to see if any additional people had
- 19 signed in who had not prefiled testimony but wished
- to do so today. That remains blank, and on the
- sign-in sheet to offer a comment, there is no
- 22 additional name beyond the five persons who offered
- their comment earlier today, so we do not need to
- 24 address that at all.

- If there's no other issue or
- 2 matter to take up, Ms. Knowles, we were dealing with
- 3 the questions, follow-up questions you had raised,
- 4 and we can resume with those right away.
- 5 MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- Again, these questions are
- 7 related to the technical standards for land
- 8 application that are contained in subpart (f) of the
- 9 proposed rule. I have some questions about there's
- a threshold in the rule for when you can use, up to
- which you can use N-based application of livestock
- 12 waste.
- 13 In order to use nitrogen-based
- application, a facility must show, among other
- things, that the available soil P is less than or
- 16 equal to 300 pounds per acre.
- 17 What evidence does the Agency
- have that a 300-pound threshold for available soil P
- is protective of surface water quality?
- 20 MR. HEACOCK: I think in the
- 21 technical support document, we explained our basis
- 22 for that determination.
- In looking at some literature
- 24 regarding phosphorus and phosphorus runoff or

- 1 phosphorus N runoff from sites, we made a
- determination or confirmed basically that in certain
- 3 instances under certain conditions that that could
- 4 be protective.
- 5 If it's less than 300 pounds
- 6 per acre available Bray P1 or Mehlich 3, in those
- 7 cases where it meets the criteria that we have in
- 8 615, we believe it can meet a targeted phosphorus
- 9 content in the runoff of approximately actually .9
- 10 grams per liter, but that's what we -- or not grams,
- 11 I'm sorry, milligrams per liter.
- So based on the literature, we
- determined that could be a criteria used for that.
- 14 MS. KNOWLES: And you're claiming
- that a phosphorus concentration of .9 milligrams per
- liter in runoff is protective of water quality and
- 17 that there's literature that supports that.
- 18 What is that literature?
- 19 MR. HEACOCK: We cited just a
- 20 couple of papers, but one specific to this point I
- think was a paper done by paper by Sharpley that
- talked about that as a target of one milligram per
- liter being a target for discharge for instance from
- sewage treatment plants as an option to be

- 1 protective of water quality.
- So in an equivalent manner, we
- were thinking of using that approach or that idea to
- 4 say the basis for the 300 pounds per acre could be
- 5 that same target for these fields.
- MS. KNOWLES: And how does that
- 7 study propose that one milligram per liter of
- 9 phosphorus is protective of water quality? What is
- 9 the water quality that's being protected by that
- 10 concentration in Illinois?
- It might help if I rephrase
- 12 that.
- MR. HEACOCK: That's fine.
- MS. KNOWLES: As you know, we don't
- have a phosphorus water quality standard in Illinois
- at the moment but we do have some other standards
- that we apply when phosphorus solution is a
- potential problem. One of those is our narrative
- standard which you may be familiar with. The
- 20 narrative standard prohibits the unnatural algal
- blooms in waters of Illinois, and we have a
- 22 dissolved oxygen standard which says dissolved
- oxygen in water should not fall below a certain
- 24 number.

```
So can you tell me what
```

- 2 literature supports your claim that 1.9 milligrams
- 3 per liter or one milligram per liter of phosphorus
- 4 is actually protective of the standards that we have
- 5 in Illinois.
- 6 MR. HEACOCK: I don't know that
- 7 I've cited literature or found literature specific
- 8 to Illinois' water quality standards. This was in
- 9 reference to some USEPA suggested standards for
- discharges from sewage treatment plants and what the
- 11 content of those discharges would be. I don't know
- that I have any other information right now on that.
- MS. KNOWLES: And, I'm sorry, are
- those studies part of the record now?
- MR. HEACOCK: The paper that cites
- that USEPA suggested number is I think part of the
- 17 record.
- MS. KNOWLES: If I could just have
- 19 a moment.
- 20 (Pause)
- MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- MR. HEACOCK: The paper that I was
- talking about, and I think we cited this in the TSD,
- was the Phosphorus Movement in the Landscape. It's

- 1 Attachment GG I guess on the list of documents, and
- 2 it's from the Journal of Production Agriculture, and
- it's written by Sharpley, Daniel, and Edwards, 1993.
- 4 MS. KNOWLES: What was the year?
- 5 MR. HEACOCK: 1993.
- 6 MS. KNOWLES: Okay. My final
- question about nitrogen-based application.
- 8 If a facility is using
- 9 nitrogen-based application of livestock waste and
- the available soil phosphorus on that land is more
- than 50 pounds, why is there no requirement that
- phosphorus application be neutral as there is in the
- phosphorus-based application section which is
- 14 502.615(d)(3).
- If that's not clear, I can
- 16 rephrase.
- If I may, there are two kinds
- of land application. One is nitrogen-based and the
- second is phosphorus-based, and if you want to use
- nitrogen-based application, you can do so up to a
- certain point, and that is determined by the amount
- of phosphorus in the soil, and so the threshold in
- the proposed rule is 300 pounds.
- Under the phosphorus-based

23 Those are things specific to 24 nitrogen-based applications that are not specific to

and reduce runoff.

22

- the phosphorus-based application which has the
- 2 neutral phosphorus requirement in it.
- MS. KNOWLES: So you're saying that
- 4 there are other limitations within that section that
- are different from the phosphorus-based, but again,
- 6 why is there not also the requirement that it be
- 7 applied at a neutral rate?
- MR. HEACOCK: Well, in that case,
- 9 with those additional controls, we don't think that
- it's necessary to impose that additional requirement
- of the neutral phosphorus application rate; that
- with these controls, that's sufficient.
- MS. KNOWLES: Okay. My next area
- of questioning has to do with unpermitted CAFOs,
- specifically the nutrient management plan and
- subpart (f) of the regulations.
- 17 Earlier we had some questions
- and answers about the number of -- let me just ask
- the question, and you can say if it's already been
- asked because I don't think it has.
- How many -- can you please
- estimate the number of large CAFOs in Illinois
- 23 today?
- MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. I think it has

- been asked and answered, but if you want Bruce to
- 2 answer it, he can.
- MS. KNOWLES: I'd love Bruce to
- 4 answer.
- 5 MR. YURDIN: I don't have any new
- 6 information.
- 7 MS. KNOWLES: What is it? Do you
- 8 still say you don't know or...
- 9 MR. YURDIN: Well, I think you
- 10 folks submitted some information. It's not my
- information. It's your information. So I haven't
- had a chance to really go through this at this
- 13 point. I have no new information.
- 14 MS. KNOWLES: The specific question
- 15 I'm asking now though is about a smaller universe
- which is large CAFOs, and we submitted an exhibit
- wherein the Agency itself estimated that there were
- 18 500 CAFOs, and I believe that was in the year 2011.
- 19 I'm not asking for an exact
- number. Does that sound like a good ballpark, 500
- 21 large CAFOs in Illinois?
- 22 MR. YURDIN: No, it does not sound
- like a good number to me.
- MS. KNOWLES: Okay. And you have

- 1 no ballpark number to throw out?
- MR. YURDIN: No.
- MS. KNOWLES: Any idea why the
- 4 Agency would say there are 500?
- 5 MR. YURDIN: That was based on
- 6 information that we had going back eight or nine
- years ago preceding or about the same time as the
- 8 2003 Federal Livestock Rule, and it preceded two
- 9 federal court cases and the subsequent 2008 federal
- 10 rule. The world has changed a lot since then.
- MS. KNOWLES: That's what I'm
- 12 trying to -- I'm not asking you how many facilities
- might be subject to the regulations or might require
- 14 a permit. I'm just asking you based on size alone,
- 15 number of units.
- No idea?
- 17 MR. YURDIN: We have information --
- we talked about our inventory. There's information
- there that we could maybe base an estimate on, but I
- haven't made such an estimate.
- MS. KNOWLES: Is that something
- 22 that the Agency could do in this rulemaking that you
- could provide?
- MR. YURDIN: Yes.

- MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- Would you agree that
- 3 unpermitted -- let me get this right -- unpermitted
- 4 livestock facilities and livestock waste handling
- facilities compromise the vast majority of such
- facilities in Illinois, for most of these facilities
- 7 unpermitted?
- MR. YURDIN: Yes.
- 9 MS. KNOWLES: Would you agree that
- 10 the vast majority of -- that doesn't make sense.
- I'll pass on that.
- Okay. In your answer to our
- question No. 10...this is for Mr. Heacock now...you
- 14 stated that IEPA can verify that waste is being
- applied at agronomic rates and consistent with
- setback requirements by reviewing the annual reports
- that are required by 502.325(9), and also you could
- verify that they're applying at the agronomic rate
- by referring to the reporting requirements in
- 20 502.320(w), is that correct?
- MR. YURDIN: Actually, there's a
- 22 typo in that first reference. It should be
- 23 Section 502.325(b)(9) through (13).
- MS. KNOWLES: So to back up a

```
Page 149
 1
       little bit, we posed a question that said how do you
       know that there's a requirement that livestock waste
 2
       be applied at an agronomic rate. How does the
       Agency know that's actually happening?
 5
                              And your answer said, well,
 6
       there are other reporting requirements contained in
 7
       the two sections that I've cited.
                              Isn't it true that these
 9
       sections do not apply to large unpermitted CAFOs?
10
                       MR. YURDIN: Correct.
11
                       MS. KNOWLES: So how will the
12
       Agency ensure that large unpermitted CAFOs, which I
13
       think are the vast majority of large CAFOs in the
14
       state -- let me ask that question first, okay,
15
       before I make assumptions for you.
16
                             Would you agree that the vast
17
       majority of large CAFOs in the state are unpermitted
18
       at this time?
19
                       MR. YURDIN: Yes.
20
                       MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
21
                             So how would the Agency ensure
```

that large unpermitted CAFOs are applying waste at

agronomic rates as they are required to do by

22

23

24

502.510(10)?

- MR. HEACOCK: Unpermitted CAFOs,
- we're probably not going to be able to assure them
- 3 individually unless we do an inspection site and
- look at the records. That's required under
- 5 510(b)(15).
- 6 MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- 7 Now this question is a bit of
- 8 a doozy. It's a long one, and I will try to be
- 9 really clear, and if anybody doesn't understand, I
- 10 can rephrase or go back.
- So we're looking at subpart
- 12 (f) which contains the livestock waste discharge
- limits and technical standards, and your answer to
- our question No. 16. You state that only sections
- 15 502.630 and 502.645(a) and the setbacks in subpart
- 16 (f), only those sections apply to unpermitted CAFOs.
- 17 You also stated that
- 18 502.510(b) applies to unpermitted CAFOs.
- 19 So we have 502.630,
- 502.645(a), and additional setbacks in subpart (f)
- plus 502.510(b) that apply to unpermitted CAFOs.
- Now, 502.615 which is also
- part of subpart (f) requires a field assessment be
- 24 conducted by permitted CAFOs for each field in order

- 1 to determine the N, nitrogen, and phosphorus
- transfer potential.
- 3 This field assessment
- 4 identifies, among other things, slope, on field
- 5 conservation practices, soil erodability, soil test
- 6 phosphorus, tile inlet locations, distance to
- surface waters, proximity to wells, and the location
- 8 of conduits to surface water.
- 9 Am I correct in concluding
- that the field assessment I just described, that
- 11 requirement does not apply to unpermitted large
- 12 CAFOs?
- MR. HEACOCK: Yes.
- 14 MS. KNOWLES: Now, back to Section
- 502.510(b), that section does apply to large
- unpermitted CAFOs, and it places a number of
- 17 requirements on them that include but are not
- limited to: 1) the need to land apply livestock
- 19 waste at agronomic rates; 2) the need to possess
- adequate land area for waste application; 3)
- 21 appropriate site specific conservation practices;
- 22 and 4) various setback requirements.
- So now my question is, how is
- it possible for the unpermitted CAFO to comply with

MR. HEACOCK:

It may be true that

24

- some of those factors are going to be needed to make
- the determination, but, again, it may be that
- alternative ways of making a determination might be
- 4 available, and so we didn't specify that in 510(b).
- 5 MS. KNOWLES: But do you know what
- 6 those alternatives are?
- 7 MR. HEACOCK: I don't have anything
- 8 in mind on that.
- 9 MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- 10 Again, a further question to
- 11 clarify this issue. I asked about how do you
- determine agronomic rates if you don't have a field
- assessment. Again, another requirement is that the
- unpermitted CAFO has to show that there's adequate
- land area for waste application.
- And again, how does the
- unpermitted CAFO do that if it doesn't do a field
- 18 assessment?
- 19 MR. HEACOCK: Well, they may not do
- this assessment, but maybe they do an alternative
- 21 assessment, and their criteria is slightly different
- as to what they look at to make that determination,
- so we haven't specified this specific approach, but
- there may be other things that could be done to make

- 1 the assessment.
- MS. KNOWLES: And you're not able
- 3 to state what those are, correct?
- 4 MR. HEACOCK: That's right.
- 5 MS. KNOWLES: And is there any
- 6 alternative guidance regarding that the Agency has
- 7 provided or reviewed?
- MR. HEACOCK: I don't know of any
- 9 right now.
- MR. RAO: May I ask a follow-up
- 11 question?
- MS. KNOWLES: Of course.
- MR. RAO: We had a question on the
- same area, question No. 40 under 502.600, and in
- response to our question, you stated, if an
- unpermitted large CAFO seeking to claim the
- agricultural stormwater exemption choose to comply
- with the provisions of 502.615 through 502.645, then
- 19 it will also have met the requirements of Section
- 20 502.510(b)(10).
- My question is what is the
- downside of specifying these requirements as a way
- to comply with 510(b)(10) for unpermitted large
- 24 facilities?

- 1 MR. SOFAT: I think the Agency's
- 2 proposal wanted to keep the flexibility that the
- federal rule has. We did not want to take away the
- 4 technology or other developments that could happen
- 5 in the future and therefore bind them to the
- 6 requirements that we do have for the permitted rule.
- 7 So it was more flexibility; give them room.
- 8 Again, 510(b) needs to be
- general complied with. How you comply, all that is being
- left on unpermitted large CAFOs to decide. They
- 11 know their site. They could be involved in groups,
- with the universities, that they're looking into
- technologies, and we do not want to, just like the
- 14 federal rule talks about, we did not want to limit
- that flexibility so that they can effectively and
- efficiently comply with the ag stormwater exemption
- requirement and not be just tied to, oh, you must
- comply with (b)(10) or (b)(9), the requirements that
- we have today in the rule.
- 20 So it was the flexibility
- component that we wanted to keep and, thus, not
- subject them to each and every requirement that we
- have for the permitted CAFOs.
- MR. RAO: Could you have provided

- that flexibility by providing these requirements as
- a way to comply and also allow them to comply with
- 3 alternative means?
- 4 MR. SOFAT: And I think Dan
- responded to that. If they choose to follow 510(b),
- 6 they can and therefore show compliance with the ag
- 7 stormwater exemption requirement.
- 8 However, imposing that
- 9 requirement through (b)(10) or some other section
- that unpermitted large CAFOs must comply with each
- and every specific requirement I believe we are
- taking away the flexibility in that case.
- MR. RAO: Thank you.
- MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- Another question.
- Section 502.620 also of
- subpart (f) prohibits land application during
- certain precipitation events or the forecast
- 19 thereof.
- For instance, 502.620(d)
- 21 prohibits land application within 24 hours of a
- forecast of one-half inch of rain or more in a
- 23 24-hour period. Section 502.620 does not apply to
- 24 unpermitted CAFOs.

```
1 Does this mean that an
```

- 2 unpermitted CAFO could land apply livestock waste
- 3 the day before a major storm event is forecast?
- 4 MS. WILLIAMS: Kim, I apologize. I
- 5 split pages and now I've lost...
- 6 MS. KNOWLES: Shall I start over?
- 7 MS. WILLIAMS: Which one are we
- following up on? Is there a specific one that we're
- 9 following up on? I missed it if you identified it.
- MS. KNOWLES: I haven't framed my
- 11 questions in that way.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Oh, okay. Thank
- 13 you.
- MS. KNOWLES: Do you want me to
- repeat the question though?
- MS. WILLIAMS: No. That's fine.
- 17 If Dan heard it, it's fine.
- MR. HEACOCK: I think I do need you
- 19 to restate it or rephrase it.
- MS. KNOWLES: Okay. So there's
- 502.620 of subpart (f), and this prohibits land
- 22 application during certain precipitation events, or
- 23 the forecast thereof.
- 24 For instance, 502.620(d)

- 1 prohibits land application within 24 hours of a
- forecast of one-half inch rain or more in a 24-hour
- period, so if there's a forecast of a half inch of
- 4 rain, you can't land apply. However, this section
- only applies to permitted CAFOs.
- Does this mean an unpermitted
- 7 CAFO could land apply livestock waste the day before
- 8 a major storm event is forecast?
- 9 MR. HEACOCK: This rule, as you
- stated, doesn't apply to an unpermitted CAFO, so
- 11 conceivably, they wouldn't be violating that rule.
- If they did do that, however,
- obviously, there's a possibility of runoff, so that
- may not be a good practice for them to follow, and
- if that is the practice they're following, it could
- be that they're not really meeting 510(b)(2) -- I
- got the numbers wrong -- 510(b) of 502, and their
- 18 practice is not sufficient.
- MS. KNOWLES: Right. But the
- 20 practice I described is specifically prohibited for
- 21 permitted large CAFOs, is not prohibited for
- unpermitted, and we've established that a vast
- majority of the large CAFOs are unpermitted in
- 24 Illinois, correct?

- 1 MR. HEACOCK: Right.
- MS. KNOWLES: Again, similarly,
- 3 Section 502.620 of subpart (f) also prohibits line
- 4 application on slopes greater than 15 percent.
- 5 Can you explain what the
- 6 purpose of this prohibition is?
- 7 MR. HEACOCK: It was to provide a
- 8 best management practice for the permitted CAFOs to
- 9 follow to avoid steeply sloping land that might most
- 10 likely have runoff of livestock waste.
- MS. KNOWLES: So livestock waste
- 12 runoff is more likely on that greater slope,
- 13 correct?
- MR. HEACOCK: Yes.
- MS. KNOWLES: Is it true that large
- unpermitted CAFOs can land apply on slopes greater
- than 15 percent?
- MR. HEACOCK: Yes.
- MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- 20 502.620 prohibits land
- application in sensitive geological areas such as
- bedrock outcrops and others that I don't describe
- here.
- Can waste be applied to the

```
Page 160
       sensitive geological areas described in 502.620(h)
 1
       through (k) by an unpermitted CAFO?
 2
 3
                       MR. HEACOCK: It's possible for
 4
       some of those items.
                             I think (i) is troubling for
 5
       the unpermitted because there may be an issue of
       whether that would be agriculture utilization, and
 7
       that won't meet the other provisions of 510(b).
                       MS. KNOWLES: So are you saying
 9
       it's possible but less likely because those areas
       aren't used for crops?
10
11
                       MR. HEACOCK: Correct.
12
                       MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
13
                       MR. HEACOCK: I quess to add, I
14
       mean, that CAFO has an opportunity to develop
15
       practices to deal with these particular issues on
16
       their own as an unpermitted large CAFO including
17
       adopting these.
18
                       MS. KNOWLES: Right, but that's
19
       strictly voluntary, correct?
20
                       MR. HEACOCK: Yes.
21
                       MS. OLSON: Can I ask a follow-up
22
       question?
23
                       HEARING OFFICER FOX: Go ahead,
```

24

Ms. Olson.

- MS. OLSON: The line of questioning
- 2 that you have been answering has been targeting
- 3 unpermitted large CAFOs and what's required of them,
- but the question that I want to focus on, just
- because something is not specifically prohibited in
- 6 Section 502.620 for unpermitted large CAFOs does not
- 7 mean that the Agency is advocating for unpermitted
- 8 large CAFOs to do these things. Would you say
- 9 that's correct?
- MR. HEACOCK: Yes.
- MS. OLSON: And would you also say
- that if an unpermitted large CAFO were to land apply
- manure in contravention with what is stated in 620,
- it is a possibility they may not be able to claim
- the agricultural stormwater exemption, is that
- 16 correct?
- MR. HEACOCK: Yes.
- MS. OLSON: That's all I have.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Thank you.
- Ms. Olson.
- Ms. Knowles, please feel free
- to go ahead.
- MS. KNOWLES: Section 502.625 of
- subpart (f) addresses livestock waste application

- 1 rates and applies only to permitted CAFOs.
- For example, subsection (b)
- 3 requires an estimate of annual livestock waste
- 4 volumes.
- 5 Subsection (c) requires a
- determination of the nutrient value of the livestock
- 7 waste.
- (e), a determination of
- 9 realistic crop yield goals;
- 10 And (g), the phosphorus
- 11 content of waste. I'm sorry. (q) has three
- 12 requirements: the phosphorus content of waste, the
- phosphorus needed for each crop, and the phosphorus
- 14 carryover from previous years.
- How is it possible for the
- unpermitted CAFO to comply with the requirements of
- 502.510, and that is that waste be applied at an
- agronomic rate, if the determinations in 502.625
- that I just listed are not also required?
- To rephrase that more simply,
- 21 how is the large unpermitted CAFO going to ensure
- that it applies at an agronomic rate if it doesn't
- have an estimate of its annual livestock waste, if
- it doesn't know the nutrient value of the waste?

```
Page 163
                              You know, I understand...I'll
 1
 2
       stop there.
 3
                       MR. HEACOCK: I don't think the
       presumption of 510(b) is that they wouldn't have to
 4
       make some of these kinds of determinations.
 5
                              What these criteria do is
 7
       provide a specific way to do that and that a
       permitted large CAFO could choose to use these but
 8
       they may have alternative ways to determine some of
10
       these factors.
                                     Thank you.
11
                       MS. KNOWLES:
12
                              502.635 of subpart (f)
13
       contains manure and soil sampling protocols.
       According to your answer to our question No. 16,
14
       this section does not apply to unpermitted CAFOs.
15
16
                              Why are you requiring these
       specific sampling methods for permitted?
17
18
                       MR. HEACOCK: There's two parts to
19
       that I think.
20
                              One is it's something that
21
       needs to be specified in the nutrient management
22
       plan for permitted CAFOs.
23
                              I'm not sure I got your
24
       question. Could you ask that again?
```

	Page 16
1	MS. KNOWLES: Yeah.
2	In the proposed rule,
3	Section 502.635, you require that manure and soil
4	sampling be conducted according to specified
5	protocols, and that applies only to the permitted
6	CAFOs.
7	So my question is, why those
8	protocols? Really, what's achieved by following the
9	protocols that you've chosen?
10	MR. HEACOCK: The second part of my
11	answer is that this relates to the criteria that we
12	have in Part 615 for proper application rates,
13	particularly with regard to phosphorus but also.
14	nitrogen in making those determinations.
15	So the rates that you come up
16	with are dependent upon having the proper sampling,
17	and following those criteria is also dependent on
18	having proper sampling of the soils in the livestoc
19	waste to get meaningful results.
20	MS. KNOWLES: So the protocol as
21	you've chosen to put in the rule will allow proper
22	sampling?
23	MR. HEACOCK: Yes.
24	MS. KNOWLES: Section 502.510(b)(9)

- 1 requires unpermitted CAFOs to use protocols for the
- 2 appropriate testing of livestock waste and soil.
- What are appropriate protocols
- 4 for such testing?
- 5 MR. HEACOCK: Well, it could be the
- ones that are in 635. Again, depending on what
- 7 their practices are under their plan, or not their
- plan but their practices under (b)(10) or (b), I'm
- 9 sorry, 510(b), they may have alternative ways that
- they may do the sampling and/or analysis to make
- their determinations that they're providing
- 12 agricultural utilization of the nutrients and
- following whatever practices they have determined
- they are going to follow.
- MS. KNOWLES: Why would the soil
- sampling requirements differ from permitted versus
- 17 unpermitted CAFOs?
- 18 MR. HEACOCK: They may not, but
- again, there may be other ways to deal with
- 20 phosphorus application rates for instance besides
- 21 what we have laid out in 600 series of the rule
- 22 MS. KNOWLES: Are you able to
- identify for us alternative protocols for soil
- 24 manure sampling?

1 MR. HEACOCK: Not offhand, no. 2 MS. KNOWLES: My next set of 3 questions pertain to the livestock waste, land 4 application equipment, and the inspection thereof. 5 Mr. Heacock, can you please 6 describe some of the problems that occur with land 7 application equipment, that might occur with land 8 application equipment? 9 MS. WILLIAMS: Can you repeat the 10 question? It might be a Bruce question. 11 MS. KNOWLES: Could you please 12 describe some of the problems that might occur with 13 land application, the equipment that's used to land 14 apply livestock waste? 15 MR. YURDIN: I think the direction 16 that we're giving to producers in Section 502.640 17 concerns calibration of the equipment. So the 18 understanding there is that we're trying to cross 19 that threshold where there may not be calibration. 20 The equipment, therefore, may overapply or the 21 producer may overapply, not actually know it. 22 So by establishing this calibration requirement, we're trying to avoid that. 23 24 But if you're asking about

```
Page 167
 1
       what types of mechanical malfunctions occur, that's
 2
       a broad area.
 3
                       MS. KNOWLES: If you could name
 4
       maybe the top, the common problems.
 5
                       MR. YURDIN: Pumps that fail,
 6
       pipelines that come apart, break, rupture. Those
       are probably the most common things we've seen.
 8
                       MS. KNOWLES: It's my understanding
 9
       that a typical way to land apply is to have a pump
10
       at the storage unit. The pump sprays through what's
11
       really more like hoses, through a tractor or some
12
       kind of pivot irrigation system, is that correct?
13
                       MR. YURDIN: That's one means of
14
       doing it, yes.
15
                       MS. KNOWLES: And how long can
16
       those hoses be?
17
                       MR. YURDIN: Quite a long way.
18
       big is your pump?
19
                       MS. KNOWLES: I've heard they can
20
       be as long as two miles. Is that --
21
                                    That's possible.
                       MR. YURDIN:
22
                       MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
```

As you've stated in your

answers, unpermitted facilities use the same manure

23

24

MS. KNOWLES: Alternatives for

inspecting their equipment and calibrating their

22

23

24

equipment?

- MR. HEACOCK: Our rule is not that
- 2 specific as to how it's done, so, I mean, they may
- need to do that to assure the agricultural
- utilization, so there may be some practices in their
- 5 keeping records of that as required in (b)(10).
- 6 They would have those practices.
- 7 MS. KNOWLES: And finally, why are
- 8 we creating a separate and more vague regulatory
- 9 system for nonpermitted CAFOs, particularly when
- they are the great majority of livestock facilities
- in the state?
- MR. SOFAT: Again, it goes back to
- 13 keeping the flexibility. I think I'm hearing the
- line of questioning where the assumption is that
- somehow CAFO operators won't be doing the things
- that are necessary.
- If they are land applying, I'm
- sure they are mindful of the pipe, how it's
- 19 attached, and if there is an issue with calibration
- or other things, because at the end of the day, they
- still have to show us that the land application was
- consistent with the ag stormwater exemption.
- So therefore, nothing in this
- rule is trying to imply that somehow they don't have

- 1 to follow those requirements. It's just that they
- 2 are not specified for them because we are
- 3 considering them to be someone who will look into
- 4 their own site, own cases, and decide what is
- 5 necessary to comply with the ag stormwater
- 6 exemption.
- 7 MS. KNOWLES: This is my last area
- 8 of questions.
- 9 These pertain to off-site
- transfer which means a CAFO operation can actually
- 11 transfer livestock waste to land that is not owned
- 12 by it.
- So in your answer to question
- 14 18, our question 18, you state that...I'm calling
- this third party land application. In your answer
- to question 18, you state that third party land
- application areas may be specified to be part of the
- 18 CAFO owner's nutrient management plan in the permit
- 19 application.
- 20 Under what circumstances would
- such third party land application area be part of
- the CAFOs' nutrient management plan?
- MR. HEACOCK: If the applicant
- 24 proposes to include that in the nutrient management

- 1 plan as part of the necessary land base for land
- 2 application of the waste from that CAFO, then that
- 3 would be part of their nutrient management plan.
- 4 MS. KNOWLES: So that sounds like
- it would be everybody because if you're land
- applying to third-party land, it means you need it,
- 7 right? So shouldn't it be part of every nutrient
- 8 management plan?
- 9 MR. HEACOCK: There is the
- opportunity for off-site recipients of the waste,
- which could be a third party, to come in and take
- the waste off-site, and they have to keep records of
- that, the CAFO does, under a part of these
- 14 regulations as far as the amount and who it is and
- where it goes and the acreage that it goes to, but
- they're not actually part of the full nutrient
- management plan, that site. That is a possibility.
- 18 But at the same time, the rule
- does also require that facility to have adequate
- land available to them to land apply the waste they
- generate so they'll have that land available, but it
- 22 may not include the off-site recipients that might
- take waste off-site.
- MS. KNOWLES: Why would you land

- apply to third-party land if you don't need it?
- 2 MR. HEACOCK: I'm not sure I
- 3 understand that question.
- 4 MS. KNOWLES: I'm sorry. I
- 5 understood your answer to mean that if you're
- applying to third-party land, it has to be part of
- 7 the nutrient management plan if you need that land,
- 8 right?
- 9 You don't have enough of your
- own land and you need to go elsewhere. You have too
- much waste, so you apply it to third-party land,
- you'd have to show in your nutrient management plan
- that, you know, you don't have adequate land and you
- 14 needed this other land.
- MR. HEACOCK: CAFO land applies
- that material to third-party land under their
- 17 control of the CAFO. That has to be part of the
- 18 nutrient management plan.
- MS. KNOWLES: What do you mean by
- 20 under the control?
- MR. HEACOCK: If they're
- determining the rates and how it's going to be
- applied and they're applying it to that land, even
- though it's not their own land or it's not readily

```
Page 173
```

- 1 released by them, they have to include it in the
- 2 nutrient management plan. They have to get consent
- under these proposed rules to take it there, and it
- 4 has to be part of the nutrient management plan in
- 5 that case.
- 6 MS. KNOWLES: And to clarify, when
- you say it has to be part of the nutrient management
- 8 plan, does that mean that the technical standards in
- 9 the rule and the limitations on the rates of
- application and all that apply just as they would to
- the land owned by the CAFO owner?
- MR. HEACOCK: Yes.
- MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- 14 This practice I'm calling
- third party, we may not be talking about the same
- thing here.
- What I'm referring to is land
- 18 that is definitely not owned by the CAFO owner. The
- 19 CAFO owner takes its waste itself and applies it to
- this land owned by another.
- 21 What I understand you to say
- is that in that case, it has to be in the nutrient
- management plan and treated the same as the land
- owned by the CAFO owner.

- 1 MR. HEACOCK: Yes.
- 2 MS. KNOWLES: And how common is
- 3 that practice?
- 4 MR. HEACOCK: I don't know if I can
- 5 give you a percentage but it does happen.
- 6 MS. KNOWLES: And the other
- 7 situation you described I believe is that a third
- 8 party comes to the CAFO, removes the waste, takes it
- 9 somewhere else and land applies it.
- 10 Does that happen?
- MR. HEACOCK: I believe that that
- can happen and probably does happen.
- MS. KNOWLES: And under those
- circumstances, that is not included in the nutrient
- 15 management plan.
- 16 MR. HEACOCK: If that land is not
- owned, rented, or leased by the CAFO and not under
- the control of that CAFO, then they may be able to
- do that and not be part of the nutrient management
- 20 plan, yes.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Can I --
- 22 MS. KNOWLES: And do you know if
- that practice is common?
- MR. HEACOCK: I don't know how

- 1 common that is.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Can I ask a
- 3 follow-up?
- 4 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Please go
- 5 ahead, Ms. Williams.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Mr. Heacock, we're
- 7 kind of dancing around this third-party term, right?
- 8 So you've clarified that when the CAFO controls the
- 9 land application, even if they don't own the land,
- 10 that's not a third party.
- Is there a situation where
- 12 application is conducted by a third party but the
- 13 CAFO must include that in its nutrient management
- 14 plan? Can you explain?
- MR. HEACOCK: Yes. If it is
- applied to land by the third party but it's on land
- owned, rented or leased by that CAFO, then they
- would have to include it in the plan.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Williams,
- any further follow-up?
- MS. WILLIAMS: Is it required that
- the CAFO own/rent/lease enough land to land apply
- 23 all its waste?
- MR. HEACOCK: No, it's not

- 1 required.
- MS. WILLIAMS: So what happens if
- 3 the CAFO doesn't own/rent/lease enough land to land
- 4 apply its waste?
- 5 MR. HEACOCK: They would need to
- 6 seek permission or consent to land apply waste on
- another site that they don't own, rent or lease, and
- 8 that land would need to become part of the nutrient
- 9 management plan so that they have enough land
- available to them under the plan to manage their
- 11 facilities and the waste in those facilities.
- MS. KNOWLES: We believe that the
- regulations are not sufficiently clear on this
- issue. Would the Agency consider additional edits
- to clarify this issue?
- MR. HEACOCK: We can look at that
- issue.
- MS. KNOWLES: Do you want
- 19 suggestions?
- MR. HEACOCK: Yes.
- MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- MS. MANNING: Could I do a
- follow-up question, Mr. Fox, on this line of
- 24 questioning as well?

or just about NRCS or more generally?

1 MS. MANNING: Does the LMFA have 2 manure management plans that producers use to 3 establish appropriate agronomic rates for the land application and have producers been using those provisions of the Livestock Management Facilities 6 Act regulations pursuant to the Department of 7 Agriculture? 8 MR. HEACOCK: The LMFA does have 9 provisions regarding agronomic rates. I think we've 10 seen some plans where they've attempted to use or do 11 use those provisions or at least in part those 12 provisions for agronomic rates currently. 13 MS. MANNING: And do many producers as well use the standards set forth in NRCS in terms 14 15 of land application of livestock waste? 16 MR. HEACOCK: Some of them do. 17 MS. MANNING: And when a producer 18 gets -- you're familiar with the term EQUIP dollars 19 from the U.S. Department of Agriculture? 20 MR. HEACOCK: Yes, I'm familiar 21 with the term. 22 MS. MANNING: So are you familiar 23 that when a producer requests such EQUIP dollars,

they have to go through NRCS's protocols and various

```
Page 179
       standards in order to justify whatever it is that
 1
 2
       they're seeking from NRCS?
 3
                        MR. HEACOCK: It's my understanding
       they have to follow NRCS standards in both programs.
 5
                       MS. MANNING: And that some of the
 6
       flexibility that the Agency then built into this
 7
       rule is for the purpose of allowing a producer to be
       able to use all of those other protocols as well,
 9
       many of which are established as well by the
10
       University of Illinois and other institutions that
11
       producers are used to working with. Is that
12
       accurate as well?
13
                       MR. HEACOCK: It's true that the
14
       flexibility may allow those other protocols to be
15
       used.
16
                       MS. MANNING:
                                      Thank you.
17
                       HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Knowles,
18
       do you have any further questions?
19
                       MS. KNOWLES: I believe I just have
20
       one more if I can have a second.
21
                           (Pause)
22
                                      This is my final
                       MS. KNOWLES:
23
       question and on the same topic of this off-site
24
       transfer.
```

characterized, and again, this is a new model that

USEPA proposed, and we wanted to keep that

23

- 1 flexibility in the rule.
- MS. DEXTER: Thank you.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Dexter,
- 4 that sounds like the end of the follow-ups on the
- 5 part of the Environmental Groups?
- 6 MS. DEXTER: Yes.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good.
- Were there any other
- 9 follow-ups, Ms. Manning, on the part of the
- 10 Agricultural Coalition?
- MS. MANNING: I do have one
- 12 follow-up for Mr. Sofat.
- Mr. Sofat in light of that
- answer that you just gave, would it be safe to say
- that the entire impetus of this rulemaking both
- 16 federally and from the state's perspective is to
- 17 prevent a discharge?
- 18 It's to actually -- let me
- 19 rephrase that. It's to require those facilities
- that are discharging to get a permit, so if a
- facility uses its flexibility that's written in
- these rules, they're an unpermitted large CAFO and
- they use flexibility but they nonetheless have a
- discharge to a water of the United States, they are

- then required to get a permit and they are required
- 2 to be scripted in terms of the very language set
- forth in its entirety in the rule, correct?
- MR. SOFAT: The way I understand
- 5 the question and therefore I will try to respond
- 6 that way, our proposal, Part 502 sets out the
- 7 requirement for the permitted world and outlined
- 8 that if unpermitted large CAFOs want to seek ag
- 9 stormwater exemption, then they must comply with
- 10 certain requirements.
- 11 So that is pretty much the
- objective the way we have laid this rule out.
- MS. MANNING: And that's consistent
- with the federal rule, correct?
- MR. SOFAT: I believe so.
- MS. MANNING: Thank you.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Anything
- 18 further, Ms. Manning?
- MS. MANNING: No.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good.
- 21 Having had the Environmental
- Groups indicate that their follow-ups are complete
- and the follow-ups on the part of the Agricultural
- Coalition are complete, we can at this stage turn to

- the follow-up questions that were filed by the
- 2 Pollution Control Board in an attempt to get a
- 3 hearing officer order.
- 4 I do want to address two of
- 5 them with Mr. Rao's indulgence quickly. That is the
- 6 response to question No. 1 in which the Agency had
- 7 indicated that it was able to provide a copy for the
- 8 record of a particular document entitled "An Urgent
- 9 Call to Action" and also address the Agency's
- response to the Board's question No. 3 in which it
- indicated the willingness to introduce a permit
- writer's manual into the record, and, Ms. Olson, I
- believe you're the right person to recognize for
- those two issues, and if you need to take a moment,
- please do so, and when you're ready, let us know.
- In the meantime, Mr. Rao
- raised a question that's worth clarifying.
- 18 Ouestion No. 2 addressed a
- water quality report and Section 303D list, and that
- has been admitted as Exhibit No. 11, so I don't mean
- 21 to overlook the Board's question but that has been
- addressed, and we won't press that any further.
- 23 MS. OLSON: In response to the
- Pollution Control Board's prefiled question 1, the

- 1 Agency has prepared what's been marked as
- 2 Exhibit 19, a copy of "An Urgent Call to Action"
- 3 report of the state's EPA Nutrient Innovations Task
- 4 Group, and in response to the Pollution Control
- Board's question No. 3, we have prepared what has
- 6 been marked as Exhibit 20, NPDES Permit Writers
- 7 Guidance Manual and example NPDES permit for
- 8 concentrated animal feeding operations.
- 9 I believe we have -- did we
- 10 give these?
- MS. WILLIAMS: How many copies do
- 12 you need?
- 13 HEARING OFFICER FOX: A minimum of
- one.
- MS. WILLIAMS: So will two be
- 16 enough?
- 17 HEARING OFFICER FOX: I believe for
- our purposes this afternoon, two would be just fine.
- MS. OLSON: We have given each of
- the parties a copy, and at this time, we'd like to
- 21 move for the admission into the record of
- Exhibits 19 and 20.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Having heard
- Ms. Olson's motion to admit those two documents as

```
Page 186
       Exhibit Nos. 19 and 20 respectively, is there any
 1
       participant who objects to the motion?
 2
 3
                              Neither seeing nor hearing
       any, Ms. Olson, it will be granted, and if I may get
       a copy of those two, I'll mark them and admit them
 6
       under those exhibit numbers.
 7
                           (Whereupon Ms. Olson handed the
 8
                           aforementioned exhibits to the
 9
                           Hearing Officer.)
10
                        HEARING OFFICER FOX: Thank you
11
       very much.
12
                           (Whereupon Exhibits 19 and 20
13
                           were admitted into evidence at
14
                           this time.)
15
                        HEARING OFFICER FOX: And the first
16
       two questions, follow-up questions on the Board's
17
       part, we've addressed those, and at this point, I'll
18
       recognize Mr. Rao as I introduced him earlier of the
19
       Board's Technical Unit who has some follow-ups for
20
       the Agency personnel, and I'll turn it over to him.
21
                       MR. RAO: I have a few follow-ups.
22
       I just want to say that you guys did a good job
23
       answering all the questions.
24
                     QUESTIONING OF IEPA PANEL
```

- 1 BY MR. RAO:
- 2 Question No. 3, in your
- 3 response to the question, you had stated that this
- 4 permit writer's document was revised in 2012.
- 5 Has the IEPA reviewed the
- 6 revised permit manual from 2012?
- 7 MR. HEACOCK: No, we have not
- 8 reviewed that document.
- 9 MR. RAO: Whatever revisions that
- 10 USEPA has done to the Permit Writer's Manual, would
- that have any bearing on the proposed rules?
- 12 MR. HEACOCK: I don't know. I
- haven't reviewed it. We're not aware of anything
- that would be changed by it, but we haven't reviewed
- 15 the document so...
- MR. RAO: In your discussions with
- USEPA, has this issue come up where they said you
- 18 guys need to take a look at this document?
- 19 MR. HEACOCK: Not that I'm aware
- 20 of.
- MR. RAO: In response to question
- 4, you stated that the Illinois EPA may be involved
- in the design and construction to ensure compliance
- with Environmental Protection Act, Clean Water Act,

- and the state or federal regulations.
- 2 This relates to construction
- of waste handling facilities at CAFOs.
- 4 Does IEPA review and approve
- 5 plans or designs relating to the waste handling
- 6 facilities at CAFOs?
- 7 MR. SOFAT: No.
- MR. RAO: Is there some other
- 9 agency that does that?
- 10 MR. SOFAT: I think what we are
- trying to say there was that we do have some
- requirements like 180 days for Board that we are
- requiring that could influence the design and
- construction of these facilities.
- MR. HEACOCK: As part of the answer
- or more of the answer to that question, the
- Department of Agriculture under the Livestock
- Management Facilities Act has a review program for
- designing construction of livestock facilities.
- MR. RAO: Thank you.
- Moving on to question 35,
- Section 502.505, the nutrient management plan
- information, subsection (d) requires that the NMP
- include the name of the person that developed the

- 1 NMP and whether the NMP was developed or approved by
- a certified nutrient management planner.
- 3 Please clarify whether the
- 4 proposed rules require an NMP to be developed by a
- 5 certified NMP planner or is it an option?
- 6 MR. HEACOCK: It's an option, and
- 7 they're just required to tell us in an NMP whether
- 8 they used a certified planner.
- 9 MR. RAO: What's the rationale for
- 10 proposing this provision as an option not requiring
- an NMP planner to certify?
- MR. HEACOCK: That is something
- that follows the federal requirement and that they
- required this reporting of whether the certified
- nutrient management planner was being used in the
- application or in the submittal for the NPS
- application for development of the NMP, so we were
- following generally those requirements with that
- same option.
- MR. RAO: Do we have something
- similar in the LMFA rules where we require a
- certified planner?
- MR. HEACOCK: In the answer we gave
- you, the Livestock Management Facilities Act does

- 1 require the larger facilities with 300 or more
- animal units to actually get a certification,
- 3 livestock manager's certification which does include
- 4 some requirements about nutrient management plans.
- MR. RAO: So some of those rules
- 6 apply to those CAFOs also?
- 7 MR. HEACOCK: Yes. So most of the
- 8 larger sites would have that.
- 9 MR. RAO: Thank you.
- Moving on to question 58,
- 11 Section 502.645, we had raised a question regarding
- what adequate diking means, and in response to the
- question, the Agency had stated that it did not
- 14 intend the definition in the TSD to be used in the
- rules to define what adequate diking means.
- Would it be acceptable for the
- 17 Agency to use the definition in the TSD as an
- 18 example of what adequate diking means in the context
- of these rules?
- MR. SOFAT: The Agency is okay with
- 21 that approach.
- MR. RAO: That's all.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Rao has
- indicated that those exhaust his follow-up questions

- based on the Agency's written responses to the
- Board's questions.
- Before we move on, Ms. Manning
- or Ms. Dexter, do you have any follow-ups to what
- 5 the Agency had submitted in response to the Board?
- MS. DEXTER: No, thank you.
- 7 MS. MANNING: No.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good.
- 9 That appears to exhaust the
- 10 follow-up questions.
- Ms. Manning, we briefly had
- mentioned Mr. Kaitschuk who had, of course, prefiled
- testimony. His, of course, did not elicit any
- written questions, but it would be in order at this
- point if you would like to introduce him to make any
- kind of summary or introduction based on what he has
- filed with the Board already.
- 18 MS. MANNING: I think we would like
- 19 to do that.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER FOX: And although
- it did not elicit any questions, there may be some
- 22 that have come up, and we can entertain those after
- he's completed that.
- MS. MANNING: I have

- 1 Mr. Kaitschuk's testimony in written form. Would
- 2 you like me to enter it as --
- 3 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Exhibit
- 4 No. --
- 5 MS. MANNING: 22? 21?
- 6 HEARING OFFICER FOX: It will be
- 7 21. You're exactly right, Ms. Manning. And I will
- 8 construe that distribution, Ms. Manning, as a motion
- 9 to admit this as Exhibit No. 21.
- MS. MANNING: Thank you. I move to
- 11 admit.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Does any
- participant have any objection to its admission as
- 14 Exhibit No. 21?
- Neither seeing nor hearing
- any, Ms. Manning, it is being marked as Exhibit
- No. 21 and will be admitted into the record in this
- 18 proceeding.
- 19 (Whereupon Exhibit 21 was
- 20 admitted into evidence at this
- 21 time.)
- 22 MS. MANNING: Mr. Kaitschuk is the
- executive director of the Illinois Pork Producers
- here on behalf of not only the Illinois Pork

- 1 Producers but the entire Illinois Agricultural
- Coalition which as well, as the Hearing Officer
- pointed out earlier, is the Illinois Farm Bureau,
- 4 the Illinois Pork Producers, the Illinois Milk
- 5 Producers Association and the Illinois Beef
- 6 Association.
- 7 So, Mr. Kaitschuk, we've
- 8 talked about his testimony. He's not going to go
- 9 through it line by line but he would like to sort of
- summarize his testimony and is available for
- 11 whatever questions the Board may have.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good,
- and, Ms. Manning, I should have clarified, just as
- the case with the Agency's prefiled testimony,
- Mr. Kaitschuk, yours is admitted into the record as
- if read, and it has, of course, been in the Board's
- 17 record since it was filed in June.
- So forgive me for overlooking
- that, Ms. Manning, and we can go ahead with any
- introduction or summary Mr. Kaitschuk would like to
- offer.

22

23

- 1 BY JIM KAITSCHUK:
- Thank you all for the
- opportunity to present today. I've rewritten my
- 4 testimony several times as the afternoon has gone
- on, but a little background about myself.
- Jim Kaitschuk. I'm the
- Director. I've been here for nine years. Prior to
- 8 that, I worked in the state government for a number
- of years including the development of the LMFA, the
- 10 rules corresponding to that.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Kaitschuk,
- 12 I know what Ms. Olson is indicating. I neglected,
- and it's entirely my fault, to have our court
- 14 reporter swear you in, and we may have her do that
- and have you...
- MS. MANNING: I told him he would
- have to be sworn in.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER FOX: And
- 19 Ms. Manning is exactly right. It's entirely my
- fault we can take care of that in just a moment.
- 21 (Whereupon Jim Kaitschuk was
- sworn by the reporter.)
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Please
- 24 continue.

Page 196

- of the development of construction standards.
- The LMFA set up zero discharge
- 3 construction standards rather than the NPDES
- 4 permitting program. The NPDES permitting program is
- 5 to allow for discharging. The LMFA is set up as a
- 5 zero discharge situation. These facilities do not,
- 7 I repeat, do not discharge waters.
- 8 The impression has been given
- 9 I think at different times that we have ongoing
- issues on a regular basis. That's just not the
- 11 case. Our farmers get up every day as
- 12 representatives of Illinois agriculture and of the
- farms that they represent that they want to hand
- down to members of their families to take care of
- the environment, and they do that on a regular
- 16 basis. They take pride in that. We take pride in
- what they do as well.
- As associations, we're
- certainly here to help assist as Mr. Yurdin
- indicated earlier in terms of outreach programs.
- That's one of the things that we do as an
- association is educate, inform. If there are
- problems with folks, we surely aren't going to hide
- that. We will work with them to try to remedy and

- 1 bring those farms into compliance.
- 2 In large part and directed at
- 3 some of the previous testimony, that this rule does,
- 4 in fact, bridge...I think the testimony this morning
- 5 in some of the opening public comments was trying to
- 6 bridge between agriculture and the ability for us to
- 7 prosper and at the same time bridging the gap
- between that and the community that it surrounds.
- 9 It's difficult when you talk
- 10 about members of the community that now live in the
- 11 country. You have less and less place to be able to
- operate farms today than you did in the past yet you
- have more and more people in the world that need to
- 14 eat. So how do those things co-exist.
- We've been working with the
- 16 IEPA for a number of years now to try to come up
- with what we think is a fair and reasonable approach
- to all of this, and ultimately, in large part, I
- think what's proposed here today gets to a lot of
- 20 that.
- I'm not going to tell you that
- there aren't certain things and provisions in there
- that we do have some concerns about. However, with
- that said, I would say the vast majority of that

- document, they are to be commended for what they've
- done, the leadership of the Agency in terms of
- 3 getting where we are today.
- With all of that said, you
- know, obviously we still have some concerns, and I
- 6 think a number of those issues have already been
- 7 identified today including some concerns about
- 8 unpermitted facilities and the requirements under
- 9 the nutrient management plan.
- 10 Again, the facilities that
- have been discussed here today, whether permitted or
- unpermitted, are still not allowed to discharge. So
- whether or not they're claiming the ag stormwater
- exemption or not, they are not allowed to discharge,
- and there are plans that they have to go by
- including anything that's a thousand animal units or
- more in the state has to abide by state law under
- the Livestock Management Facilities Act that governs
- them if they have to have a waste management plan on
- their farm and they certify that annually to the
- Department of Agriculture.
- If they're 5,000 animal units
- or more, that plan has to be approved by the
- Department of Agriculture.

- 1 Thank you.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Knowles,
- 3 I'm sorry. I had difficulty hearing you.
- 4 MS. KNOWLES: No further questions.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER FOX: On the part
- of any of the environmental groups, is that correct?
- 7 MS. KNOWLES: Correct.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Kaitschuk,
- 9 we can end there, and thank you for your statement.
- And at this point, if there
- are no further questions of any kind, we have
- exhausted all of those who have prefiled testimony.
- Is there anyone, just to be
- 14 clear, who did not prefile testimony that wishes to
- be sworn and offer any here today?
- Neither seeing nor hearing
- any, is there any person who wishes to offer a
- comment on the Agency's proposal?
- Neither seeing nor hearing
- any, let me take a moment before we begin to wrap up
- 21 to address the issue of an economic impact
- 22 statement.
- 23 Under Section 27(b) of the
- 24 Environmental Protection Act, the Board must request

- that the Department of Commerce and Economic
- 2 Opportunity, or DCEO, conduct an economic impact
- 3 study of proposed rules before the Board adopts
- 4 rules.
- 5 The Board must then make
- 6 either the study itself or the department's
- 7 explanation for not conducting one available to the
- public at least 20 days before a public hearing.
- 9 As the Board's Clerk's Office
- on line will reflect, in a letter dated March 22,
- 11 2012, our chairman, Tom Holbrook, specifically
- requested that DCEO conduct an economic impact study
- of this rulemaking proposal and specifically
- requested a response from DCEO no later than May 1st
- of 2012.
- 16 The Board has to date received
- no response from DCEO to this request.
- Is there anyone who would like
- to testify regarding the Board's request for that
- study or the absence of a response from DCEO?
- Neither seeing nor hearing
- any, why don't we go off the record just for a
- moment or two and we can wrap up a couple of
- 24 procedural issues.

	Page 203
1	written public comments on the rulemaking proposal
2	with the Board's clerk, and those also may be made
3	electronically through the clerk's office online.
4	Our clerk's office staff can certainly answer any
5	questions about how to do that.
6	If anyone has questions about
7	procedural aspects of this rulemaking, my contact
8	information is on that Web page.
9	Are there any questions or
10	procedural issues we can address before we adjourn
11	today?
12	Neither seeing nor hearing
13	any, thank you all for your filings and for your
14	time here today. I know the Board appreciates it,
15	and we can adjourn this hearing and resume in
16	Belleville in October.
17	Thank you very much.
18	(Conclusion of foregoing hearing
19	at 3:53 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	Page 204
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
2)SS.
3	COUNTY OF SANGAMON)
4	
5	CERTIFICATE
6	I, Laurel A. Patkes, Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter in and for said County and State, do hereby
8	certify that I reported in shorthand the foregoing
9	proceedings and that the foregoing is a true and
10	correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken as
11	aforesaid.
12	I further certify that I am in no way
13	associated with or related to any of the parties or
14	attorneys involved herein, nor am I financially
15	interested in this action.
16	Dated August 27, 2012.
17	
18	
19	Sevel Puther
20	Certified Shorthand Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	

Ţ.					
A	202:16	act 14:17	126:15	186:17	99:3 133:14
abide 16:10	203:5,6	17:24 18:3	129:23	addresses	185:24
198:17	above 41:19	29:16 30:21	131:16	135:9	186:5 192:9
ability 25:3	absence	42:24 43:6	132:21	136:13,23	192:11
197:6	201:20	43:10 44:4	135:12	161:24	admitted
able 27:9	absolutely	44:13 46:22	137:16	addressing	4:16 11:1
29:24 41:7	87:17 120:5	57:19 65:21	140:9 142:4	30:19	32:19,21
57:8 122:18	accept 67:11	66:10,23,24	148:21	adequate	35:2 61:13
150:2 154:2	acceptable	67:13 76:10	149:4	21:2 56:2	61:17 70:22
161:14	77:24 100:2	84:10 113:2	152:21	112:12	71:3 78:24
165:22	190:16	124:19	166:21	121:22	79:5 82:18
174:18	accepted 6:1	125:4,7	170:10	151:20	82:21 84:6
179:8 184:7	accident	128:8,19,23	171:16	153:14	85:23 86:2
197:11	121:24	129:4,6,12	181:9	171:19	88:4,6 99:8
about 10:18	accidental	130:15	182:18	172:13	99:13,15
37:12,19	14:9	131:8	190:2	190:12,15	184:20
38:4 39:1	accommod	177:10	Act's 105:17	190:12,13	186:13
46:12,12	10:15 27:14	178:6	adapt 18:7	adhere 17:22	192:17,20
59:22 70:14	69:2 71:12	187:24,24	add 69:1 83:8	adjacent	193:15
73:6 80:17	accompany	188:18	100:10	123:12,20	adopt 30:5
81:16 83:8	29:17	189:24	160:13	adjective	30:10,11
96:19 98:10	accordance	198:18	added 82:4	80:12	56:11 73:21
1	57:4 133:12	200:24	112:14	adjourn	adopted 44:6
101:6	135:19	action 47:15	adding 115:9	10:16	116:11
104:11	168:15	47:24 48:3	addition	203:10,15	127:12
106:2,5,12	according	48:7,13	14:16 15:5	adjudicated	adopting
108:13	34:22 35:14	58:23 73:7	17:5 103:15	98:18	160:17
108.13	72:10	91:1,6	202:24	adjudication	adoption
115:6,9	124:18	97:22 99:5	additional	90:15	94:13
116:16,18	135:4,6	184:9 185:2	10:13 71:11	adjudicatory	adopts 201:3
127:5 128:1	163:14	204:15	119:9	90:20	advocating
127.3 128.1	164:4	actions 90:24	138:18,22	ADM 1:5	161:7
135:3 139:9	accordingly	108:7 113:1	145:9,10	administra	aerial 180:6
140:22	31:15	116:22	150:20	5:7 29:2	180:9,12
140.22	accurate 63:3	activities	176:14	111:8	aforementi
142.23	83:1 123:4	121:4 123:9	additions	admission	186:8
145:18	177:9	123:10	71:10	34:18 35:6	aforesaid
145:18	179:12	activity 17:3	address	70:19 78:21	204:11
140:13	accurately	52:8	11:13 46:2	82:16 84:1	after 9:16,18
153:11	177:6	acts 44:19	76:12,14	85:11,15	10:2,11
155:14	achieve 53:6	67:1	120:20	185:21	21:11 33:2
166:24	achieved	actual 45:18	138:24	192:13	39:13 68:12
173:15	13:22 164:8	actually	184:4,9	admit 32:10	68:18 90:16
173:13	acre 139:16	39:23 46:13	200:21	32:16 33:18	93:17 119:6
190:4 193:8	140:6 141:4	55:1 59:2	203:10	33:24 34:4	138:16
190:4 193:8	acreage	73:17 91:9	addressed	34:7,11,16	191:22
194.3	171:15	108:5 121:4	9:17 76:15	61:9 78:17	202:4
193:20	across 24:3	123:2,11	133:9	82:12 83:24	afternoon
197.10,23	25:8	124:9,10	184:18,22	87:11,24	68:24 72:21
170.7 177.2					
	,				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	•		1		<u> </u>
185:18	44:11,14,19	191:5 198:2	17:13 19:5	50:18	153:6
194:4	45:12,14	199:16	25:22 27:2	alfalfa 15:6	168:20,22
ag 58:11 99:5	46:6,22	agency's 7:16	42:23 51:24	algal 141:20	although 8:3
155:16	47:12,17	7:20 8:5,18	52:5 64:17	Alisa 2:7	16:10 64:20
156:6	48:11,21	9:4,8 28:15	80:21 81:2	5:19	83:4 138:3
169:22	50:3 54:13	30:9 31:7	81:24 82:13	allegation	191:20
170:5 183:8	59:23 61:6	31:14 36:16	108:4,8	36:22	aluminum
195:6	63:16,20	38:6,8	143:2 160:6	alleged 99:21	22:15
198:13	65:14,17	45:20 46:5	178:7,19	allow 17:7	always 21:19
again 8:18	66:8,13,18	46:9,17	188:17	40:24 50:10	41:16 50:14
9:13 33:2,5	67:10,20	47:14,21	195:12	53:16 58:4	51:13
53:11 60:14	68:2 69:16	48:14 58:4	196:12	60:23 69:11	101:20
64:11 69:12	70:15 72:6	58:19 60:7	197:6	74:22 75:4	ambient
76:4 77:9	72:18 77:22	62:20 66:11	198:21,24	131:7 156:2	103:6,24
79:15 93:13	81:4 83:17	68:17 70:9	agriculture	164:21	104:5,18
97:1 99:5	85:21 90:2	71:17 72:2	29:8	179:14	105:5
109:6 115:7	90:16,17,24	78:8 100:15	agronomic	196:5	amend 29:8
119:2	91:5,15	109:11	132:20	allowable	30:12
131:13	93:11 98:15	155:1 184:9	135:8,23	54:9	amendments
138:18	98:21	191:1	136:14,21	allowances	1:5 5:6 29:2
139:6 145:5	100:11	193:14	136:24	55:10	29:19
153:2,10,13	102:24	200:18	137:7	allowed	among 102:2
153:16	103:7	ago 111:4	148:15,18	54:12,19	139:14
155:8 159:2	106:17,24	147:7	149:3,23	55:5 198:12	151:4
163:24	110:5	agree 25:19	151:19	198:14	amount
165:6,19	111:10	65:17 148:2	152:4,21	allowing	13:10,11
168:17	115:8	148:9	153:12	179:7	21:4 93:10
169:12	116:17,20	149:16	162:18,22	allows 10:11	118:20
180:3,11	117:2,6,6	177:15	168:12	14:3 46:5	143:21
181:23	118:5 120:3	agreed 8:9	178:3,9,12	alone 147:14	144:12
198:10	127:13	agricultural	Agronomy	along 28:3	171:14
199:3	128:23	2:16,21	132:15,20	104:24	177:7
agencies	129:3	6:19 7:4,22	133:12,14	already	analysis
104:24	131:24	9:6,20 34:3	133:24	14:16 21:9	165:10
agency 5:22	133:23	35:12,21	135:4,6,8	21:11 52:18	Anand 2:6
7:5 8:23	137:22	50:1,24	135:20	106:5	5:19
13:17 21:2	139:17	51:19 55:6	136:10,12	145:19	and/or
27:20 28:2	146:17	56:1 57:6	136:21,23	191:17	144:20
28:9,12	147:4,22	57:10,14	137:18	198:6	165:10
29:4,7,23	149:4,12,21	58:6 64:14	ahead 28:8	alternative	animal 1:4
30:23 31:1	154:6 161:7	71:18 98:22	53:21 69:24	115:6,17	5:5 16:14
31:12 32:10	168:11	154:17	90:10 94:19	137:11,13	19:17 29:1
33:3 35:5	176:14	161:15	119:24	144:21	49:22 61:7
36:15 37:19	179:6 184:6	165:12	160:23	152:15	80:2,8 85:5
38:5,8,13	185:1	169:3	161:22	153:3,20	185:8 190:2
38:18 39:2	186:20	182:10	175:5 177:2	154:6 156:3	198:16,22
41:13,17	188:9	183:23	193:19	163:9 165:9	animals
42:19 43:9	190:13,17	193:1	air 7:10	165:23	18:10 19:22
43:9 44:1	190:20	agriculture	15:17 16:2	alternatives	121:6
		0			
			I	<u> </u>	

	I	 I			
annual 86:21	65:9 76:5	apart 167:6	156:17,21	149:9	31:13 57:5
87:3,5	89:11 146:1	apologies	157:22	150:16,21	68:9 90:8
148:16	answering	18:22 49:14	158:1 159:4	151:11,15	98:13
162:3,23	161:2	apologize	159:21	151:18	132:19
annually	186:23	49:15 77:13	161:24	156:23	136:6
93:17	answers 7:18	157:4	164:12	157:2 158:4	151:21
198:20	8:1 9:8	Apostle	165:20	158:7,10	165:2,3
another	33:15,21,22	23:22 24:8	166:4,7,8	159:16	178:3
11:10 57:22	34:2,5,9,12	apparently	166:13	161:12	appropriat
102:6,8	35:13 36:8	138:7	168:1,10,15	163:15	55:16
136:9,14	42:16 48:1	appeal 46:17	168:21	166:14	appropriat
137:1	48:1 67:21	47:21 48:22	169:21	167:9	144:18
153:13	68:17 71:17	appealable	170:15,17	171:20	approve
156:15	77:15 90:13	46:23	170:19,21	172:1,11	102:4 188:4
173:20	90:13 92:12	appealing	171:2	173:10	approved
176:7	126:14	47:2	173:10	175:22	189:1
another's	145:18	appear 68:23	175:9,12	176:4,6	198:23
53:4	167:24	APPEARA	177:12	190:6	approxima
answer 9:9	anticipate	2:8 3:1	178:4,15	applying	20:12 138:3
38:3 42:24	134:4	appeared	180:3,8,18	55:16 132:3	140:9
43:24 44:17	anticipated	10:14	189:16,17	148:18	April 70:7
46:1,16	54:15	appearing	applications	149:22	83:16
56:23 58:9	anybody	2:12,16,20	117:2	168:12	area 19:23
59:3 63:13	150:9	3:5,13 8:5	144:24	169:17	20:6 26:15
63:15,24	anyone 10:3	appears	applied 13:8	171:6 172:6	57:10 97:15
73:19 90:4	11:14 23:2	107:20	16:21 57:4	172:23	120:19
94:6 109:22	27:8,9,12	191:9	58:7 96:9	180:10	121:1,4,5
113:16	28:2 43:14	applicability	145:7	appointed	121:13,18
117:12	181:11	125:3	148:15	1:12 23:15	122:13
118:14	200:13	applicant	149:3	appraisal	123:10
125:16,23	201:18	170:23	159:24	25:23	124:3,9,17
126:18	202:24	application	162:17	appreciate	126:16,22
131:14	203:6	55:3,19	172:23	12:16 19:2	127:23
132:14	anyone's	57:10,23	175:16	28:1 49:20	128:17
146:2,4	126:10	64:8 65:2	180:3	63:13 69:9	130:1
148:12	anything	114:5	applies 14:7	70:24 138:4	145:13
149:5	11:17 59:21	131:12,20	74:20	appreciates	151:20
150:13	66:15 91:24	132:11	150:18	203:14	153:15
163:14	95:22 97:21	133:6 139:8	158:5 162:1	approach	154:14
164:11	105:9	139:11,14	162:22	13:17 45:3	167:2 170:7
170:13,15	119:20	143:7,9,12	164:5	51:20 56:13	170:21
170:13,13	120:6	143:13,18	172:15	64:6 111:10	areas 54:18
181:11	123:14	143:20	173:19	115:21	120:24
182:14	153:7	144:1,4,11	174:9	137:9 141:3	121:6,8,10
188:15,16	183:17	144:17	apply 21:4,7	152:15	122:6
189:23	187:13	145:1,11	75:23 95:20	153:23	125:18
199:11	198:16	151:20	96:1 131:11	190:21	133:6
203:4	anywhere	152:4	141:17	190:21	159:21
answered	66:24	153:15	144:3,7	appropriate	160:1,9
answered	00.27	155.15	177.5,7	appropriate	100.1,9

	,	1	1		
170:17	153:13,18	attack 9:8	166:23	147:1	168:10
arena 107:1	153:20,21	attempt 67:4	avoiding	bank 124:8	195:9,10
argue 97:9	154:1	184:2	134:1	124:13	196:10,16
arise 168:4	assessments	attempted	aware 44:5	barn 21:11	199:17
around 15:10	81:7	45:24 65:14	44:14 101:3	base 81:14	bear 25:16
51:13 81:18	assist 11:4	178:10	117:16	147:19	bearer
104:12,19	196:19	attempting	137:20	171:1	195:24
107:19	Assistant	41:6	181:12	based 6:4 7:2	bearing
110:12	2:10	attendance	187:13,19	7:15 9:4,7	187:11
120:19	associated	28:3	away 139:4	9:24 14:6	beautiful
126:15,22	17:8 52:11	attorney 3:2	155:3	19:5 41:17	19:15 20:2
175:7	204:13	3:7 20:1,10	156:12	45:1 68:14	Beaver 96:12
195:16,18	association	91:7	a.m 1:10 69:6	68:16 90:14	become
arrangeme	2:21 6:21	attorneys	202:9	90:21,24	176:8
40:10	6:22,23	204:14		93:8 98:24	bedrock
arrive 27:15	12:22 50:5	audited 26:8	B	104:18,20	159:22
arrived 49:3	50:6,7	August 1:10	b 107:7,13	104:21	Beef 6:22
article 98:14	193:5,6	7:19 202:20	155:18,18	108:2,7,11	13:13 50:6
99:11	196:22	204:16	156:9 162:2	118:18,22	193:5
articles 96:23	associations	authority	165:8,8	131:2 136:9	before 1:1,11
98:18	13:14	14:15 17:19	169:5	137:5	6:13 8:8 9:1
asked 37:12	196:18	66:12,14	back 19:11	140:12	10:6,15,17
38:4 42:19	assume 48:9	73:10,21	21:20 26:21	147:5,14	11:17 12:13
45:1,9	assumed	74:1 76:9	67:8 69:3	191:1,16	20:19 21:9
58:17 59:4	64:17	76:13 129:4	75:20 84:19	basic 37:16	27:19 28:13
59:21 60:20	assuming	authorized	89:24 90:3	37:20 109:2	62:5 67:10
63:14 65:6	88:20	113:3	111:19	basically	67:17 69:14
99:5 115:5	101:11	avail 58:11	112:19	74:16 91:4	71:5,14
138:4	106:14	available	113:11	101:14	100:11
145:20	assumption	12:15 27:21	147:6	119:4 140:2	116:24
146:1	169:14	28:2 33:3	148:24	basin 105:10	120:3
153:11	assumptions	107:23	150:10	basing	149:15
asking 37:18	149:15	114:17	151:14	135:22	157:3 158:7
46:11,12	assurance	139:15,18	169:12	basin-wide	191:3
60:24 68:2	102:14,20	140:6	181:18,19	105:7	200:20
74:12	103:1	143:10	199:3	basis 45:11	201:3,8
114:13	assure 150:2	153:4	background	71:17 72:2	203:10
146:15,19	169:3	171:20,21	194:5	103:8,9	begin 8:4,10
147:12,14	assured 21:3	176:10	backtracki	126:17	8:14,24
166:24	attached	193:10	101:21	127:17	11:18,21
aspects 203:7	169:19	201:7	balance	128:15,18	12:13 20:19
Assembly	attachment	202:15,19	13:10 16:22	128:22	25:3 28:8
14:18 18:2	7:14 33:22	Ave 2:10	24:6,7,24	129:2	28:11 35:17
195:10	72:10	Avenue 1:11	25:6	130:10,12	49:12 55:17
assess 112:4	133:17	2:19	ball 22:23	130:23	64:1,5
assessment	143:1	average	ballpark	131:1,15	69:16 71:6
150:23	attachments	124:5	81:18 83:12	136:7	200:20
151:3,10	72:7 109:10	avoid 11:9	122:20,22	139:21	beginning
152:2,6,8	109:14	52:21 159:9	146:20	141:4	10:7 28:13
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

68:24 70:13	156:11	69:21 86:19	31:21 33:1	bridge 197:4	50:5 66:13
71:10,13	174:7,11	93:7 94:24	39:8 77:21	197:6	70:5 193:3
behalf 2:12	176:12	101:23,23	78:5 97:23	bridging	Burke 2:3
2:16,20 3:5	179:19	101:24	109:10	197:7 199:3	5:17
3:13 6:19	181:21	107:23	184:10,21	brief 8:24	business 35:5
7:15,22	183:15	149:1 150:7	184:24	9:23 11:21	businesses
9:20 11:7	184:13	blank 138:20	185:5	27:15 28:20	17:8 52:11
69:15	185:9,17	blessed 50:19	186:16,19	briefly 69:22	B-r-a-u-n
192:24	believes 81:5	Bloomington	191:2	94:21	12:6 21:17
behind 74:10	90:17	2:19	193:16	191:11	12.0 21.17
being 19:24	Belleville	blooms	201:9,19	202:5	C
20:21 24:13	202:9	141:21	202:15,21	bring 13:17	c 162:5
29:12 35:19	203:16	board 1:2 2:1	203:2	66:11 97:4	cable 24:5
39:20 41:7	below 89:6	5:8,10,12	boils 116:14	197:1	CAFO 13:21
49:23 50:18	141:23	5:13,14,17	books 26:13	brings 25:12	17:23 26:7
59:24 62:1	benefit 49:4	5:17,23 6:1	102:17	27:6	30:7,14
65:2 67:24	besides 98:5	6:14,17 7:6	borders 20:3	broad 81:4	54:4,16
68:1,15	165:20	7:13,15,20	borrow	105:7 167:2	56:17,20,24
89:13 96:1	best 15:21	7:23,24	79:16	broaden 66:5	57:2,17,18
98:4,16	18:7 51:3	9:15 11:3,4	both 41:22	broader	57:22 58:5
119:5	52:5 53:2	15:9 21:18	44:20 48:21	65:19	60:10 62:24
121:21	55:7 84:21	23:17 24:7	71:7 78:7	brothers 15:4	65:9 67:15
122:7	122:7 159:8	30:1 31:10	98:21 103:8	Brown 2:13	70:6 73:8
136:13	better 16:6	33:15,24	115:16	12:5	83:18 95:10
140:23	16:17 19:18	39:4 46:18	117:20	Bruce 6:15	95:14
140:23	98:7	46:23 54:14	136:13,23	31:5 32:11	112:21
148:14	between 22:6	61:24 62:2	179:4	34:11 36:4	118:8,11
155:9	25:1 41:4	69:10 70:24	182:15	36:6,8	151:24
189:15	50:1 60:20	74:9,14	bother 24:14	69:20	152:8
192:16	74:5 80:15	78:8 88:23	bottom 56:17	112:13	153:14,17
believable	97:13	91:1 95:3	86:5	125:23,24	154:16
81:22	103:19	97:10,12	bound	129:11	157:2 158:7
believe 12:6	124:17	98:6,7,13	128:24	146:1,3	158:10
19:13 24:9	125:8 197:6	98:17	boxes 27:22	166:10	160:2,14,16
28:4 33:3	197:8 199:3	109:15	28:6	brush 105:7	161:12
36:3 37:18	beyond 57:12	121:3	branch 51:9	buffer 123:8	162:16,21
39:23 40:3	116:23	181:13	51:11	build 21:24	163:8
45:13 48:6	138:22	184:2	Braun 4:5	builds 133:5	168:18
53:19 58:10	big 167:18	188:12	12:5,7	built 22:13	169:15
59:10 66:21	biggest 199:2	191:5,17	21:15,16,16	177:7 179:6	170:10,18
73:16 74:14	billion 17:3	193:11	Bray 140:6	bullet 113:1	171:2,13
78:4 82:24	17:12 52:7	195:2	break 53:19	113:5	172:15,17
83:4 94:4	52:14	200:24	68:9,23	bullets	173:11,18
98:15,22	bind 155:5	201:3,5,16	69:13 71:15	112:24	173:19,24
112:11	biosecurity	203:14	138:5,6,16	burden 111:8	174:8,17,18
123:14	38:8,11,23	board's 2:5	167:6	burdensome	175:8,13,17
126:5 130:3	40:10,18	5:11,19 6:6	breath 25:15	25:5 112:9	175:22
140:8	70:9	8:21 10:23	49:10	Bureau 6:23	176:3 180:3
146:18	bit 40:20	13:23 29:9	breathe 16:2	13:13 15:9	180:4
140.16	DIC 40.20	13.23 29.9	Dicathe 10.2	13.13 13.7	
			l	I	l

			1		
182:22	184:9 185:2	193:14	183:10	characteriz	58:5 142:2
195:17	called 81:23	196:11	197:22	181:22,23	154:16
CAFOs 1:5	81:24 100:4	cases 58:21	certainly	charge 40:14	161:14
5:6 14:8,10	144:14	76:11,14	8:24 11:16	90:14	claiming
17:18 19:18	calling	102:1 117:1	27:13 31:20	check 28:5	126:18
19:21 20:19	170:14	131:3 140:7	32:7 39:7	78:15	129:8,9
20:20,23	173:14	147:9 170:4	59:13 103:2	138:18	136:19
22:11 29:15	calls 25:18	catch 49:10	115:13	Chicago 3:9	140:14
30:19 84:19	36:18 44:16	categories	118:3,5	24:10	198:13
86:9,13	101:18	30:18 80:24	134:2	chief 8:8,19	Claire 2:13
93:20 95:24	127:8	95:17	137:12	children	35:20
111:9 113:7	came 37:2	category 81:1	196:19	12:24 16:6	clarification
115:21	82:2 91:21	127:6	203:4	- 20:5	109:10
145:14,22	capacity	cattle 15:7	CERTIFI	choose 63:20	115:9
146:16,18	111:18	19:6 82:5	204:5	152:9	133:21
146:21	113:15	cause 30:15	certification	154:17	clarified
149:9,12,13	capture 13:6	80:3,6 98:1	190:2,3	156:5 163:8	175:8
149:17,22	16:17 105:6	122:3	certified	168:18	193:13
150:1,16,18	105:9,23	caused 47:12	189:2,5,8	chose 80:13	clarify 13:15
150:21,24	captured	96:12 98:2	189:14,22	137:10	33:5 45:11
151:12,16	105:19	cautious 83:8	204:6,20	chosen 164:9	46:8 74:18
155:10,23	Carbondale	caveat 83:11	certify	164:21	91:13
156:10,24	24:11	cease 118:21	189:11	Christian	117:11,11
158:5,21,23	care 13:9	Center 3:7	198:20	13:2	122:4
159:8,16	16:14,21	7:12 72:23	204:8,12	Christos 4:5	128:10
161:3,6,8	56:13 72:4	central 19:5	chairman 2:2	18:23 19:3	153:11
162:1	194:20	22:13 25:21	5:11 201:11	circumstan	173:6
163:15,22	196:14	25:23 26:21	Champaign	36:11 40:6	176:15
164:6 165:1	Carrie 2:2	cents 23:20	3:4	48:18 60:23	189:3
165:17	5:14	century	chance 49:10	127:10	clarifying
168:9 169:9	carryover	19:12	68:13 93:12	170:20	113:23
170:22	162:14	certain 31:10	146:12	174:14	184:17
177:5 183:8	case 37:10	41:18 54:20	change	citation	clarity 17:21
188:3,6	45:16,24	54:22 78:14	118:21	77:15	123:21
190:6	46:1 48:10	93:10 95:15	202:13	cite 56:20	clean 7:10
calculated	48:18 55:3	117:19	changed	cited 140:19	29:16 30:21
124:5	55:23 84:19	119:14	147:10	142:7,23	45:6 65:21
144:14	84:20 94:7	127:9	187:14	149:7	66:10 84:10
calibrate	94:9 97:20	129:18	changes 30:3	cites 142:15	113:2
168:9,14	100:19	131:3,4,4	49:23 51:8	citizen 36:13	187:24
calibrating	102:4 112:2	131:11	52:22 53:6	36:15	clear 11:5,11
168:23	119:17,18	132:3 140:2	62:15,16	116:19	74:11 87:18
calibration	119:18	140:3	199:7	citizens 7:9	99:1 118:21
166:17,19	127:7	141:23	changing	15:18 17:4	127:19
166:23	137:12	143:21	86:18	19:7 22:7	143:15
168:19	145:8	144:19	Chapter	103:16	150:9
169:19	156:12	156:18	132:21	city 22:22	176:13
call 55:3	173:5,22	157:22	133:14	city's 23:1	200:14
110:18,19	180:5	177:7	135:13	claim 57:8	clearer
					l

		1			
114:23	116:17	13:17,20	197:1	198:5,7	consequen
clearly 11:9	117:2 118:8	25:16 51:20	compliant	concluded	98:23
113:15	126:12	53:5 167:4	56:5,8	71:14	conservation
clear-cut	138:15	167:7 174:2	complied	concluding	151:5,21
118:14	164:15	174:23	155:9	70:14 151:9	152:23
clerk 203:2	167:6	175:1	comply 58:12	conclusion	consider 43:9
clerk's 8:2	171:11	communities	84:10 113:2	44:16	97:12
33:1 201:9	187:17	15:15,16	151:24	203:18	113:19
203:3,4	191:22	16:5 199:4	154:17,23	conclusions	114:22
climate 64:14	195:7,10	community	155:9,16,18	98:9	115:14
close 23:3	197:16	118:6	156:2,2,10	condition	132:1
26:19	comes 23:21	181:14	162:16	52:16	137:23
123:21	37:3 108:5	195:13	170:5 183:9	121:22	176:14
124:10	174:8	197:8,10	complying	conditions	considerable
closely 64:3	coming 50:22	COMPANY	102:16,22	54:20,22	118:20
closer 133:11	93:12	1:22	component	55:4 140:3	considerati
135:19	116:20	compared	155:21	144:19	30:1 44:3
closing 14:12	commend	111:8,11	compose 31:1	conduct	59:8,10
21:11	52:24	117:15	compound	93:16 201:2	considered
CNMP 55:14	commended	compilation	177:22	201:12	26:6 73:10
coalition	51:18 198:1	107:17	comprehen	conducted	105:4 136:4
2:16 6:19	comment 8:7	complaint	67:20 104:8	88:16 114:4	considering
6:20 7:4,22	10:14 11:21	36:15,19,21	110:21	135:5 137:5	33:17 170:3
9:6,20	12:15 14:23	36:21 37:5	111:9 113:7	150:24	consistency
13:20 34:4	25:13 27:5	37:8,8,16	compromise	164:4	29:19
25.12.22	07.7.15		148:5	175.10	l • , ,
35:12,22	27:7,15	complaints	148:5	175:12	consistent
50:12,22	49:7 53:14	36:13 41:13	computer	conducting	44:12 47:15
	· '			1	l
50:1 98:22	49:7 53:14	36:13 41:13	computer	conducting	44:12 47:15
50:1 98:22 182:10	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1	36:13 41:13 41:16,20	computer 100:16	conducting 201:7	44:12 47:15 55:20,21
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19	computer 100:16 conceivable	conducting 201:7 conduits	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's 71:18	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18 commenters	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24 111:12	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably 158:11	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident 105:18	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15 169:22
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's 71:18 Code 1:5 5:7	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18 commenters 71:12	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24 111:12 183:22,24	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably 158:11 concentrated	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident 105:18 confinement	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15 169:22 183:13
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's 71:18 Code 1:5 5:7 29:2	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18 commenters 71:12 comments	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24 111:12 183:22,24 completed	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably 158:11 concentrated 1:45:5	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident 105:18 confinement 22:12,17	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15 169:22 183:13 constitutes
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's 71:18 Code 1:5 5:7 29:2 coliform 20:5	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18 commenters 71:12 comments 4:3,9 8:10	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24 111:12 183:22,24 completed 10:12 68:16	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably 158:11 concentrated 1:4 5:5 19:17 29:1	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident 105:18 confinement 22:12,17 confines	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15 169:22 183:13 constitutes 40:4 63:17
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's 71:18 Code 1:5 5:7 29:2 coliform 20:5 column 80:7	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18 commenters 71:12 comments 4:3,9 8:10 8:13 18:13	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24 111:12 183:22,24 completed 10:12 68:16 93:17	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably 158:11 concentrated 1:4 5:5 19:17 29:1 49:22 61:6	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident 105:18 confinement 22:12,17 confines 19:22	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15 169:22 183:13 constitutes 40:4 63:17 construct
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's 71:18 Code 1:5 5:7 29:2 coliform 20:5 column 80:7 80:24 81:2	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18 commenters 71:12 comments 4:3,9 8:10 8:13 18:13 39:18 49:12	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24 111:12 183:22,24 completed 10:12 68:16 93:17 191:23	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably 158:11 concentrated 1:4 5:5 19:17 29:1 49:22 61:6 85:5 185:8	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident 105:18 confinement 22:12,17 confines 19:22 confirm	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15 169:22 183:13 constitutes 40:4 63:17 construct 20:19
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's 71:18 Code 1:5 5:7 29:2 coliform 20:5 column 80:7 80:24 81:2 combination	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18 commenters 71:12 comments 4:3,9 8:10 8:13 18:13 39:18 49:12 197:5 203:1	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24 111:12 183:22,24 completed 10:12 68:16 93:17 191:23 completely	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably 158:11 concentrated 1:4 5:5 19:17 29:1 49:22 61:6 85:5 185:8 concentrati	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident 105:18 confinement 22:12,17 confines 19:22 confirm 45:19	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15 169:22 183:13 constitutes 40:4 63:17 construct 20:19 constructed
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's 71:18 Code 1:5 5:7 29:2 coliform 20:5 column 80:7 80:24 81:2 combination 41:22	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18 commenters 71:12 comments 4:3,9 8:10 8:13 18:13 39:18 49:12 197:5 203:1 Commerce	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24 111:12 183:22,24 completed 10:12 68:16 93:17 191:23 completely 44:12	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably 158:11 concentrated 1:4 5:5 19:17 29:1 49:22 61:6 85:5 185:8 concentrati 140:15	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident 105:18 confinement 22:12,17 confines 19:22 confirm 45:19 confirmed	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15 169:22 183:13 constitutes 40:4 63:17 construct 20:19 constructed 122:23
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's 71:18 Code 1:5 5:7 29:2 coliform 20:5 column 80:7 80:24 81:2 combination 41:22 combine	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18 commenters 71:12 comments 4:3,9 8:10 8:13 18:13 39:18 49:12 197:5 203:1 Commerce 6:7 201:1	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24 111:12 183:22,24 completed 10:12 68:16 93:17 191:23 completely 44:12 completing	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably 158:11 concentrated 1:4 5:5 19:17 29:1 49:22 61:6 85:5 185:8 concentrati 140:15 141:10	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident 105:18 confinement 22:12,17 confines 19:22 confirm 45:19 confirmed 140:2	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15 169:22 183:13 constitutes 40:4 63:17 construct 20:19 constructed 122:23 construction
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's 71:18 Code 1:5 5:7 29:2 coliform 20:5 column 80:7 80:24 81:2 combination 41:22 combine 65:15	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18 commenters 71:12 comments 4:3,9 8:10 8:13 18:13 39:18 49:12 197:5 203:1 Commerce 6:7 201:1 Commission	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24 111:12 183:22,24 completed 10:12 68:16 93:17 191:23 completely 44:12 completing 111:1	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably 158:11 concentrated 1:4 5:5 19:17 29:1 49:22 61:6 85:5 185:8 concentrati 140:15 141:10 concern 59:8	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident 105:18 confinement 22:12,17 confines 19:22 confirm 45:19 confirmed 140:2 conflict	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15 169:22 183:13 constitutes 40:4 63:17 construct 20:19 constructed 122:23 construction 14:10 18:4
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's 71:18 Code 1:5 5:7 29:2 coliform 20:5 column 80:7 80:24 81:2 combination 41:22 combine 65:15 combined	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18 commenters 71:12 comments 4:3,9 8:10 8:13 18:13 39:18 49:12 197:5 203:1 Commerce 6:7 201:1 Commission 23:16	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24 111:12 183:22,24 completed 10:12 68:16 93:17 191:23 completely 44:12 completing 111:1 complexity	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably 158:11 concentrated 1:4 5:5 19:17 29:1 49:22 61:6 85:5 185:8 concentrati 140:15 141:10 concern 59:8 127:13	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident 105:18 confinement 22:12,17 confines 19:22 confirm 45:19 confirmed 140:2 conflict 30:14	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15 169:22 183:13 constitutes 40:4 63:17 construct 20:19 constructed 122:23 construction 14:10 18:4 118:23
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's 71:18 Code 1:5 5:7 29:2 coliform 20:5 column 80:7 80:24 81:2 combination 41:22 combine 65:15 combined 17:10 52:12	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18 commenters 71:12 comments 4:3,9 8:10 8:13 18:13 39:18 49:12 197:5 203:1 Commerce 6:7 201:1 Commission 23:16 commissio	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24 111:12 183:22,24 completed 10:12 68:16 93:17 191:23 completely 44:12 completing 111:1 complexity 29:22 64:6	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably 158:11 concentrated 1:4 5:5 19:17 29:1 49:22 61:6 85:5 185:8 concentrati 140:15 141:10 concern 59:8 127:13 concerning	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident 105:18 confinement 22:12,17 confines 19:22 confirm 45:19 confirmed 140:2 conflict 30:14 conform 30:4	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15 169:22 183:13 constitutes 40:4 63:17 construct 20:19 constructed 122:23 construction 14:10 18:4 118:23 187:23
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's 71:18 Code 1:5 5:7 29:2 coliform 20:5 column 80:7 80:24 81:2 combination 41:22 combine 65:15 combined 17:10 52:12 105:19	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18 commenters 71:12 comments 4:3,9 8:10 8:13 18:13 39:18 49:12 197:5 203:1 Commerce 6:7 201:1 Commission 23:16 commissio 23:15	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24 111:12 183:22,24 completed 10:12 68:16 93:17 191:23 completely 44:12 completing 11:1 complexity 29:22 64:6 compliance	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably 158:11 concentrated 1:4 5:5 19:17 29:1 49:22 61:6 85:5 185:8 concentrati 140:15 141:10 concern 59:8 127:13 concerning 108:23	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident 105:18 confinement 22:12,17 confines 19:22 confirm 45:19 confirmed 140:2 conflict 30:14 conform 30:4 conjunction	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15 169:22 183:13 constitutes 40:4 63:17 construct 20:19 constructed 122:23 construction 14:10 18:4 118:23 187:23 188:2,14,19
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's 71:18 Code 1:5 5:7 29:2 coliform 20:5 column 80:7 80:24 81:2 combination 41:22 combine 65:15 combined 17:10 52:12 105:19 come 21:22	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18 commenters 71:12 comments 4:3,9 8:10 8:13 18:13 39:18 49:12 197:5 203:1 Commerce 6:7 201:1 Commission 23:16 commissio 23:15 committed	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24 111:12 183:22,24 completed 10:12 68:16 93:17 191:23 completely 44:12 completing 111:1 complexity 29:22 64:6 compliance 13:22 57:7	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably 158:11 concentrated 1:4 5:5 19:17 29:1 49:22 61:6 85:5 185:8 concentrati 140:15 141:10 concern 59:8 127:13 concerning 108:23 concerns	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident 105:18 confinement 22:12,17 confines 19:22 confirm 45:19 confirmed 140:2 conflict 30:14 conform 30:4 conjunction 43:11	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15 169:22 183:13 constitutes 40:4 63:17 construct 20:19 constructed 122:23 construction 14:10 18:4 118:23 187:23 188:2,14,19 196:1,3
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's 71:18 Code 1:5 5:7 29:2 coliform 20:5 column 80:7 80:24 81:2 combination 41:22 combine 65:15 combined 17:10 52:12 105:19 come 21:22 26:21 35:11	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18 commenters 71:12 comments 4:3,9 8:10 8:13 18:13 39:18 49:12 197:5 203:1 Commerce 6:7 201:1 Commission 23:16 commissio 23:15 committed 13:4 15:19	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24 111:12 183:22,24 completed 10:12 68:16 93:17 191:23 completely 44:12 completing 111:1 complexity 29:22 64:6 compliance 13:22 57:7 92:17 93:1	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably 158:11 concentrated 1:4 5:5 19:17 29:1 49:22 61:6 85:5 185:8 concentrati 140:15 141:10 concern 59:8 127:13 concerning 108:23 concerns 133:9	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident 105:18 confinement 22:12,17 confines 19:22 confirm 45:19 confirmed 140:2 conflict 30:14 conform 30:4 conjunction 43:11 connected	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15 169:22 183:13 constitutes 40:4 63:17 construct 20:19 constructed 122:23 construction 14:10 18:4 118:23 187:23 188:2,14,19 196:1,3 construe
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's 71:18 Code 1:5 5:7 29:2 coliform 20:5 column 80:7 80:24 81:2 combination 41:22 combine 65:15 combined 17:10 52:12 105:19 come 21:22 26:21 35:11 48:23 67:8	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18 commenters 71:12 comments 4:3,9 8:10 8:13 18:13 39:18 49:12 197:5 203:1 Commerce 6:7 201:1 Commission 23:16 commissio 23:15 committed 13:4 15:19 16:12,15	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24 111:12 183:22,24 completed 10:12 68:16 93:17 191:23 completely 44:12 completing 11:1 complexity 29:22 64:6 compliance 13:22 57:7 92:17 93:1 93:8,12	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably 158:11 concentrated 1:4 5:5 19:17 29:1 49:22 61:6 85:5 185:8 concentrati 140:15 141:10 concern 59:8 127:13 concerning 108:23 concerns 133:9 135:10	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident 105:18 confinement 22:12,17 confines 19:22 confirm 45:19 confirmed 140:2 conflict 30:14 conform 30:4 conjunction 43:11 connected 11:14	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15 169:22 183:13 constitutes 40:4 63:17 construct 20:19 constructed 122:23 construction 14:10 18:4 118:23 187:23 188:2,14,19 196:1,3 construe 192:8
50:1 98:22 182:10 183:24 193:2 195:7 Coalition's 71:18 Code 1:5 5:7 29:2 coliform 20:5 column 80:7 80:24 81:2 combination 41:22 combine 65:15 combined 17:10 52:12 105:19 come 21:22 26:21 35:11 48:23 67:8 68:5 82:5	49:7 53:14 68:22 69:1 71:9 100:3 138:21,23 200:18 commenters 71:12 comments 4:3,9 8:10 8:13 18:13 39:18 49:12 197:5 203:1 Commerce 6:7 201:1 Commission 23:16 commissio 23:15 committed 13:4 15:19 16:12,15 50:18	36:13 41:13 41:16,20 116:19 complete 11:5 29:24 111:12 183:22,24 completed 10:12 68:16 93:17 191:23 completely 44:12 completing 111:1 complexity 29:22 64:6 compliance 13:22 57:7 92:17 93:1 93:8,12 117:7 156:6	computer 100:16 conceivable 124:12 conceivably 158:11 concentrated 1:4 5:5 19:17 29:1 49:22 61:6 85:5 185:8 concentrati 140:15 141:10 concern 59:8 127:13 concerning 108:23 concerns 133:9 135:10 166:17	conducting 201:7 conduits 151:8 confident 105:18 confinement 22:12,17 confines 19:22 confirm 45:19 confirmed 140:2 conflict 30:14 conform 30:4 conjunction 43:11 connected 11:14 consent	44:12 47:15 55:20,21 57:23 58:7 129:5 131:6 148:15 169:22 183:13 constitutes 40:4 63:17 construct 20:19 constructed 122:23 construction 14:10 18:4 118:23 188:2,14,19 196:1,3 construe 192:8 consultation

126:11	172:17,20	151:9 154:3	23:1 27:16	crops 13:11	107:16,20
contact 38:9	174:18	158:24	33:9 37:7	16:23	107:24
40:12,24	181:13	159:13	65:12 68:23	160:10	108:2,6,9
41:5,6	184:2,24	160:11,19	76:11 127:4	cross 118:11	108:14,18
203:7	185:4	161:9,16	154:12	166:18	108:22
contacted	controls	167:12	191:12,13	CSR 1:24	109:1,8,8
89:7,20	145:9,12	168:1 183:3	193:16	current 22:5	111:2
91:15	175:8	183:14	court 11:8	93:24	112:14
contain 70:9	Cont'd 3:1	200:6,7	14:6 91:1	102:16,22	database
contained	4:11 54:6	204:10	138:4,13	currently	107:17,17
108:18	COOL 8:2	corrected	147:9	19:16,24	date 7:19
139:8 149:6	copies 27:23	120:3	194:13	59:24 62:12	201:16
177:19	33:3 61:22	correction	courts 29:23	66:23 67:14	dated 5:24
contains	61:23 62:1	87:21	cover 10:21	178:12	6:10 70:6
109:1	62:6 70:24	118:17	72:12 85:2	C-h-r-i-s-t	78:9 85:6
150:12	78:18	corrective	coverage	18:24	201:10
163:13	185:11	116:22	63:1,1,2	C-32 79:11	204:16
contaminat	202:18	correctly	covered	79:18	dates 19:11
66:9,15,18	copy 61:16	12:4 18:20	40:17 75:15	77.10	83:5,7
125:18	70:4 77:13	89:12 92:5	104:10	D	day 7:6 10:16
126:6	77:16 78:8	correspond	cows 25:17	d 2:17 4:1	13:23 71:13
contaminat	79:16	37:4 110:8	82:5	188:23	157:3 158:7
126:7	133:16		co-counsel	dairy 17:8	169:20
1		correspond 74:5	120:11	22:5 25:4,8	196:11
content 140:9	184:7 185:2			52:10 82:4	
142:11	185:20	correspond	co-exist	105:16	days 111:18
162:11,12	186:5	33:19 72:8	197:14	108:11	113:14,24
context 47:24	corn 15:5	194:10	co-location	dairymen	114:16
54:4,11	corner	cost 23:9	104:23	26:16	188:12
58:22 76:1	137:19	111:8	created 23:7	Dale 4:4	201:8
76:4 93:23	corners	costs 52:21	creates 17:2	14:24	day-to-day
98:7 102:4	87:22	Council 7:11	52:7		110:4
129:21	correct 12:10	counsel 2:10	creating	damaged 21:9 122:6	DCEO 6:8
190:18	48:21 55:18	2:18 39:3	169:8	Dan 6:16	201:2,12,14
continue	63:15 66:20	77:20	creation 22:1	31:4 32:12	201:17,20
26:21 50:22	71:20,21	country 19:8	creek 20:3,3	54:17 121:2	deadline
59:15,16	78:6 87:10	26:2 195:24	20:6,15		39:16
118:2 119:1	87:13,17	197:11	96:12	134:23	202:10
120:11	90:15,22	County 13:2	criteria 37:19	156:4	deadly 52:19
194:24	91:16,17	19:10 96:13	104:20,22	157:17	deal 10:9
continued	109:16,17	204:3,7	135:22	dancing	64:7 116:12
21:5 52:5	120:14	couple 10:21	136:6,8	175:7	160:15
94:14	124:22	69:10	140:7,13	Daniel 34:14	165:19
contract	125:1,10	112:24	153:21	143:3	dealing 139:2
12:23	127:15	116:15	163:6	DANIELLE	195:9
contravent	130:3,20	140:20	164:11,17	3:11	Deanna 5:18
161:13	131:17	201:23	critical 40:17	data 105:15	DEANNE
control 1:2	136:16	course 6:2	crop 12:23	105:16,17	2:3
31:3 33:24	148:20	7:20,23	136:2 162:9	105:19,23	debate 80:6
91:1 144:21	149:10	9:19 10:9	162:13	107:13,13	Deborah 2:9
		control de la colonia de l Control de la colonia de la	**************************************	: :	

decades	131:2 178:6	81:2	136:8	80:14 81:8	diamond/sity
107:1	178:19		140:13		diamond/city 22:24
		descriptions 81:3	140:13	82:9,11,18	
December 78:9	188:17	design 14:10	165:13	82:23 83:10	differ 165:16
decide 81:21	198:21,24 201:1	118:22		83:23 84:3	difference
l .			determining	84:8,16,23	41:4
155:10	departmen	187:23	92:6 136:5	85:11,22	differences
170:4	201:6	188:13	137:7	86:4,7,14	64:20
199:20	depend 37:7	designate	172:22	86:17 87:10	different
decision	122:23	47:13	Devastating	87:14 88:4	16:11 18:3
47:21 48:23	dependent	designation	99:11	88:8,17	36:20 37:12
73:11	164:16,17	46:6 47:9	develop	89:5,18	40:20 65:13
119:16	depending	47:18 48:7	29:24 30:8	90:5 92:4	65:24 72:11
dedicated	119:8 165:6	48:11,15	46:6 110:17	92:11,21	83:5,7
15:12 103:8	depth 64:23	58:19 59:2	113:6	93:5,15,19	103:4,10,10
deduce 100:7	132:16,19	designing	160:14	93:23 94:8	104:22
Defense 7:11	132:22,24	188:19	developed	95:23 96:10	116:15
define 65:10	133:4 136:1	designs 188:5	29:11 30:4	96:21 97:6	117:24,24
129:20	136:5,9,9	despite 45:20	44:2 55:14	97:9 98:16	120:24
190:15	136:20	detail 69:16	74:7 136:7	99:17,19	145:5
defined	137:11	81:6 83:6	188:24	100:5,13,20	153:21
95:15	depths 137:6	108:13	189:1,4	100:24	196:9
defining	137:13	details 72:4	developing	101:11	differs 65:8
115:10,16	Dereke 4:4	determinat	11:5 73:13	102:3,8,14	difficult 26:4
definitely	12:18,21	46:10,17,21	73:17 111:9	102:20	101:22
173:18	derive 53:1	58:19 95:4	135:21	103:19,21	102:3 197:9
definition	derived	95:7 97:21	177:17	104:5,8,16	difficulty
63:21 64:9	42:20 43:2	119:12,12	development	105:12	200:3
65:7,8,18	43:5	139:22	22:1 31:8	106:8,14	diking
65:20,23	describe	140:2 153:2	43:11	107:2,9,22	190:12,15
66:6,9,19	80:13 81:4	153:3,22	117:21	108:12	190:18
66:22 67:2	107:22	162:6,8	189:17	109:19	dilemma
67:4,11	109:22	determinat	194:9	110:13,23	23:18
113:19,21	110:24	152:11,13	195:22	111:7,14,21	direct 31:14
190:14,17	121:3,16	162:18	196:1	112:18	43:18 47:5
degree 62:23	124:2	163:5	developme	113:9,22	80:20 94:21
delegated	159:22	164:14	155:4	114:8,21	directed 6:11
14:14 17:19	166:6,12	165:11	Dexter 3:6	115:4,15,20	7:1,17 9:4
delegation	described	determine	4:11 68:17	116:1,9	31:11,12
29:21	68:15 85:12	9:6,14	71:24 72:5	117:10	34:7,10,14
demand 22:4	111:4,11	10:12 38:18	72:13,15,20	118:7,10	43:14 197:2
demanding	123:9	76:11 136:1	72:22 73:2	119:4,13,19	direction
110:11	129:11	136:2,14,15	73:19 74:3	120:10,15	94:15
demonstrate	151:10	136:24	74:15 75:3	181:5,8	166:15
57:3,22	152:18	137:1 151:1	75:8,13,17	182:2,3,6	directly 17:2
denied 47:16	158:20	152:4,21	76:3,16,20	191:4,6	43:5 52:6
Department	160:1 174:7	153:12	77:8,19	Dexter's	56:16 76:18
6:7 42:22	describing	163:9	78:16 79:2	87:23	director
105:15	96:23	determined	79:7,10,18	DIAMOND	192:23
108:4,8,10	description	95:1 135:23	79:20,22	3:11,11	194:7
	-				
	Autor of the Control of the control of the	\$	wine W sale as a summarial	Constitution of the consti	

	1	I	I	I	
directors	95:15 97:13	distributed	169:2	D-a-l-e 15:1	edge 124:10
15:10	102:9 116:8	62:2 78:19	187:10	D-e-r-e-k-e	edits 176:14
disagree	117:16	83:23	198:2	12:19	educate
114:8	118:10	distribution	door 21:11	D-e-x-t-e-r	196:22
disagrees	119:6 127:3	79:2 192:8	doozy 150:8	72:22	education
46:9	133:10	District	down 66:5	D-u-n-k-i-r	93:10
discharge	135:17,17	49:20	80:24 81:1	12:19	Edwards
14:4,9,11	142:10,11	diversified	101:15		143:3
37:21 45:9	discharging	12:23	116:15	<u>E</u>	effect 98:1
45:10,15,18	14:8 182:20	diversion	196:14	e 3:8 4:1 29:9	181:15
45:22 48:12	196:5	45:6 144:15	downside	30:4 75:23	effectively
48:12 54:12	discolored	Division 31:2	154:22	162:8	155:15
54:15 55:1	20:13	DNR 105:2	downstream	each 111:23	efficiently
55:2,4,6,10	discovered	docket 1:5	19:20	150:24	155:16
55:17,22	117:6	5:8 202:7	103:24	155:22	effluent
56:1,4,21	discrepancy	202:22	104:6	156:10	29:14 54:9
57:9,11	144:9	document	downtown	162:13	effort 10:15
75:11,13	discuss 38:11	70:14 77:15	22:22	185:19	11:8 27:14
80:20 85:4	45:2 105:14	78:21 82:12	draft 74:10	Eagle 19:21	105:14
95:11,12,19	112:16	85:1 139:21	74:22 75:3	earlier 58:20	107:3
101:12,19	202:5	184:8 187:4	78:8 92:8	104:11	109:23
101:21	discussed	187:8,15,18	92;16,24	110:9	110:11
104:2	35:15 58:20	198:1	93:20	138:23	118:5
105:21	64:23	documented	105:21	145:17	eight 64:23
106:6	198:11	20:21	drawing 98:9	186:18	147:6
116:17	discussing	documents	drawn 26:17	193:3	eighth 22:23
117:5	8:7	27:23 28:4	drink 16:1	196:20	22:24
118:16,18	discussion	31:24 34:16	drive 3:3,8	ease 66:2	either 12:7
118:24	49:24 60:24	90:21 91:10	24:16,17	easily 13:21	66:22 67:1
120:2	77:18	143:1	driver 51:24	East 2:10	121:21
121:18,23	103:18	185:24	driving 24:10	easy 20:17	144:18
126:4	202:2	doing 12:17	drought 98:2	23:5 36:7	199:16
140:23	discussions	24:11 60:6	drunk 24:16	61:20 109:6	201:6
150:12	50:8 187:16	81:7 103:24	due 29:21	eat 68:13	ejection
182:17,24	disobedient	104:24	54:21	197:14	144:18
196:2,6,7	23:24	105:1	duly 1:12	economic 6:7	Eldorado
198:12,14	dissolved	152:18	Dunkirk 4:4	6:8 17:3,10	19:9
discharged	141:22,22	167:14	11:23 12:13	22:1 52:1,2	electronic
74:23 75:4	distance	169:15	12:18,18,21	52:7,12	107:13
99:21	124:16	dollars 17:3	14:21	200:21	108:5,6
115:22	131:12	52:7 177:17	duplicates	201:1,2,12	electronica
116:5,12	132:3,6	178:18,23	109:7 111:5	economically	203:3
117:15	151:6	done 16:21	duplication	13:24 17:15	element
dischargers	distinction	36:18 41:16	134:2	50:15 52:22	92:10
105:8	64:20 80:15	53:6 60:2	during 45:5	economics	elements
discharges	distinguish	68:2 76:13	58:22 88:14	26:18,20	58:12
20:21 45:8	60:20	120:10	88:16	economy	elicit 9:21
54:20 80:16	distribute	140:21	156:17	17:1,13	191:13,21
80:16,21	61:22	153:24	157:22	52:4,15,19	elicited 7:18
· Paris court man	107 (4 007 11 200 1 200 1 200 1			Ministra (1904) (1914) (1914) (1914)	2 13 - 13 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2

	105.16	1 24 24	170 10 22	1 4	106.12
9:2	185:16	24:24	178:18,23	evaluate	186:13
eligible 84:10	ensure 13:23	environment	equipment	36:15 113:7	192:20
eliminated	14:13 15:23	15:14 16:4	102:1 166:4	evaluation	exact 146:19
59:1	16:9 21:2	50:10,11,21	166:7,8,13	31:5 36:18	exactly 56:6
Elimination	50:12,14	196:15	166:17,20	even 14:5	57:18
85:4	51:4 57:5	199:10	168:1,5,10	24:13 52:11	124:23
Elm 3:12	149:12,21	environme	168:15,23	53:10 56:21	138:5 192:7
elsewhere	162:21	3:7,14 5:21	168:24	97:6 172:23	194:19
172:10	168:11	7:7,11,23	equivalent	175:9	examining
emergency	187:23	9:13 13:19	26:14 141:2	event 11:12	98:8
22:2 38:7	ensuring	15:20 16:14	144:21	45:16 157:3	example
38:10,18	13:21	18:9 23:16	erodability	158:8	36:23 45:7
40:5,7,19	enter 61:2,5	34:6,10,13	151:5	events 54:21	67:5 77:12
41:5	77:12,22,24	50:2 61:5	152:22	156:18	77:22 105:2
emphasis	81:20 82:2	66:24 72:1	erosion	157:22	122:12
44:8	82:9 83:21	72:23,24	144:14	eventually	129:11
employ 11:16	85:8 86:23	77:21	essential 24:7	107:18	162:2
employees	192:2	124:18	essentially	ever 22:3	177:14
23:7	entered 8:22	125:4,7	55:24 64:18	102:3	185:7
employs 17:4	31:20 34:22	128:19,23	107:7	195:13	190:18
52:8	78:12 79:12	130:15	establish	every 10:15	examples
en 27:13	83:19 84:4	131:8 181:7	58:6 178:3	11:8 26:7	20:9 86:24
enable 81:4	87:6 88:9	182:5	established	27:14	109:11
end 8:15	112:20	183:21	14:11,16,17	105:24,24	114:22,24
13:23 27:6	entering	187:24	118:3 127:1	111:23	excel 53:8
48:23	99:24	199:16	131:3	155:22	excellent
169:20	entertain	200:6,24	137:12	156:11	51:14
182:4 200:9	32:7 33:16	EPA 4:8,10	158:22	171:7	except 38:7
endanger	191:22	13:14 20:4	179:9	196:11	38:10
24:18	entire 39:5	28:23 36:1	establishing	everybody	exceptions
enforce 26:13	46:24 116:7	45:5 54:5	29:13	171:5	130:8,11
enforcement	133:23	70:5 73:1	166:22	everyone 5:3	Excuse 77:3
47:24 48:2	182:15	73:18 74:6	establishm	69:12	90:6
48:7,13,16	193:1	86:22 87:1	118:15	138:12	executive
48:22 51:11	entirely	87:4 88:11	estate 25:23	everything	51:10
58:23 91:6	89:12	96:2,14	estimate	106:17	112:23
91:7 96:15	119:11	101:3 111:7	81:14 83:1	evidence	192:23
97:22 98:6	194:13,19	113:2,4	83:12 86:8	32:21 35:2	exemption
100:3 102:4	entirety 64:7	135:18	86:11	40:23 59:24	57:15,16
116:22	183:3	185:3	122:19	61:18 62:11	58:6,11
117:7	202:23	187:22	145:22	71:3 79:5	154:17
engage 36:16	entitled 5:5	EPA's 33:22	147:19,20	82:21 84:6	155:16
engaged on to	82:12 99:4	34:2,5,9,12	162:3,23	86:2 88:6	156:7
195:19	99:11 184:8	73:10,11	estimated	97:19 99:8	161:15
enough	entrance	74:19	146:17	99:15	169:22
111:24	96:19 97:19	EPC 23:15	estimating	101:12	170:6 183:9
172:9	entrenched	equal 139:16	86:12	131:19,23	198:14
175:22	22:10	equally 50:12	et 132:12	131.19,23	exhaust 42:7
175:22	entrenchm	EQUIP	etc 106:6,7	139:17	190:24
170.3,9	enti encilii	LQUII	610 100.0,7	139.17	190.24
			l		<u> </u>

J					
191:9	60:24 95:2	150:20,23	189:24	familiar	52:23
exhausted	expect 10:8	156:17	190:1 196:6	96:11	fecal 20:4
71:16	62:22 92:15	157:21	198:8,10,18	137:17	federal 14:6
200:12	92:23 93:15	159:3	facility 31:4	141:19	14:14,15
exhibit 32:11	93:19	161:24	31:6 46:7	178:18,20	17:18,20,23
32:12,13,17	expectation	163:12	48:5 55:11	178:22	26:15 29:12
33:7,7,8,10	62:24	facilities	55:13 57:19	families	29:19,20,23
34:1,4,8,11	expected	14:17 17:24	87:2,5 92:7	15:15 16:4	30:5,11,12
34:14,16,22	60:9 115:9	19:24 21:6	95:8 98:3	196:14	30:14,16
61:2,5,10	202:19	36:5,10,11	101:19,20	family 15:3	44:8 45:16
61:14,17	experience	41:24 42:2	102:5 105:5	22:11	55:24 56:11
70:2,16,19	101:24	42:4,24	105:24,24	family's	57:13,15
70:23 71:2	expertise	43:6,10	108:19,20	19:11 50:20	59:11 65:8
78:12,15,17	101:23	44:4,13	111:23	far 5:16 31:2	65:9,11,15
78:21 79:4	explain 23:2	54:19 66:23	118:24	31:5 64:19	65:20 66:5
79:12 81:20	54:13 80:14	67:13 75:24	119:8,11,16	89:24	67:14 84:10
82:2,10,14	94:8 111:16	75:24 88:10	120:2	171:14	147:8,9,9
82:16,19,20	113:13	88:14,19,19	122:12,23	farm 6:23	155:3,14
83:16,20,21	127:7	88:21 89:7	122:24	12:23 13:13	183:14
83:21,24	129:20	89:9,20	126:5 132:2	15:2,9	188:1
84:3,5 85:9	159:5	91:14 92:13	139:14	18:10 19:11	189:13
85:13,23	175:14	100:6 101:5	143:8	25:21 50:5	federally
86:1,5 87:7	explained	103:1,6,10	168:12,14	193:3	182:16
87:12,12,19	60:21	104:1,3,6	171:19	198:20	feed 17:7
87:19 88:1	113:15	104:19	182:21	farmer 25:17	52:10 61:7
88:9 99:4,5	114:2 115:7	105:4,17	facility's	farmers 13:4	121:9
99:7,12,14	139:21	106:3,10,15	55:21	15:14,19	feeding 1:4
112:19	177:6	106:20	facing 19:16	16:1,3,13	5:5 19:17
146:16	explaining	108:14	fact 24:15	16:15,24	29:1 49:23
184:20	113:23	110:12	45:20 51:12	17:7,21	80:2,8 85:5
185:2,6	explanation	116:5,7,12	90:19 100:3	18:6 19:6,7	185:8
186:1,6	201:7	117:14	106:5 119:7	25:22 50:17	feel 26:11
192:3,9,14	extensive	121:7	127:8 136:4	52:10	40:11 53:14
192:16,19	20:1 106:3	124:19	197:4	196:11	53:21
exhibits 4:15	106:18,23	125:5,9	factor 45:7	farming	161:21
32:1,20	extent 67:24	126:14	144:15	51:22 52:9	feels 26:9
33:12,14	80:10	131:5 132:1	factors 64:16	farms 13:7	feet 20:12
35:1,7 88:5	119:15	147:12	99:1 144:11	14:3 15:20	124:20
99:24	extra 70:23	148:4,5,6,6	144:13	16:11,19	125:8,14,19
185:22	77:4	154:24	153:1	18:5 51:21	125:20
186:8,12	e-mail 37:2	167:24	163:10	82:3 196:13	128:16
exist 62:12		168:5	fail 122:1,5	197:1,12	130:12
existing 29:8	<u>F</u>	169:10	167:5	farrowing	131:2,9
30:13 42:20	f 132:11	176:11,11	fair 80:1	22:13	felt 24:3
43:2 44:3	133:9	177:10	197:17	fashion 48:20	few 8:6,14
65:15 131:2	135:15,16	178:5	fairly 113:15	fault 194:13	69:20 73:4
00.10 101.2	139:8	182:19	134:10	194:20	96:8 110:7
exists 47:19				1	
1	145:16	188:3,6,14	fall 80:21	feasible 14:1	126:19
exists 47:19			fall 80:21 141:23	feasible 14:1 17:16 50:16	126:19 186:21
exists 47:19 expand 22:8	145:16	188:3,6,14			

		I			
field 37:24	199:22	24:18 25:16	105:13	92:13 142:7	186:10,15
45:8 55:16	finishing	26:1,2 27:6	115:5	foundation	190:23
70:5,6	22:19	68:13,21	119:21	69:21	191:8,20
94:24 100:2	firmly 16:12	146:10	128:9 139:3	four 22:14	192:3,6,12
107:10	first 5:9 6:3	196:23	154:10	Fox 1:12 3:3	193:12
126:11	6:12 9:5	199:5	160:21	5:2,3 12:8	194:11,18
150:23,24	10:22 13:3	follow 28:3	175:3,20	14:20 18:17	194:23
151:3,4,10	23:22 29:12	39:13 48:21	176:23	18:22 21:14	199:13,21
152:2,5,23	30:10 36:3	55:7 56:16	181:6	25:10 27:4	200:2,5,8
153:12,17	47:10,13	65:24	182:12	31:19 32:4	202:4
fields 55:3	61:2 73:5	112:18	184:1	32:14,23	framed
141:5	76:23 84:17	125:24	186:16	33:17 34:15	157:10
Fifth 2:14	89:12 99:3	152:8,14	190:24	35:4,10	free 53:15,21
file 32:8 60:9	101:9 102:1	156:5	191:10	39:14 42:6	161:21
202:24	120:19,21	158:14	follow-ups	42:10,13	frequency
filed 9:5 10:1	121:2	159:9	9:15 182:4	49:2,6	124:4
29:7 30:23	148:22	165:14	182:9	53:13,18	Friday
62:22	149:14	168:18	183:22,23	61:8,21	202:19
109:15	186:15	170:1 179:4	186:19,21	62:4 63:9	friend 26:5
133:23	fish 23:6,8,11	followed	191:4	67:23 68:4	from 5:10
184:1	37:15 96:11	44:21	food 16:13	69:8,23	6:14,18 7:7
191:17	96:24 97:11	following	21:24 52:18	70:17 71:5	14:15 15:10
193:17	98:2	48:19 55:13	forecast	71:22 72:14	16:18 17:20
files 91:5	fit 30:17	57:17,18	156:18,22	78:3,13	19:20 20:10
filing 5:23	five 8:11,13	73:4 157:8	157:3,23	79:8 82:11	20:11 22:22
33:2 39:17	11:20 12:16	157:9	158:2,3,8	83:22 85:10	22:23,24
39:18	17:12	158:15	foregoing	85:20 87:9	23:22,22
filings 203:13	138:22	164:8,17	203:18	87:16 90:9	28:5 30:10
final 46:21	fix 47:18	165:13	204:8,9	91:23 92:2	30:23 31:23
47:10,14,22	fixed 47:12	189:18	foremost	94:18 97:16	42:20 43:2
73:7 143:6	flexibility	follows	102:2	98:20 99:10	43:5 44:18
179:22	155:2,7,15	189:13	foreseeable	99:17	49:19 50:8
finally 11:7	155:20	follow-up 9:7	119:1	109:13,18	51:16 54:19
33:9 131:10	156:1,12	9:10,16	forgive	119:23	55:2,5,10
169:7	169:13	31:14 38:2	193:18	120:6,9,13	57:9 64:4
financially	177:7 179:6	38:12 41:9	form 94:13	133:18	65:8 66:5
50:20	179:14	42:7 43:18	108:6 111:5	134:7,13	69:6,10,13
204:14	182:1,21,23	44:10 53:20	192:1	138:1,11	71:10 73:13
find 22:7	flood 124:4	54:1 55:12	formal 91:7	160:23	75:19 80:2
24:7 81:21	floodplain	67:21 68:2	formerly	161:19	82:2 83:16
92:16,24	123:24	68:16,19	20:7	175:4,19	83:17 84:11
133:13	124:2	71:16 72:1	forth 178:14	176:23	85:21 86:8
fine 43:20	floodplains	72:16,18	183:3	177:1	86:22 87:22
61:3 63:10	123:16	73:5 74:3	forums 118:1	179:17	91:21 94:23
72:13 100:1	floors 22:15	74:16,17	forward	181:2,5	95:8 97:13
115:1	flowing	76:4,23	116:21	182:3,7	100:7
141:13	20:13	81:9 90:7	found 13:7	183:17,20	101:18,19
157:16,17	focus 161:4	94:17	25:6 39:5	185:13,17	103:24
185:18	folks 8:15	104:14	88:21 89:20	185:23	104:3,6
100.10			00.21 07.20		
	I			1	I

105:15	116:22	44:18 53:20	go 27:19 28:8	138:2 147:6	27:20
107:10	119:20	54:21 60:16	35:13 36:17	150:2 153:1	grain 15:1
108:3,10	120:7	60:22	38:20 46:24	162:21	17:7 19:6
110:14	153:10	177:24	53:21 69:23	165:14	25:24 51:22
116:21	175:20	189:18	72:9 75:20	172:22	52:9
118:11	179:18	generate	89:23 90:3	193:8	grams 140:10
119:15	181:3	171:21	90:10 94:19	196:23	140:10
122:6	183:18	generation	96:6 101:6	197:21	Grand 1:11
124:15	184:22	53:9	110:22	199:3,6	2:10
125:19	199:24	generations	111:19	202:4,17	grant 99:3
126:4	200:4,11	16:5,13	118:5	golf 23:1	granted
127:12,23	204:12	50:23	119:23	gone 47:17	34:21 186:4
128:16	future 16:5	gentlemen	146:12	91:6 194:4	great 15:17
129:15	112:16	28:15	150:10	good 5:2 12:8	51:24 64:19
130:19	119:1 155:5	geological	160:23	12:11,20	72:20
131:13,16		105:2	161:22	16:6 25:14	134:14
133:1	G	159:21	172:10	25:15 26:1	169:10
135:17	g 3:3 162:10	160:1	175:4 177:2	28:22 35:10	greater 53:7
140:1,23	162:11	gets 178:18	178:24	49:16 50:18	92:16,24
142:10	gallons 20:14	197:19	193:8,19	68:4 69:18	93:11 159:4
143:2 145:5	123:3,20	getting 80:5	198:15	71:22 72:15	159:12,16
162:14	GAMMEL	81:6 106:2	201:22	72:21	grew 20:7
165:16	2:17	198:3	goal 15:24	102:13	gritty 81:6
171:2	gap 197:7	GG 143:1	50:19 53:5	103:14	ground 63:17
178:19	199:3	give 9:22	110:1,3,15	106:2	63:21 64:9
179:2	gather 36:24	40:15 44:3	135:7	146:20,23	64:24 65:3
182:16	40:23	49:9 59:6	goals 135:16	158:14	groundwater
187:6	gathered	63:24 67:4	162:9	182:7	126:6,15
199:16	119:15	79:2 82:1	goes 46:4	183:20	127:3,6
201:14,17	gave 182:14	83:11 85:16	48:5 56:15	186:22	129:6 132:2
201:20	189:23	110:10	84:18	191:8	group 7:8
front 28:6	Gegas 4:5	125:11	116:21	193:12	19:5,6
100:16	12:3 18:19	128:5 155:7	169:12	199:9,13	117:20
103:14	18:21,23,23	174:5	171:15,15	gotten 69:8	185:4
frozen 63:17	19:3	185:10	going 21:20	govern 18:4	199:16
63:21 64:9	general 2:18	given 51:17	37:11 43:17	governed	groups 3:14
64:24,24	14:18 18:2	108:3	56:16 57:12	10:22	7:8,23 9:13
65:2	20:1,10	125:20	67:7 70:1	government	34:6,10,13
fulfill 152:13	30:9 54:1	130:10	73:3 78:1	14:15 17:20	72:2,24
152:15	54:11 59:22	133:8	83:11 94:6	194:8	77:21
full 171:16	59:23 60:10	135:15	96:14 103:9	governmen	155:11
fully 9:17	60:20 61:9	185:19	105:9,12	50:15	181:7 182:5
function	62:10,12,16	196:8	106:2 110:2	governmen	183:22
132:7	62:20 63:2	gives 129:11	114:20	65:20	195:7 200:6
further 32:24	64:18 88:13	giving 114:22	116:11	Governor	Group's 72:3
81:1 91:24	91:8 100:19	166:16	117:22	23:14	grow 27:1
95:22	114:15	glad 49:17	122:22	governs	growers
110:17	116:2 195:9	Glosser 2:3	126:22	198:18	136:2
113:22	generally	5:18	133:20	graciously	growing

			1 1		
16:23 22:3	121:8	95:5 96:6	175:6,15,24	42:10,13	199:13,21
22:4	handling	100:22	176:5,16,20	49:2,6	200:2,3,5,8
grown 25:21	75:23	120:12,20	177:5 178:8	53:13,18,24	200:16,19
guarantee	121:12	121:5,14,20	178:16,20	61:8,12,21	201:8,21
56:14	124:19	122:9,14,17	179:3,13	62:4 63:9	202:4,7,12
guess 26:8	125:5,8	122:21	180:14	67:23 68:4	202:14,19
43:4 46:19	132:1 148:4	123:5,13,23	187:7,12,19	69:8,23	203:12,15
47:3 61:19	188:3,5	124:3,12,23	188:15	70:17,21	203:18
67:9 117:10	Hang 127:18	125:2,13	189:6,12,23	71:5,22	held 1:9 14:4
143:1	happen 37:9	128:14,18	190:7	72:14 77:19	20:23 77:18
160:13	48:19	129:23	head 22:18	78:3,13,23	103:18
guessing	101:18	130:7,13,21	28:16	79:8 82:11	121:6
181:10	117:23	130:24	headquarter	82:13,17	help 13:5
guidance	155:4 174:5	131:18,22	106:19	83:20,22	16:17 73:17
44:7 154:6	174:10,12	134:24	health 22:2	84:2 85:10	141:11
185:7	174:12	135:21	108:10	85:20,20,21	196:19
guided 16:12	happened	136:17	112:15	87:9,16	helpful 32:3
gun 24:13	96:24 98:19	137:3,20,24	131:3	88:3 90:7,9	62:6 134:11
guys 186:22	happening	139:20	Health's	91:23 92:2	134:17
187:18	149:4	140:19	105:16	94:16,18	her 5:14
G-e-g-a-s	happens 46:8	141:13	hear 60:14	97:16 98:20	19:11 25:19
18:24	176:2	142:6,15,22	68:8,11	99:10,17	28:6 77:23
Appendix a second	happy 77:17	143:5	77:4 97:17	109:13,18	194:14
H	199:11	144:10	heard 11:15	119:23	hide 196:23
Hadden 4:4	hard 49:24	145:8	32:14 34:15	120:6,9,13	high 20:4
12:1 14:22	53:12	148:13	35:6 61:8	133:18	124:13
14:24,24	125:12	150:1	70:17 87:9	134:7,13	him 9:22
18:17	HARMON	151:13	87:23 97:17	138:1,11	27:14 46:11
half 17:3	2:18	152:7,24	157:17	160:23	186:18,20
23:21 52:7	having 21:9	153:7,19	167:19	161:19	191:15
158:3	24:4 32:14	154:4,8	185:23	169:13	194:16
hand 70:2	34:15 35:6	157:18	hearing 1:9	175:4,19	history 22:10
196:13	49:20 61:8	158:9 159:1	1:12 5:2,3	177:1	51:1 104:23
handbook	68:5 70:17	159:7,14,18	6:1,3,4,11	179:17	105:1
132:15,21	73:9 87:23	160:3,11,13	6:12,24	181:2,5	195:21
133:12,15	89:7 138:15	160:20	7:14,16 8:3	182:3,7	hobby 25:18
133:24	164:16,18	161:10,17	8:8 10:7,10	183:17,20	hog 22:17
134:10,16	183:21	163:3,18	10:20 12:8	184:3	82:5
135:4,7,9	185:23	164:10,23	14:20 18:17	185:13,17	hogs 22:14
135:20	hay 2:13 15:6	165:5,18	18:22 21:14	185:23	22:19 23:3
136:10,13	Heacock 6:16	166:1,5	25:10 27:4	186:3,9,10	26:6
136:21,23	31:4 32:12	168:17	27:11,17	186:15	Holbrook 2:2
137:18	33:10 34:14	169:1	31:19,24	190:23	5:11 201:11
handed	43:19,21	170:23	32:4,14,18	191:8,20	hold 122:20
186:7	44:5 54:17	171:9 172:2	32:23 33:17	192:3,6,12	123:3
handful	54:17 55:23	172:15,21	34:15,19,20	192:15	holders 94:1
25:17	56:10 62:14	172:13,21	35:4,10,15	193:2,12	94:3
handing 78:7	63:4 72:9	174:1,4,11	35:18 39:13	194:11,18	holding 6:3
handle 19:23	94:21,22	174:16,24	39:14 42:6	194:23	123:19
	77.21,22	17-7.10,27	37.17 72.0	171.23	123.17
	l	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1

home 19:14	106:9 107:2	64:13 70:5	52:12 97:14	132:16,19	Indiana
19:15,20	110:13	73:13,15,20	200:21	132:23,24	26:22
hometown	115:21	74:6,19	201:2,12	135:6,7,12	indicate 10:6
22:21	116:4	80:3 81:13	impacts	include 20:11	36:8 48:1
Honestly	117:12,14	81:17,24	17:11	44:9 106:21	80:7 89:15
93:6	119:6	82:3,12	impaired	121:8 122:5	183:22
hoses 167:11	120:16	83:2 85:6	80:9	122:9	indicated 7:8
167:16	133:11	86:9,22	impairment	144:13	8:12 10:8
hour 1:10	148:14	87:1,4	81:5	151:17	11:20 38:5
24:12,18	181:12	88:11 96:2	impairments	170:24	41:20 58:21
hours 156:21	186:24	99:4 101:3	79:24 80:3	171:22	69:15 71:15
158:1	187:5 188:4	101:4	impetus	173:1	112:13
housed	197:16	105:15,20	182:15	175:13,18	184:7,11
106:23	IEPA's 6:5	105:24	implement	188:24	190:24
housekeepi	65:7 77:1	108:3,7,10	15:21 30:8	190:3	195:6
68:21 69:16	77:10,15	111:7	116:10	included	196:20
138:17	92:5	112:21	implementi	7:14 77:16	202:17
houses 22:13	ILL 1:5	113:1,4	51:2 66:3	89:15	indicates
hundred	Illinois 1:2	124:8 125:4	117:17	106:10	6:20
91:20	1:11 2:11	126:9	144:20	174:14	indicating
H-a-d-d-e-n	2:15,19,20	128:19	imply 169:24	180:6	27:7 68:22
15:1	3:4,9,12 5:6	130:14	importance	includes 6:20	77:20
, ,	5:21 6:19	132:15	15:13,17	7:9 89:2	194:12
. <u>I</u>	6:21,21,22	133:12,14	18:14 52:2	108:19	indication
idea 141:3	6:23 7:9	133:24	important	121:6,7	31:23
147:3,16	12:21 13:1	135:4	17:1 45:7	133:23	indirect 52:9
identical	13:13 14:3	141:10,15	50:12 52:21	including	individual
177:18	14:13,14,18	141:21	impose	18:6 19:21	60:8,9,21
identified	15:2,8,18	142:5,8	145:10	101:18	60:23 62:19
4:16 58:12	16:13 17:1	145:22	imposing	113:19	62:22
80:8 157:9	17:2,4,9,12	146:21	156:8	131:5	individually
198:7	17:14,17,19	148:6	impossible	160:16	150:3
identifies	17:22 18:5	158:24	102:12	194:9 198:7	individuals
151:4	18:15 19:6	179:10	impression	198:16	26:20
identify	19:10 21:22	181:12	196:8	inconsisten	indulgence
42:19	21:24 22:4	187:22	impressive	44:14	184:5
111:23	23:17 24:2	192:23,24	52:12	inconsistent	industrial
165:23	25:5,8,21	193:1,3,4,4	improved	62:13	54:11
IDPH 105:19	25:23 26:21	193:5	51:5	incorporati	industries
106:13	28:23 29:2	195:22	improving	107:10	199:4
109:1	29:17 30:7	196:12	13:18	increase 93:7	industry
IEPA 2:12	30:15 33:22	204:1	Inadequate	94:5	17:14 22:6
5:22 6:14	33:23 34:2	Illinoisans	121:20	increased	50:24 51:19
36:9 51:7 51:18 56:20	34:3,5,9,12	52:8	inception	. 94:12	53:5 98:11
	35:21 42:22	immediate	195:16	increasing	influence
81:13 84:9	45:5 50:2,4	5:10,18	inch 64:23	94:5	133:1
93:16 100:5	50:5,5,6	29:5 31:3	156:22	increasingly	188:13
101:6 102:15,21	51:23 52:6	impact 6:8	158:2,3	195:14	inform
111/113/1	61:5 63:18	18:9 52:2,9	inches	199:9	196:22
102.13,21	01.3 03.10	1017 0212,5		-,,,,,	

-	1			 I	 I
Informal	inspecting	59:14 66:11	involved	it'll 94:7	88:9 90:12
85:3	106:10	interest 8:9	21:20 36:4		90:16 91:5
information	168:23	13:21 134:1	37:15 126:4	J	93:23 95:2
10:23 37:1	inspection	interested	155:11	J 2:9 3:11	96:2,8
37:6,13,17	36:5,17	204:15	187:22	Jacksonville	98:12,19
37:20 40:15	38:5,10,14	interests	195:15	15:2	100:1,20
59:6 81:13	38:24 41:5	195:8	204:14	Jennifer 2:3	103:5,21
88:13	90:17 95:9	intermittent	involvement	5:17	109:9,13
103:15	108:11	47:22	93:10	Jessica 3:6	111:3,10
107:3,10,12	110:6	interpret	involves 46:5	72:22	112:8,18
107:20,23	118:19	85:1 98:17	Iowa 22:13	Jim 4:5,13	115:2,15
108:3,5,17	150:3 166:4	interrupt	22:18 23:10	6:18 21:16	117:9,11
108:19,23	inspections	39:15	23:14 63:19	194:1,6,21	122:4
109:2,4	41:15,17,22	133:20	63:22 64:1	jittery 52:18	123:21,24
111:12,24	41:24 42:3	138:2	64:12,12,17	Joanne 2:9	127:19
112:2,8,9	89:22 92:6	interruption	Iroquois	29:6	128:9
112:14	92:18 93:2	39:22 68:6	96:12	job 22:1 23:5	134:12
116:16,16	93:16 103:5	Interstate	irrigation	26:3 186:22	140:19
116:20	112:3,15	24:11	167:12	Johnson 2:4	142:18
119:15	116:19	introduce	issue 8:20	5:15 195:2	145:18
142:12	inspector	31:24	21:21 64:7	Journal	147:14
146:6,10,11	48:5	184:11	64:8 73:14	143:2	151:10
146:11,13	installed	191:15	76:18 96:20	judgment	152:1
147:6,17,18	127:2,9	introduced	105:7 132:9	118:19	155:13,17
152:5	instance	186:18	139:1	July 7:3,3,13	161:4
188:23	99:22	introduction	153:11	73:9 96:13	162:19
203:8	117:14	9:1,23	160:5	June 6:13,13	170:1
initial 85:2	122:6	28:12 35:6	169:19	6:17 193:17	173:10
116:23	123:19	191:16	176:14,15	just 10:6	177:23,24
initially	126:3,4,8	193:20	176:17	12:13 13:1	179:19
125:23	140:23	inventory	187:17	14:12 15:2	181:8
initiated 5:22	156:20	73:16	199:2	15:18 20:20	182:14
initiation	157:24	110:17,20	200:21	25:16 26:13	185:18
91:5	165:20	110:21	issued 46:18	37:14 38:20	186:22
inlet 151:6	instances	111:9 113:7	47:15 94:12	39:15 40:15	189:7
Innovations	126:19	147:18	98:5,6	40:16 41:20	193:13
185:3	140:3	investigated	117:3	45:10,19	194:20
innovators	instead 63:18	91:15 98:4	issues 10:19	47:10 49:3	196:10
22:12	institutions	investigating	26:19 97:24	61:22 62:5	200:13
inquiry	179:10	101:7	98:4 122:3	62:11,19	201:22
97:23	intend 69:3	investigation	160:15	63:7 67:4	justify 179:1
107:17	190:14	73:24 85:3	184:14	67:21 68:15	J-i-m 21:16
inspect 36:9	intended 8:4	87:3,5	195:16	68:20 71:6	
110:12	11:4	97:20	196:10	73:3 74:7	<u>K</u>
inspected	intensive	116:21,23	198:6	74:18 77:9	k 109:11
88:11,19	112:7	118:19	201:24	77:16 78:3	160:2
102:15,21	intent 65:22	involve	202:5	79:12 80:19	Kaitschuk
103:1	66:4	104:22	203:10	81:24 83:6	4:13 6:18
106:20	intention	118:14	items 160:4	85:12,17	9:19 191:12
	•				

192:22	27:24 35:20	122:18	166:2,11	157:2,21	152:7
193:7,15,20	39:1 43:14	123:1,6,17	167:3,8,15	158:1,4,7	154:16,23
194:1,6,11	89:24 90:21	124:1,7,14	167:19,22	159:9,16,20	155:10
194:21	94:22 97:2	124:24	168:3,7,22	161:12	156:10
195:1,3	97:2,5	125:6,15	169:7 170:7	166:3,6,7	158:21,23
199:14	105:22	126:13	171:4,24	166:13,13	159:15
200:8	106:15,17	127:11,16	172:4,19	167:9 168:9	160:16
Kaitschuk's	108:13	127:22	173:6,13	168:15,21	161:3,6,8
192:1	110:18	128:4,7,13	174:2,6,13	169:17,21	161:12
199:18	113:18	128:21	174:22	170:11,15	162:21
Kathy 27:21	114:11,12	129:13	176:12,18	170:16,21	163:8
28:4	116:4,7,10	130:2,9,16	176:21	171:1,1,5,6	168:18
keep 23:3	116:12	130:22	179:17,19	171:20,20	182:22
24:21 27:1	122:21	131:10,19	179:22	171:21,24	183:8 197:2
155:2,21	126:9,20,23	131:24	180:24	172:1,6,7	197:18
171:12	128:4 129:1	132:8	181:3,4	172:10,11	larger 80:17
180:19	134:19	134:12,19	199:19,24	172:13,14	144:16
181:24	135:14	135:2	200:2,4,7	172:15,16	190:1,8
keeping	141:14	136:11,19	known 19:18	172:23,24	largest 22:17
127:4 169:5	142:6,11	137:14,22	knows	173:11,17	last 21:23
169:13	146:8 149:2	138:1 139:2	117:15	173:20,23	43:22,23
kick 21:10	149:4	139:5		174:9,16	54:2 83:6
kill 23:6	152:21	140:14	L	175:9,9,16	86:7 88:9
37:15 96:11	153:5 154:8	141:6,14	L 109:11	175:16,22	92:11,20
96:24 97:11	155:11	142:13,18	labeled 72:6	175:22	94:11
98:2	162:24	142:21	79:11 88:24	176:3,3,6,8	106:20
killed 23:8,11	163:1	143:4,6	labor 112:7	176:9 178:3	112:24
Kim 3:2	166:21	145:3,13	lagoon	178:15	126:10
157:4	172:13	146:3,7,14	122:11,15	180:2,3,4,5	137:14
kind 24:3	174:4,22,24	146:24	122:20	180:10,11	170:7
36:7 44:2	184:15	147:3,11,21	123:2,19	180:17	181:19
54:9,15	187:12	148:1,9,24	124:10	Landscape	late 96:13
67:1 83:11	194:12	149:11,20	laid 165:21	142:24	later 7:3,6,19
92:21 93:13	195:12	150:6	183:12	language	65:5 118:8
95:11,11	198:5 199:1	151:14	lakes 20:14	24:8 183:2	201:14
98:13	203:14	152:16	land 15:17	large 20:19	LAURA 2:18
104:18	knowing	153:5,9	16:23 19:23	20:23 28:6	Laurel 1:24
134:21	98:18	154:2,5,12	20:8 21:4,7	50:7 54:21	204:6
167:12	knowledge	156:14	50:18 55:2	56:17,20,24	law 3:7 7:11
175:7	40:14	157:6,10,14	57:3,9,23	57:2,16,18	18:2 23:23
181:14	101:23	157:20	66:13,14	57:22 58:5	24:17,21
191:16	106:23	158:19	114:5	86:9,13	45:16,24
200:11	Knowles 3:2	159:2,11,15	131:12,20	95:10	57:24 72:23
kinds 80:16	4:12 72:16	159:19	132:3,10	115:21	102:16,22
120:23	77:5 78:2	160:8,12,18	133:6 139:7	145:22	198:17
121:3 122:2	103:20	161:21,23	143:10,18	146:16,21	lawless 23:24
143:17	120:14,17	163:11	151:18,20	149:9,12,13	lawsuit 20:11
163:5	121:11,15	164:1,20,24	153:15	149:17,22	lay 69:20
know 25:22	122:4,11,15	165:15,22	156:17,21	151:11,15	layer 133:5
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	
	I		I		I

lead 5:11	65:13 80:13	121:9	184:19	43:6,10	164:18
117:20	96:4 97:10	135:17	listed 80:18	44:4,13	165:2 166:3
126:6	145:18	138:12	91:9 100:9	45:4 50:17	166:14
195:21	148:3	146:20,23	100:11,12	51:21,22	169:10
		155:13	152:1	52:6,9,13	170:11
leaders 52:20	149:14				
leadership	182:18	167:11	162:19	55:14,16	177:10
198:2	184:15	171:4 182:4	listing 104:12	57:3,7,9,19	178:5,15
leading 80:3	200:20	185:20	106:3	65:7,10,12	188:17,19
80:6	lethargic	188:12	liter 140:10	65:16,18,21	189:24
leaking 20:15	52:5	191:15,18	140:11,16	65:23 66:10	190:3
learns 119:6	letter 85:2	192:2 193:9	140:23	66:19,22,23	198:18
lease 176:7	201:10	193:20	141:7 142:3	67:2,12,12	LLC 1:22
leased 174:17	let's 68:12	201:18	142:3	74:23 75:4	19:21
175:17	101:2	likelihood	literature	75:19,23	LLP 2:13
least 68:7	110:22	118:18	137:4	76:8 81:1	LMFA 18:1
108:21	level 89:19	likely 48:18	139:23	81:12,16,19	18:2 44:6
114:22	108:12	117:5	140:12,17	82:4 83:1	55:15,20
178:11	135:23	118:24	140:18	83:14 84:9	56:6,9,10
201:8	137:9	159:10,12	142:2,7,7	84:22 86:22	56:13
leave 39:19	levels 135:9	160:9 168:4	litigation	87:2,2,4,4	105:19
44:23 67:7	136:3,6	limit 18:9	29:23	88:10 89:7	106:13
67:10	life 19:19	114:19	litter 65:11	91:14 95:7	108:2,8,22
137:15	24:4,19	155:14	66:6	97:13 98:3	127:8
left 5:10,13	25:2	limitation	little 23:9	101:4,12,19	177:23
5:14 30:7	light 38:3	181:14	40:20 69:21	105:4,16,20	178:1,8
31:2,3 36:7	182:13	limitations	83:6,8	106:3 107:1	189:21
71:23	like 8:6,12	29:14 54:10	86:19 94:24	110:12	194:9
155:10	10:4 11:15	145:4 173:9	101:23,23	111:11,23	195:17,22
left-hand	13:3,12	limited 37:3	101:24	114:4	196:2,5
137:19	14:12 15:11	39:6 151:18	102:11	117:23	local 15:15
legal 39:3	20:14 21:10	limitless 28:1	107:23	121:7	20:15 21:24
44:16,18	24:3,15,22	limits 22:22	149:1	122:19	97:15
48:20	27:2 28:3	150:13	177:22	123:2	locally 22:4
legislative	28:12 32:6	line 48:14	194:5	124:19	located 22:21
51:9	32:10 33:15	54:2 59:11	Liu 2:7 5:20	125:5,8,18	123:12,20
legitimately	33:24 34:4	111:20	live 15:16	126:4	124:20
118:7	34:7,11	159:3 161:1	19:7,9,10	129:24	125:19
lengthy	39:10 61:1	169:14	197:10	131:12	132:2
134:10,21	61:4 63:19	176:23	199:4	132:1	location
less 30:15	66:9,15	193:9,9	livestock	139:11	37:14 41:2
130:1,2	67:16 68:8	201:10	14:16 15:2	143:9 147:8	104:23
139:15	69:20 70:15	link 100:18	16:1,24	148:4,4	104:25
140:5	74:18 77:12	list 11:19	17:1,6,6,11	149:2	109:2 115:2
144:15	80:20 82:1	20:4 68:21	17:24 18:5	150:12	129:18
160:9	83:15 84:24	78:9 89:1,2	18:14 24:22	151:18	144:19
197:11,11	86:23 94:20	100:5	25:4,7 26:6	157:2 158:7	151:7
· ·	105:1 110:8	100:3	26:23 36:5	157:2 138:7	locations
LeSUEUR	105:1 110:8	·	40:12 42:21	161:24	104:18
3:11		110:5,18	1		151:6
let 11:21 33:5	120:20	143:1	42:23 43:2	162:3,6,23	131.0

lodge 70:19	146:3	117:11	172:7,12,18	66:8,17	19:24 23:3
logically	lunch 68:10	118:5,6	173:2,4,7	67:6,18	81:12 84:9
48:23	68:13 69:5	119:11,12	173:23	68:1,14	86:9 87:22
long 20:13	69:10,13	119:16	174:15,19	71:15,20,21	88:10,18,20
51:1,23,24	71:15	127:19	175:13	77:3,6 90:6	89:8 90:3
53:15 55:7	L.A 1:22	129:5	176:9	90:9,11	99:1 137:4
63:13		133:21	177:10,18	91:3,12,18	145:21
105:10	M	148:10	178:2,5	91:22,23	147:12
150:8	made 23:7,23	149:15	180:16,19	92:1 96:18	177:14,16
167:15,17	27:20 33:3	152:11,12	188:18,22	97:8,16,18	178:13
167:20	45:2 95:4,7	152:13	189:2,15,24	176:22	179:9
195:20	98:15 101:3	153:1,22,24	190:4 198:9	177:1,3	185:11
look 16:11	107:2 140:1	163:5	198:18,19	178:1,13,17	maps 180:6
33:4 56:12	147:20	165:10	manager	178:22	180:10,12
67:3,17	203:2	191:15	31:2,4,6	179:5,16	180:14,19
76:10 80:23	magnitude	199:5 201:5	100:2	182:9,11	March 5:24
86:5 88:12	83:12	makes 36:18	manager's	183:13,16	5:24 6:10
89:5 94:10	main 22:22	46:22 47:20	190:3	183:18,19	6:24 7:17
97:7 101:20	44:8 54:18	48:6 99:1	managing	191:3,7,11	8:3 29:10
128:5 150:4	maintain	making 28:2	25:24	191:18,24	109:16
153:22	17:13 23:4	40:12 41:5	mandated	192:5,7,8	133:23
170:3	25:2 29:19	153:3	30:6	192:3,7,8	201:10
176:16	29:21 113:6	164:14	mandates	192:22	mark 33:9
187:18	maintenance	malfunctions	44:20	193:13,19	79:3 186:5
looked 64:1	14:11 54:23	167:1	manner	194:16,19	marked
64:16 137:4	118:23	manage	141:2	Manning's	61:13 70:2
looking 37:7	121:21	12:22	Manning	41:21	70:22 78:24
37:13,17,22	129:18	176:10	2:13 4:8,11	manual 70:6	82:18 84:3
37:23 60:18	major 157:3	management	35:16,18,21	70:8 184:12	85:23 185:1
79:10 80:1	158:8	14:17 15:21	36:2 38:1	185:7 187:6	185:6
88:8 89:12	majority	16:9 18:7	39:15,21	187:10	192:16
98:8 112:22	148:5,10	20:18 21:1	41:8,11		l
137:3	149:13,17		71.0.11	muniire i s. /	marketing
1 3 7 . 3	1 1 7 7 , 1 3 , 1 /	42.21.23	,	manure 13:7	marketing
139.23	158:23	42:21,23 43:2 6 10	42:6,8,12	13:8,10	22:18
139:23 150:11	1 '	43:2,6,10	42:6,8,12 42:15,18	13:8,10 16:18 20:12	22:18 material
150:11	158:23	43:2,6,10 44:4,13	42:6,8,12 42:15,18 43:16,20,23	13:8,10 16:18 20:12 21:5,11	22:18 material 172:16
150:11 155:12	158:23 169:10	43:2,6,10 44:4,13 51:3,7 55:7	42:6,8,12 42:15,18 43:16,20,23 44:10,22	13:8,10 16:18 20:12 21:5,11 26:8 55:14	22:18 material 172:16 materials
150:11 155:12 looks 138:12	158:23 169:10 197:24	43:2,6,10 44:4,13 51:3,7 55:7 55:15,22	42:6,8,12 42:15,18 43:16,20,23 44:10,22 46:3,13	13:8,10 16:18 20:12 21:5,11 26:8 55:14 65:11 66:6	22:18 material 172:16 materials 121:9
150:11 155:12 looks 138:12 lose 51:11	158:23 169:10 197:24 make 8:10	43:2,6,10 44:4,13 51:3,7 55:7 55:15,22 56:2 57:5	42:6,8,12 42:15,18 43:16,20,23 44:10,22 46:3,13 47:23 49:2	13:8,10 16:18 20:12 21:5,11 26:8 55:14 65:11 66:6 84:12 96:12	22:18 material 172:16 materials 121:9 matter 1:3
150:11 155:12 looks 138:12 lose 51:11 lost 87:7	158:23 169:10 197:24 make 8:10 9:23 10:15	43:2,6,10 44:4,13 51:3,7 55:7 55:15,22 56:2 57:5 57:19 66:23	42:6,8,12 42:15,18 43:16,20,23 44:10,22 46:3,13 47:23 49:2 49:5 53:19	13:8,10 16:18 20:12 21:5,11 26:8 55:14 65:11 66:6 84:12 96:12 99:21	22:18 material 172:16 materials 121:9 matter 1:3 28:24 68:21
150:11 155:12 looks 138:12 lose 51:11 lost 87:7 92:19 157:5	158:23 169:10 197:24 make 8:10 9:23 10:15 11:8,15	43:2,6,10 44:4,13 51:3,7 55:7 55:15,22 56:2 57:5 57:19 66:23 67:13	42:6,8,12 42:15,18 43:16,20,23 44:10,22 46:3,13 47:23 49:2 49:5 53:19 53:23 54:6	13:8,10 16:18 20:12 21:5,11 26:8 55:14 65:11 66:6 84:12 96:12 99:21 129:15,19	22:18 material 172:16 materials 121:9 matter 1:3 28:24 68:21 96:16
150:11 155:12 looks 138:12 lose 51:11 lost 87:7 92:19 157:5 181:9	158:23 169:10 197:24 make 8:10 9:23 10:15 11:8,15 13:9 26:3	43:2,6,10 44:4,13 51:3,7 55:7 55:15,22 56:2 57:5 57:19 66:23 67:13 105:17	42:6,8,12 42:15,18 43:16,20,23 44:10,22 46:3,13 47:23 49:2 49:5 53:19 53:23 54:6 55:12 56:7	13:8,10 16:18 20:12 21:5,11 26:8 55:14 65:11 66:6 84:12 96:12 99:21 129:15,19 130:5,18,19	22:18 material 172:16 materials 121:9 matter 1:3 28:24 68:21 96:16 138:17
150:11 155:12 looks 138:12 lose 51:11 lost 87:7 92:19 157:5 181:9 lot 25:22 26:1	158:23 169:10 197:24 make 8:10 9:23 10:15 11:8,15 13:9 26:3 27:14,18	43:2,6,10 44:4,13 51:3,7 55:7 55:15,22 56:2 57:5 57:19 66:23 67:13 105:17 108:23	42:6,8,12 42:15,18 43:16,20,23 44:10,22 46:3,13 47:23 49:2 49:5 53:19 53:23 54:6 55:12 56:7 56:15 58:3	13:8,10 16:18 20:12 21:5,11 26:8 55:14 65:11 66:6 84:12 96:12 99:21 129:15,19 130:5,18,19 131:5	22:18 material 172:16 materials 121:9 matter 1:3 28:24 68:21 96:16 138:17 139:2
150:11 155:12 looks 138:12 lose 51:11 lost 87:7 92:19 157:5 181:9 lot 25:22 26:1 26:2,12	158:23 169:10 197:24 make 8:10 9:23 10:15 11:8,15 13:9 26:3 27:14,18 40:11,24	43:2,6,10 44:4,13 51:3,7 55:7 55:15,22 56:2 57:5 57:19 66:23 67:13 105:17 108:23 122:7,12	42:6,8,12 42:15,18 43:16,20,23 44:10,22 46:3,13 47:23 49:2 49:5 53:19 53:23 54:6 55:12 56:7 56:15 58:3 58:14 59:16	13:8,10 16:18 20:12 21:5,11 26:8 55:14 65:11 66:6 84:12 96:12 99:21 129:15,19 130:5,18,19 131:5 161:13	22:18 material 172:16 materials 121:9 matter 1:3 28:24 68:21 96:16 138:17 139:2 matters 8:7
150:11 155:12 looks 138:12 lose 51:11 lost 87:7 92:19 157:5 181:9 lot 25:22 26:1 26:2,12 40:10 97:24	158:23 169:10 197:24 make 8:10 9:23 10:15 11:8,15 13:9 26:3 27:14,18 40:11,24 41:6 42:3	43:2,6,10 44:4,13 51:3,7 55:7 55:15,22 56:2 57:5 57:19 66:23 67:13 105:17 108:23 122:7,12 145:15	42:6,8,12 42:15,18 43:16,20,23 44:10,22 46:3,13 47:23 49:2 49:5 53:19 53:23 54:6 55:12 56:7 56:15 58:3 58:14 59:16 59:19 60:5	13:8,10 16:18 20:12 21:5,11 26:8 55:14 65:11 66:6 84:12 96:12 99:21 129:15,19 130:5,18,19 131:5 161:13 163:13	22:18 material 172:16 materials 121:9 matter 1:3 28:24 68:21 96:16 138:17 139:2 matters 8:7 maximum
150:11 155:12 looks 138:12 lose 51:11 lost 87:7 92:19 157:5 181:9 lot 25:22 26:1 26:2,12 40:10 97:24 147:10	158:23 169:10 197:24 make 8:10 9:23 10:15 11:8,15 13:9 26:3 27:14,18 40:11,24 41:6 42:3 48:11,15	43:2,6,10 44:4,13 51:3,7 55:7 55:15,22 56:2 57:5 57:19 66:23 67:13 105:17 108:23 122:7,12 145:15 159:8	42:6,8,12 42:15,18 43:16,20,23 44:10,22 46:3,13 47:23 49:2 49:5 53:19 53:23 54:6 55:12 56:7 56:15 58:3 58:14 59:16 59:19 60:5 60:12,15,19	13:8,10 16:18 20:12 21:5,11 26:8 55:14 65:11 66:6 84:12 96:12 99:21 129:15,19 130:5,18,19 131:5 161:13 163:13 164:3	22:18 material 172:16 materials 121:9 matter 1:3 28:24 68:21 96:16 138:17 139:2 matters 8:7 maximum 122:20,22
150:11 155:12 looks 138:12 lose 51:11 lost 87:7 92:19 157:5 181:9 lot 25:22 26:1 26:2,12 40:10 97:24 147:10 177:3 195:8	158:23 169:10 197:24 make 8:10 9:23 10:15 11:8,15 13:9 26:3 27:14,18 40:11,24 41:6 42:3 48:11,15 49:17 61:19	43:2,6,10 44:4,13 51:3,7 55:7 55:15,22 56:2 57:5 57:19 66:23 67:13 105:17 108:23 122:7,12 145:15 159:8 163:21	42:6,8,12 42:15,18 43:16,20,23 44:10,22 46:3,13 47:23 49:2 49:5 53:19 53:23 54:6 55:12 56:7 56:15 58:3 58:14 59:16 59:19 60:5 60:12,15,19 61:3,19,21	13:8,10 16:18 20:12 21:5,11 26:8 55:14 65:11 66:6 84:12 96:12 99:21 129:15,19 130:5,18,19 131:5 161:13 163:13 164:3 165:24	22:18 material 172:16 materials 121:9 matter 1:3 28:24 68:21 96:16 138:17 139:2 matters 8:7 maximum 122:20,22 may 10:4
150:11 155:12 looks 138:12 lose 51:11 lost 87:7 92:19 157:5 181:9 lot 25:22 26:1 26:2,12 40:10 97:24 147:10 177:3 195:8 197:19	158:23 169:10 197:24 make 8:10 9:23 10:15 11:8,15 13:9 26:3 27:14,18 40:11,24 41:6 42:3 48:11,15 49:17 61:19 72:9 103:22	43:2,6,10 44:4,13 51:3,7 55:7 55:15,22 56:2 57:5 57:19 66:23 67:13 105:17 108:23 122:7,12 145:15 159:8 163:21 170:18,22	42:6,8,12 42:15,18 43:16,20,23 44:10,22 46:3,13 47:23 49:2 49:5 53:19 53:23 54:6 55:12 56:7 56:15 58:3 58:14 59:16 59:19 60:5 60:12,15,19 61:3,19,21 62:4,6,9,18	13:8,10 16:18 20:12 21:5,11 26:8 55:14 65:11 66:6 84:12 96:12 99:21 129:15,19 130:5,18,19 131:5 161:13 163:13 164:3 165:24 167:24	22:18 material 172:16 materials 121:9 matter 1:3 28:24 68:21 96:16 138:17 139:2 matters 8:7 maximum 122:20,22 may 10:4 16:6 30:14
150:11 155:12 looks 138:12 lose 51:11 lost 87:7 92:19 157:5 181:9 lot 25:22 26:1 26:2,12 40:10 97:24 147:10 177:3 195:8 197:19 loudly 11:9	158:23 169:10 197:24 make 8:10 9:23 10:15 11:8,15 13:9 26:3 27:14,18 40:11,24 41:6 42:3 48:11,15 49:17 61:19 72:9 103:22 108:15	43:2,6,10 44:4,13 51:3,7 55:7 55:15,22 56:2 57:5 57:19 66:23 67:13 105:17 108:23 122:7,12 145:15 159:8 163:21 170:18,22 170:24	42:6,8,12 42:15,18 43:16,20,23 44:10,22 46:3,13 47:23 49:2 49:5 53:19 53:23 54:6 55:12 56:7 56:15 58:3 58:14 59:16 59:19 60:5 60:12,15,19 61:3,19,21 62:4,6,9,18 63:5,10,12	13:8,10 16:18 20:12 21:5,11 26:8 55:14 65:11 66:6 84:12 96:12 99:21 129:15,19 130:5,18,19 131:5 161:13 163:13 164:3 165:24 167:24 178:2	22:18 material 172:16 materials 121:9 matter 1:3 28:24 68:21 96:16 138:17 139:2 matters 8:7 maximum 122:20,22 may 10:4 16:6 30:14 31:11,23
150:11 155:12 looks 138:12 lose 51:11 lost 87:7 92:19 157:5 181:9 lot 25:22 26:1 26:2,12 40:10 97:24 147:10 177:3 195:8 197:19	158:23 169:10 197:24 make 8:10 9:23 10:15 11:8,15 13:9 26:3 27:14,18 40:11,24 41:6 42:3 48:11,15 49:17 61:19 72:9 103:22 108:15 109:7	43:2,6,10 44:4,13 51:3,7 55:7 55:15,22 56:2 57:5 57:19 66:23 67:13 105:17 108:23 122:7,12 145:15 159:8 163:21 170:18,22	42:6,8,12 42:15,18 43:16,20,23 44:10,22 46:3,13 47:23 49:2 49:5 53:19 53:23 54:6 55:12 56:7 56:15 58:3 58:14 59:16 59:19 60:5 60:12,15,19 61:3,19,21 62:4,6,9,18	13:8,10 16:18 20:12 21:5,11 26:8 55:14 65:11 66:6 84:12 96:12 99:21 129:15,19 130:5,18,19 131:5 161:13 163:13 164:3 165:24 167:24	22:18 material 172:16 materials 121:9 matter 1:3 28:24 68:21 96:16 138:17 139:2 matters 8:7 maximum 122:20,22 may 10:4 16:6 30:14

41:1,1,9	201:14	144:1	117:22	123:19	130:2
46:17 47:5	202:22,24	measureme	met 15:24	millions	more 15:12
47:22,22	203:2	64:24	154:19	123:3	21:6 37:3
55:1 56:5,5	maybe 48:9	measures	methods	mills 17:7	37:10 47:15
56:8,8,13	61:2 83:12	115:7,18	16:20	52:10	52:12 56:19
72:16 82:9	109:10,20	117:8	163:17	mind 24:12	59:6,11,20
88:23 89:14	117:10	meat 17:7	Michigan	24:21 72:12	63:19 64:12
90:7 91:6,6	122:6	22:4 52:10	26:22	78:16 127:4	65:5 67:11
95:19 97:10	147:19	mechanical	microphone	153:8	73:20 77:17
97:14 98:6	153:20	167:1	11:13 12:14	mindful	80:20 83:6
100:4	167:4	media 98:19	49:11 77:4	169:18	83:14 84:24
104:14,24	McCann 4:9	medium	mid 22:16	mine 25:20	88:21 89:8
106:4	27:13 28:8	95:14	middle 24:4	26:5	89:21 93:16
107:16,16	49:3,7,14	meet 54:22	24:23 39:24	minimum	93:20 105:6
107:18	49:18 53:14	56:18 57:1	48:24	185:13	108:18
108:22	53:17	140:8	midst 23:18	minutes 8:13	109:20,20
109:5 110:7	McDonough	152:10	24:1	12:16 68:7	110:24
112:9 119:7	19:10	160:7	Midwest	68:9 69:3	112:12,14
119:7 121:8	McHenry	meeting	64:2,18	138:3,6	115:9,23
121:22,23	3:12	158:16	might 33:4	mirror 17:22	125:19
127:7	mean 14:8	meets 140:7	46:14 60:8	mirrors	143:10
136:15	42:11 44:18	Mehlich	62:13 72:9	67:12	144:2,12
141:19	75:6 93:4	140:6	81:18 83:13	missed 157:9	155:7
143:17	97:5 114:2	member 5:12	105:4	mistake 23:7	156:22
152:13,14	114:3 115:7	5:13,14,17	121:17,24	mistaken	158:2
152:15,14	116:2	5:17 15:15	122:3,5	87:11	159:12
153:2,19,24	127:11	51:9 195:2	125:22	model 63:16	162:20
154:10	157:1 158:6	members 2:1	134:5	63:17 64:3	167:11
158:14	160:14	11:3 61:24	141:11	181:23	169:8
160:5	161:7 169:2	62:3 69:10	147:13,13	moment 49:4	177:24
161:14	172:5,19	70:24	153:3 159:9	61:22 63:7	179:20
163:9 165:9	172.3,17	196:14	166:7,10,12	79:3 111:4	181:8
165:10,18	184:20	197:10	171:22	141:16	188:16
165:19	meaningful	memory	mile 22:22,23	142:19	190:1
166:19,20	164:19	89:24	22:24	184:14	197:13,13
166:21	means 80:6	126:10	miles 24:11	194:20	198:17,23
168:20	92:6 111:16	mention	24:18 80:9	199:19,21	morning 5:2
169:2,4	113:13,23	121:11	80:10	200:20	12:20 25:14
170:17	113.13,23	mentioned	167:20	200:20	28:22 31:17
170:17	115:16	11:19 31:21	milk 6:22	moments	35:22 49:15
171:22	117:22	41:12	13:14 50:6	8:14	49:16,21
173:13	124:2 127:2	116:24	82:5 193:4	monitor	71:11 197:4
	156:3	191:12	milligram	126:15	Morrisonvi
177:18,21 179:14	167:13	merge 105:15	140:22	120.13	13:1
179:14	170:10	107:3,15	140:22	monitoring	mortalities
186:4	170:10	· '	milligrams	103:6,24	121:12
		merging 107:14	_	· ·	most 31:13
191:21	190:12,15	1	140:11,15	104:6,18	41:15 42:1
193:11	190:18	109:4	142:2	126:21	
194:14	measure	message	million	months 130:1	42:2 48:17
		l			

51:14 58:21	19:21 102:9	37:4	95:16	123:24	noted 98:17
63:23 64:3	111:10	nature 37:22	118:12	124:14	notes 204:10
72:9 81:3	136:12,22	39:19 101:9	needs 22:7	129:14	nothing
103:11	must 17:14	navigator	24:6,24	145:13	169:23
107:19	17:21 18:1	24:14	46:7 73:15	166:2	notice 1:9
117:4,5	25:6 38:9	NBCC 112:1	76:13 92:7	nine 147:6	91:4 98:5
148:6 159:9	44:20 50:10	near 144:20	95:1,4,6	194:7 195:6	100:23
167:7 190:7	58:12 113:2	nearly 138:5	122:24	nitrogen	144:4
mostly 41:24	113:4 114:4	necessarily	155:8	151:1	noticed 10:7
motion 32:7	120:2	64:16 90:15	163:21	164:14	notification
32:15 33:18	139:14	115:10	neglected	nitrogen-b	38:4,14,21
34:16,21	155:17	131:1	194:12	139:13	40:6,7,9
61:9 70:18	156:10	necessary	negotiating	143:7,9,18	58:18
78:17 83:24	175:13	11:16 21:4	13:16	143:20	notified
87:11,24	180:16	40:23 58:20	negotiation	144:4,11,17	38:19
99:3 185:24	183:9	73:22	53:1	144:24	notify 38:6
186:2 192:8	200:24	116:23	negotiations	nitty 81:6	59:14,17
motions 32:8	201:5	119:17	23:2	NMP 44:9	noting 83:22
33:12	myself 18:6	145:10	neighbors	45:3 56:18	83:23 98:20
mouthful	194:5	169:16	16:3 23:4	56:18 57:1	NPDC 84:20
71:19	174.5	170:5 171:1	24:6 25:1	188:23	NPDES
move 10:17	N	need 11:12	Neither	189:1,1,4,5	13:16 14:2
27:16 31:17	N 2:10 4:1	22:7 23:1	10:20 27:11	189:7,11,17	14:5 17:18
42:9 44:24	140:1 151:1	26:13,24	32:18 34:20	none 126:14	29:14,20
61:4 63:5	name 5:3	40:11,16	61:12 70:21	nonetheless	30:5 45:17
70:16 76:21	12:20 14:24	41:2 48:6	78:23 82:17	177:20	46:7 54:3,7
78:11 83:20	18:20 19:3	63:1 77:17	84:2 88:3	182:23	54:11,16
85:8 101:1	28:22 35:20	90:3 93:20	186:3	nonpermitt	55:18 59:22
133:13	37:14 49:18	95:19	192:15	42:4 169:9	59:23 60:8
185:21	69:1 72:21	112:17	200:16,19	nonpoint	60:9,10
191:3	79:12	118:8 120:4	200:10,17	80:8,19	61:6 73:8
192:10	138:22	127:2	203:12	105:5	75:11 76:1
moved 82:12	167:3	138:23	Network 3:5	nonprofit	92:7,14
85:11	188:24	151:18,19	7:9	19:5	94:1 95:24
Movement	narrative	157:18	neutral	nonschedul	99:22
142:24	4:13 141:18	169:3 171:6	143:12	103:5	100:22
moving 132:9	141:20	172:1,7,10	143.12	non-potable	100.22
188:21	193:24	172:1,7,10	144:3,7	130:19	112:21
190:10	narrowing	184:14	never 51:11	non-routine	112:21
1	66:5	185:12	52:2	103:9	185:6,7
much 35:19	nation 24:3		new 66:21	normal 37:14	· ′
37:1 61:15	national	187:18			196:3,4 NDS 20:0.12
65:19 71:1	73:12 85:3	197:13	118:4 132:9	north 1:11	NPS 80:9,18
107:15,15	112:20	needed 44:9	146:5,13	22:12 Nos 32:17	189:16
122:19	nation's 52:1	46:10,21	181:23	Nos 32:17	NRCS
172:11	natural 7:10	108:15	news 96:23	72:7 186:1	177:16,24
183:11	13:4 15:13	136:6 153:1	97:2 98:14	note 5:9 11:2	178:14
186:11	18:8 51:4	162:13	next 9:12	27:19 32:24	179:2,4
203:17		172:14	46:4 53:9	68:20 71:6	NRCS's
multiple	naturally	needing 56:4	74:16 81:9	78:18 83:4	178:24
				1000	

number 4:16	175:13	127:13	21:14 25:10	194:23	32:6,9
5:8 18:10	176:8	158:13	27:4 31:19	199:13,21	33:13,14,19
63:15 78:14	177:17	198:5	32:4,14,23	200:2,5,8	33:20 34:21
81:18,22	180:15,18	occur 55:1	33:17 34:15	202:4,12,15	61:4,13,23
82:2,3 83:1	185:3	58:22 121:4	35:4,10,19	offices	62:5 69:15
86:10 88:13	188:22	121:17,24	39:14 42:6	106:19	69:17,19
88:15 89:6	189:2,15	123:9,11	42:10,13	107:10	70:1,8,11
89:22 93:24	190:4 198:9	136:15	49:2,6	officially	70:15,22
93:24 94:5	nutrients	166:6,7,12	53:13,18,24	78:10	96:14 97:1
94:11 96:8	13:6,10	167:1	61:8,21	officials	115:23
101:17	16:18,21	occurred	62:4 63:9	15:23 51:2	119:21,24
103:4,13	57:6 165:12	97:15 102:5	67:23 68:4	off-site 170:9	120:1,7,8
104:21	177:12	126:3,9	69:8,23	171:10,12	128:1,11,14
117:24,24	N-based	October	70:17 71:5	171:22,23	128:20
125:17	139:11	202:9,10	71:22 72:14	179:23	133:17,19
141:24		203:16	77:19 78:3	180:17,21	134:5,6,11
142:16	O	odor 36:21	78:13 79:8	off-the-rec	160:21,24
145:18,22	object 96:15	37:8,21	82:11 83:20	202:1	161:1,11,18
146:20,23	96:18 97:18	off 55:2	83:22 85:10	often 37:9,10	161:20
147:1,15	objection	77:18 103:3	85:20 87:9	108:18,21	184:12,23
151:16	32:15,19	103:18	87:16 90:7	oh 83:10	185:19
194:8	34:18 61:11	201:22	90:9 91:23	84:18	186:4,7
195:14,14	68:8,12	202:5,17	92:2 94:16	114:24	194:12
197:16	70:19 82:16	offer 6:6 8:6	94:18 97:16	155:17	Olson's
198:6 199:8	84:1 85:15	8:13,16	98:20 99:10	157:12	185:24
numbers	85:21,22	10:14 12:15	99:17	okay 31:18	once 37:23
33:18 34:17	88:2 97:1	18:13 27:7	109:13,18	39:12 46:3	46:18
34:23 72:10	99:23	49:7 71:9	119:23	62:9 67:6	116:11
88:1,4	192:13	138:21	120:6,9,13	69:19 72:14	118:3 124:4
90:23 134:9	objections	193:21	133:18	73:19 75:17	one 9:9,9
134:9,16,17	82:8 85:19	199:6	134:7,13	76:20 84:18	10:7 15:12
158:17	98:21 99:2	200:15,17	138:1,11	86:16 91:3	20:17 23:7
186:6	objective	offered	160:23	91:12,18	26:9 27:18
numerical	111:22,24	138:22	161:19	92:2 94:17	29:18 37:10
72:10	183:12	offering	175:4,19	96:10 119:4	37:11,11
nutrient 16:9	objects 78:21	11:21 49:12	177:1	120:18	38:13 40:6
55:21 56:2	186:2	offhand	179:17	125:15	51:9 53:4
57:4 133:10	obligation	166:1	181:2,5	134:19	56:19 58:12
135:16	29:17,24	office 2:18	182:3,7	135:1,2	61:22 63:15
145:15	observations	8:2 33:1	183:17,20	143:6	64:4 73:5
162:6,24	103:16	91:7 106:19	184:3	145:13	74:10 77:5
163:21	observed	201:9 203:3	185:13,17	146:24	77:13,16,21
170:18,22	45:9	203:4	185:23	148:12	79:3 83:14
170:24	obtain 95:13	officer 1:12	186:9,10,15	149:14	84:24 85:17
171:3,7,16	obvious 50:9	5:2,4 6:11	190:23	157:12,20	88:21 89:7
172:7,12,18	52:3	6:24 7:14	191:8,20	180:24	89:13,21
173:2,4,7	obviously	7:16 8:4	192:3,6,12	190:20	98:5 103:4
173:22	40:20	12:8 14:20	193:2,12	Olson 2:9	107:4
174:14,19	107:15	18:17,22	194:11,18	29:6 31:23	109:19
		·		1 1 (0.188)	

116:18	36:14 47:17	105:20	202:12	177:15	oversight	
117:8,9	48:10,15	108:13	other 10:19	183:6,12	20:18	
118:14	52:17 57:15	111:11	17:8 24:5	193:3	own 9:15	
119:2	58:3,5	185:8	30:8 33:12	outcrops	12:22 51:2	
120:24	75:10 77:13	operator	37:13 51:13	159:22	73:13 98:24	
126:3,12,20	98:24	24:5 40:13	52:11 54:10	outline 95:6	160:16	
128:5,11	115:10	111:17	54:24 57:21	outlined	170:4,4	
129:10	126:2,8,12	113:13	58:1 69:2	183:7	172:10,24	
132:22,24	126:19	114:11	71:12 72:4	outreach	175:9 176:7	
133:8,16	129:10	operators	80:21 85:22	117:14	owned 22:17	
135:12,15	133:16,21	113:18	89:14	196:20	170:11	
136:13,24	150:14,16	169:15	104:20,23	outside 74:1	173:11,18	
137:14	158:5 162:1	opportunity	110:7	123:24	173:11,16	
140:20,22	164:5	6:6,8 9:13	112:17	over 17:4,12	174:17	
141:7,18	192:24	9:23 18:13	117:21	22:18 26:9	175:17	
142:3	onto 40:11,16	19:1 21:19	121:8,23	37:1 52:8	180:4	
143:18	40:22	53:7 54:24	127:1	52:13 63:21	owner 40:13	
150:8 152:3	on-site 45:5	160:14	130:19	68:23 72:17	111:17	
157:7,8	88:15	171:10	134:9 139:1	80:6 92:22	113:13	
163:20	open 39:19	194:3 201:2	139:14	94:11	114:10	
167:13	115:8	opposed	141:16	105:10	173:11,18	
179:20	opening 4:7	57:17 60:10	142:12	106:20	173:11,18	
181:8	28:20 197:5	64:12 98:8	145:4 149:6	112:13	180:4,4	
182:11	operate 15:1	option	151:4	126:9,10	owners	
185:14	101:20	140:24	152:20	157:6	113:17	
195:23	197:12	189:5,6,10	153:24	186:20	owner's	
196:21	operated	189:19	155:4 156:9	195:14	170:18	
201:7	22:17	order 5:24	160:7	overall 52:2	own/rent/le	
ones 165:6	operating	6:10 7:1,14	165:19	overapply	175:22	
one-half	38:24 39:5	7:16 8:4,20	169:20	166:20,21	176:3	
64:23	operation	9:5 10:18	172:14	overflow	oxygen	
156:22	14:10 22:21	25:6 35:14	174:6	121:23	141:22,23	
158:2	37:23 40:15	57:13 58:11	177:13	122:15	o'clock 71:10	
one-on-one	54:23 84:22	72:10,11,12	179:8,10,14	overflows	138:5	
9:14	97:13	83:12	181:6 182:8	54:19		
one-two	118:23	139:13	188:8	overlap	P	
52:19	121:21	150:24	others 24:17	108:15	P 139:15,18	
ongoing	170:10	152:12	64:2 159:22	109:6	144:2	
29:23 96:15	operations	179:1 184:3	Otherwise	overlapped	152:22	
97:20,20	1:5 5:5	191:14	21:5 47:21	83:13	packages	
107:17	19:18 20:20	202:12,15	ought 36:16	overlook	44:2	
118:15	25:24 26:23	organizatio	out 8:19 19:7	184:21	page 4:2 45:2	
195:10	29:1 31:6	50:2	21:12 25:17	overlooked	56:17 58:15	
196:9	49:23 61:7	organized	26:1 79:23	27:8	58:16 59:19	
online 8:2	70:5 80:2,8	120:19	106:11	overlooking	63:6 65:6	
33:1 203:3	81:12,16,19	original 59:1	111:1,23	193:18	70:13,14	
only 11:4	83:2 84:9	59:2 109:15	117:22,22	overregula	79:11,19,20	
14:7 15:21	85:5 102:15	126:18	118:5 147:1	26:10	82:3 86:5	
24:2 26:8	102:21	133:22	165:21	overrule 99:2	88:12,24	

100:23	118:15	39:17 78:7	19:14 23:10	60:9,10,20	198:11
112:22	142:14,16	99:20	24:16,19	60:21,23	permitting
113:1	150:23	185:20	27:1 41:1	61:6,9	51:20
132:21	164:10,12	204:13	51:12,13	62:10,12,16	115:21
134:8,16	170:17,21	parts 1:6 5:7	62:24 63:1	62:17,19	119:5 196:4
135:13	171:1,3,7	29:2 38:12	66:2,3	63:2 75:12	196:4
137:17	171:13,16	163:18	68:23 71:7	76:2 92:7	perpetuity
202:22	172:6,17	party 170:15	138:18	92:14 94:1	50:11
203:8	173:4,7	170:16,21	197:13	94:2 95:1,4	person 10:13
pages 70:12	174:19	171:11	per 139:16	95:6,13,16	11:10 28:5
157:5	176:8	173:15	140:6,10,11	95:20 99:22	184:13
paid 23:9	178:11	174:8	140:15,22	100:19	188:24
panel 4:8,10	180:8,18	175:10,12	141:4,7	101:16	200:17
31:1,7 36:1	181:6,21	175:16	142:3,3	106:6 112:4	personnel
54:5 73:1	182:5,9	pass 103:16	percent	117:9 118:8	186:20
120:16	183:6,23	148:11	17:12 89:6	118:12	persons 8:6
186:24	186:17	passed 92:16	89:19 91:14	119:2,3,7	8:11 10:13
paper 107:16	188:15	92:24	91:19,20,20	119:17	11:20 71:9
108:6	195:13	passion	159:4,17	120:4 127:1	138:22
140:21,21	197:2,18	25:20	percentage	147:14	perspective
142:15,22	200:5	past 16:11	174:5	170:18	94:23
papers	participant	26:17 51:23	Perfect 49:5	181:15	182:16
140:20	7:17 34:17	92:17 93:1	perform 6:8	182:20	pertain
paragraph	61:10 70:18	93:3 105:6	performance	183:1	124:15
42:18 84:17	78:20 82:15	115:22	13:19	184:11	166:3 170:9
86:8 137:17	85:14 88:1	116:5,8,13	perhaps	185:6,7	pertaining
parallel	186:2	117:15,18	31:22 41:6	187:4,6,10	50:10
17:18	192:13	197:12	43:24 45:13	permits 14:5	120:23
parallels	participants	patience 33:8	72:9 102:23	17:18 29:14	phone 37:1
14:13	6:11 7:1 8:8	Patkes 1:24	period 88:16	60:8 62:22	phosphorus
parameters	8:19 32:16	204:6	89:3,16	93:20 94:12	132:17,22
98:11	199:15	patrolman	105:10	95:24 96:1	133:1,4
Pardon	202:6	24:12	156:23	100:6,8,10	135:5,11,17
202:14	participated	pattern 21:6	158:3	117:2 127:5	135:23
parents 13:1	31:8	Paul 4:6	permission	permitted	136:3,15
15:3	particular	23:22 24:8	176:6	41:24 42:2	137:1,6,9
park 22:24	43:15 57:17	25:14	permit 13:16	103:11,12	139:24,24
part 17:1	102:5	Pause 63:11	13:18 14:2	103:24	140:1,8,15
32:15 35:5	104:19	85:18 128:6	14:3,7,9	104:3	141:8,15,17
38:23 40:17	108:14	142:20	31:4 45:17	126:14	142:3,24
42:19 43:22	113:4 115:2	179:21	46:7,10,18	150:24	143:10,12
43:23 44:7	125:24	199:23	46:21,24	155:6,23	143:22
50:7 73:24	160:15	peace 23:4	47:1,16,20	158:5,21	144:5,12
75:22 76:15	184:8	peaceably	48:6 54:3,7	159:8 162:1	145:2,11
76:19 81:3	particularly	25:7	54:11,16,21	163:8,17,22	151:1,6
91:10 92:20	59:20	pending 48:8	54:22 55:4	164:5	162:10,12
93:14	164:13	97:22 100:3	55:6,8,18	165:16	162:13,13
110:22	169:9	100:11	56:5 58:20	168:1,8	164:13
117:4	parties 31:10	people 10:5	59:22,23	177:4 183:7	165:20
L.,					

	1				
phosphoru	198:9,19,23	109:24	92:5	139:16	200:14
143:13,19	planned	110:14	possess	140:5 141:4	202:11
143:24	77:24	112:1,11,16	151:19	143:11,23	prefiled 6:14
145:1,5	planner	140:20	possibilities	144:2,6	6:18 7:2,4,7
photographs	189:2,5,8	143:21	114:18,20	practical	7:21,24 8:5
40:23	189:11,15	146:13	possibility	65:1 115:6	8:18,22 9:8
photos 180:6	189:22	186:17	158:13	115:17	9:22 10:9
180:9,12	planning	191:15	161:14	practice	27:23 28:11
phrasing	117:13	200:10	171:17	158:14,15	31:9 33:15
134:15	plans 21:1	pointed	possible	158:18,20	33:21,23
piece 19:11	177:18	193:3	11:11 37:2	159:8	34:3,6,10
pieces 107:11	178:2,10	points 10:21	51:15	173:14	34:13 35:13
piles 131:5	188:5 190:4	104:2	151:24	174:3,23	74:4 76:24
pipe 169:18	198:15	Policy 3:7	152:17	177:15	77:14 81:10
pipelines	plant 54:8	7:12 72:23	160:3,9	practiced	86:21 92:12
167:6	55:15	Pollutant	162:15	122:8 133:6	105:14
pipes 122:6	plants 140:24	85:4	167:21	practices	109:23
pivot 167:12	142:10	pollute 14:3	possibly	15:21 16:15	113:16
place 16:9	play 20:6	polluters	62:15	18:8 20:18	138:19
22:6 23:3	please 11:8	181:15	post 202:21	51:3 55:7	184:24
24:2,20	42:14 53:14	pollution 1:2	posted 7:24	57:5,23	191:12
47:13 68:9	53:21 63:8	18:4 19:17	32:24	115:11	193:14
107:4	69:1,23	20:1,5,10	post-hearing	122:7	199:18
197:11	75:2 79:8	20:16 29:8	39:18	144:21	200:12
202:8,8	87:10 90:10	29:10 31:3	potable	151:5,21	preliminary
placed 59:11	94:19 99:18	33:23 37:16	124:15,17	152:23	35:5 116:3
places 129:17	102:19	66:14 76:11	124:20	160:15	prepared
151:16	104:16	76:12,14	125:9,14,17	165:7,8,13	35:12,16
plague 19:16	119:23	80:2 91:1	125:19	168:21	49:7,12
plan 36:9	128:5	101:3	127:12,24	169:4,6	62:7 68:18
55:22 56:2	136:18	181:13	130:5,13	Prairie 3:5	71:24
68:12	145:21	184:2,24	131:13,16	7:9	138:16
110:14	161:21	185:4	131:21	preach 16:15	185:1,5
115:21	166:5,11	Pork 6:21	132:3	preceded	preparedn
116:10	175:4 177:2	12:22 13:13	potential	147:8	22:3
145:15	184:15	50:4 192:23	45:8,10,15	preceding	prescribed
163:22	189:3	192:24	45:22 79:13	84:19 147:7	18:2
165:7,8	194:23	193:4 195:5	79:24 98:23	precipitation	present 5:10
170:18,22	plenty 115:1	pose 9:15	122:16	54:21 57:8	12:2,4
171:1,3,8	plus 91:19	72:18	137:1	156:18	27:15 65:5
171:17	150:21	121:18	141:18	157:22	71:13 83:16
172:7,12,18	195:19	128:11	151:2	precludes	86:9 194:3
173:2,4,8	point 14:23	199:17	potentially	73:12	presented
173:23	19:21 35:11	posed 11:3	30:15	predetermi	45:12 74:9
174:15,20	39:3 67:20	149:1	POTW 54:8	11:6	presenting
175:14,18	68:5,7	posing 72:16	poultry 22:5	prefer 96:17	31:17
176:9,10	71:11,24	position	24:22 25:4	prefile 6:12	preserved
180:16,19	98:15	44:17 45:20	25:7	7:1,18 9:19	51:5
188:22	107:19	47:17 74:19	pounds	10:3 71:7	preserving
		w	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1.0.0

15:12	 privately	85:13 98:10	192:23	157:21	62:13,15
president	22:17	99:12	193:1,4,5	158:1 159:3	65:7 73:8
12:21	privileged	192:18	195:6	159:20	74:13 75:6
press 83:16	11:1	proceedings	producer's	prompted	75:9 76:17
84:16 96:19	proactively	5:1 96:16	38:11,20	96:1	95:3 106:1
97:19 98:8	15:22	204:9	producing	promptness	119:5 128:2
184:22	probably	process 23:13	21:6	69:9,13	132:11
presumption	23:21 94:6	46:24 47:11	product 50:8	promulgate	137:13
163:4	103:3	48:24 49:1	production	73:12	139:9
pretty 89:19	118:13	53:8 66:6	17:2,6,11	pronouncing	143:23
89:21	150:2 167:7	106:9 116:2	24:23 25:4	12:3 18:20	164:2 173:3
102:13	174:12	117:13	52:6,13	proper 16:22	181:24
183:11	problem	119:3 180:9	120:24	26:9 164:12	187:11
prevent	23:19 47:12	processed	121:4,5,12	164:16,18	189:4
135:16	47:19,19	65:11	121:18	164:21	197:19
182:17	101:3	processing	122:13	177:11	201:3
prevented	122:16	17:11 52:13	123:10	properly	proposes
20:17	141:18	processors	124:17	13:9 168:9	170:24
prevention	problems	17:7,8	125:18	property	proposing
18:4 133:9	121:17	52:10,11	126:16,22	20:3 25:2	56:3 58:10
previous	166:6,12	produce 21:1	127:23	40:12,16	64:4 189:10
75:21	167:4 168:4	28:5 77:17	128:16	103:17	prosper
111:20	196:23	produced	143:2	proposal	197:7
162:14	procedural	13:7 16:19	productive	5:23 6:1,5	protect 15:22
197:3	8:7,20,21	19:23 22:4	112:10	11:5 29:7	16:3 18:8
pride 23:1	10:19,23	85:6	profit 26:3	29:11 30:1	84:11
196:16,16	31:21	producer	program	30:10,17,22	protected
primarily	201:24	38:4,6,9,19	13:16 17:20	46:5 59:1,2	51:4 75:19
41:4 46:1	202:5 203:7	40:13 45:4	29:20,20,21	59:11 63:18	76:7 129:9
110:10	203:10	46:9,17,22	85:4 86:22	63:19 83:18	129:10
130:14	procedure	46:23 48:16	87:2,4	93:8 109:11	141:9
primary 55:9	38:18 39:6	57:14 58:5	112:13,21	109:15	protecting
92:6 121:9	48:22 90:20	59:3,14,17	188:18	120:2 128:3	13:4 15:14
128:18	152:8	60:8 114:4	196:4,4	133:22	16:16
principles	procedures	166:21	programs	155:2 183:6	protection
51:3	38:8,14,23	178:17,23	13:5 16:17	200:18	5:22 23:16
prior 38:9	38:24 39:10	179:7	113:3 179:4	201:13	50:3 61:6
40:5,7,9,11	70:6 168:19	producers	196:20	203:1	66:24
194:7	proceed	6:21,22	prohibited	propose	124:19
prioritizati	28:21 35:9	12:22 13:13	158:20,21	141:7 152:9	125:4,7
110:6	99:18	17:6 18:15	161:5	proposed 1:5	127:14
prioritize	proceeding	22:8 50:4,6	prohibition	5:6 20:21	128:19,23
112:3	5:4,8,12	66:12 93:11	123:16	29:1 30:3	129:6
prioritizing	9:14 10:18	117:23	159:6	30:18,20	130:15
110:11	10:22 28:24	136:2	prohibitions	42:20,24	131:8
priority 20:4	29:5 30:23	166:16	125:2	49:23 51:8	187:24
36:12	33:11 35:14	177:15,16	prohibits	52:22 53:6	200:24
	61.14.79.22	178:2,4,13	141:20	56:19 57:1	protective
pristine 20:7	61:14 78:22	1 / 0.2,4,13	141.20	30.17 37.1	protective
pristine 20:7 private 19:7	80:17 82:19	178.2,4,13	156:17,21	58:4,7	131:21

				<u> </u>	
140:4,16	178:9,11,12	59:23 62:11	78:5 81:10	176:23	105:14
141:1,8	197:22	108:6 111:4	81:10,11	179:23	109:20,23
142:4	199:8,9	164:21	89:12 90:8	180:1,13	120:11,18
177:20	proximity	181:13	94:4,23	181:10,20	120:20
199:10	151:7	putting 24:20	101:14	183:5 184:6	124:15,16
protocol 38:6	prudent	110:5	104:15	184:10,17	128:8,15
40:18	52:20	P.C 3:11	105:13	184:18,21	129:14
164:20	public 8:6	p.m 69:7	109:3,20	184:24	138:7 139:3
protocols	11:13,21	203:19	110:22	185:5 187:2	139:3,6,9
38:13 70:9	21:3 22:2	P1 140:6	111:16	187:3,21	145:17
163:13	96:23		113:11,12	188:16,21	157:11
164:5,8,9	100:23	, Q , ,,	114:2,10	190:10,11	166:3 170:8
165:1,3,23	105:16	quality 15:16	115:5,5	190:13	179:18
178:24	108:10	16:14 25:2	116:3	questioning	181:3,6,6
179:8,14	112:15	89:2,13	117:11	4:8,10 36:1	184:1
provide 9:12	131:3 197:5	101:16	119:22	54:2,5 73:1	186:16,16
10:5 17:21	201:8,8	103:14	121:2	111:20	186:23
28:13 40:7	203:1	105:7 122:3	123:18	120:16	190:24
50:20,22	published	132:23	125:16,24	129:4	191:2,10,14
51:14,19	73:9	135:10	128:12	145:14	191:21
53:8 102:15	pump 167:9	139:19	129:12	161:1	193:11
102:21,24	167:10,18	140:16	131:14	169:14	199:12,17
111:17	Pumps 167:5	141:1,8,9	132:14	176:24	199:24
113:14	punch 52:19	141:15	134:3,15,20	186:24	200:4,11
114:16	Purely 66:1	142:8	135:3,14	questions 6:4	202:16
122:19,22	purge 109:7	184:19	136:22	7:2,4,7,15	203:5,6,9
132:6	purging	quarter	137:14	7:18,21 8:1	quick 10:21
147:23	111:5	22:22	143:7 144:8	8:16 9:1,3,7	27:18 99:1
159:7 163:7	purpose 39:7	question 9:11	145:19	9:16,22,24	quickly 37:5
184:7	129:5 159:6	35:17 36:3	146:14	10:2,18	40:21,22
provided	179:7	36:9,14	148:13	11:3 12:12	68:18 71:6
34:23 45:3	purposes	38:3 39:9	149:1,14	27:24 31:9	184:5
54:22 63:12	54:14,14	40:4 41:10	150:7,14	31:10 33:23	quite 46:19
154:7	90:12	42:9 43:4	151:23	34:3,6,10	50:8 167:17
155:24	108:16	43:22,24	152:17	34:13 35:13	quote 23:22
providing	132:20,23	44:1,11,16	153:10	36:7 41:21	111:17
40:5 74:5	135:8,24	44:24 45:1	154:11,13	42:7 43:13	115:6
156:1	136:12,21	45:9 46:2,4	154:14,15	43:18 47:4	129:19
165:11	136:22	46:8,20	154:21	53:20 54:2	quoting
provision	137:6	55:13 58:16	156:15	59:21 60:7	20:11
127:8	185:18	58:24 59:20	157:15	62:21 67:21	R
189:10	pursuant 1:9	60:11,14,15	160:22	67:22 68:2	R 133:17
provisions	42:23 45:15	60:16 62:18 63:14 65:9	161:4	68:3,16,19	radar 24:13
30:10,13	55:15,20	l	163:14,24	69:15,20	rain 156:22
56:19 57:1	65:19 66:10	73:6,9,20	164:7	71:16,18	158:2,4
57:19 62:11	66:13 67:1	74:3,4,7,16 75:2 76:4	166:10,10	72:1,3,4,16	raise 15:6
131:7	90:20 178:6	76:23,24	170:13,14	72:18 73:4	18:11
154:18	put 24:8	76:23,24	170:16	74:17 77:21	raised 26:6
160:7 178:5	38:15 39:6	/ / . 2,11	172:3	86:21	141304 20.0
		<u> </u>			l

36:4 99:2	137:10	48:10 82:24	109:9,14	60:7 120:21	176:13
139:3	196:3	83:4 95:18	142:14,17	132:12	177:5,11
184:17	rational	129:11	184:8,12	139:24	178:6
190:11	48:20	reasonable	185:21	154:6 178:9	181:13
raising 15:5	rationale	13:24 17:15	192:17	190:11	188:1
Rao 2:6 4:12	64:22 189:9	52:23 65:1	193:15,17	201:19	regulators
5:19 39:9	raw 121:8	197:17	201:22	regardless	53:5
41:9,12,23	RCS 131:16	reasons 22:3	202:5,18	18:9	regulatory
42:5 104:14	reached	45:12	records	regime 67:14	15:23 17:20
104:17	138:12	receive 7:20	150:4 169:5	Region 59:7	20:18 21:9
105:11	reaching	41:14	171:12	59:9 73:17	29:22 51:2
154:10,13	53:4	202:21	180:19	74:13 85:6	63:16,21
155:24	read 8:23	received 6:14	recycle 13:6	110:17	66:12 67:14
156:13	31:18,20	6:18 7:7	16:18	111:1	88:22 89:1
184:16	60:13 66:2	201:16	redirected	regional	89:8,14,17
186:18,21	84:22	recess 69:5	125:23	106:18	98:10,11
187:1,9,16	181:17,19	138:10	reduce	registration	113:8 169:8
187:21	193:16	recipient	144:22	30:19	reissue 62:17
188:8,20	readily 8:9	180:21	reference	registry	relate 124:16
189:9,20	172:24	recipients	142:9	112:6	related 45:10
190:5,9,22	reading	171:10,22	148:22	regs 127:13	54:2 57:8
190:23	86:13,13,15	recognize	referenced	regular 62:23	58:18 59:6
Rao's 184:5	114:3	83:13	77:1,10	195:9	60:7 74:4
rate 36:8	reads 38:7	184:13	references	196:10,15	74:16 76:24
92:17 93:1	ready 14:22	186:18	134:9	regularity	80:22 98:1
102:13	18:19 21:15	recommend	referred	62:23	139:7
144:3,7	35:8 42:8	135:7	86:21	regulated	177:11
145:7,11	42:14 49:13	recommen	107:12	54:15 65:19	204:13
148:18	53:22 62:7	135:12,13	110:19	118:6	relates 66:14
149:3 152:4	72:17 79:7	135:20	referring	regulating	164:11
152:21	138:14	recommen	94:24 104:4	51:20	188:2
162:18,22	184:15	133:4	112:19	regulation	relating
rates 136:14	real 25:22	136:20	120:14	44:8 114:12	188:5
137:1,7	realistic	199:7	127:21	regulations	relationship
148:15	162:9	record 8:23	137:18	17:14,22	97:12
149:23	really 19:2	11:1,10	148:19	25:5 29:9	relative
151:19	54:18 62:22	31:20 33:6	173:17	29:10,17,18	37:21,21
153:12	62:24 90:21	34:18,22	refers 65:11	30:5,13,21	relatively
162:1	116:1	38:16 39:6	125:16	42:21,22	90:2 109:6
164:12,15	125:12	54:14 70:16	reflect 11:6	43:3,5,7,11	114:15
165:20	126:22	77:13,18	201:10	50:9 55:20	release 36:22
168:13	146:12	78:4 82:13	reflected	56:11 57:20	83:16 84:16
172:22	150:9	84:4 85:12	202:11	64:21 67:1	96:19 97:19
173:9 178:3	158:16	86:23 87:7	regard 32:8	93:13 95:5	98:23,24
178:9,12	164:8	90:13 98:17	123:14,16	106:4 128:2	99:4
rather 28:7	167:11	99:24	134:16	129:24	released
40:21,22	180:22	100:21	164:13	145:16	173:1
80:7 81:6	199:1	103:18	regarding	147:13	releases 98:9
106:22	reason 40:20	106:18	17:17 18:13	171:14	relevant
	•				Andrew

				 I	
10:24 96:16	85:2,11	178:23	183:7	110:11	31:9,13,14
97:23 98:22	87:3,5,11	require 14:9	189:13	resources	39:18 62:20
107:16,20	87:12,18,19	20:17 45:17	requireme	7:10 13:5	72:3,7
rely 110:5	88:10 92:12	56:1 57:16	13:15 21:10	15:13 16:16	191:1
119:15	104:11	73:23 75:11	29:13,22	18:8 36:17	responsibil
134:2	110:9	112:8 119:7	38:11 44:7	50:22 51:4	15:20 18:7
relying	112:20	133:11	56:12 84:11	137:4	responsible
103:15	184:19	135:18	95:6 113:3	respect 16:9	19:4 20:17
132:6	185:3	147:13	148:16,19	53:3	22:8 24:19
remain 14:5	reported	152:10	149:6	respected	101:15
36:12	93:24 101:8	164:3	151:17,22	18:1	rest 74:17
remainder	117:6 204:8	171:19	152:1,10	respectively	117:16
40:3	reporter 1:24	181:15	154:19,22	32:17 186:1	195:24
remains	11:8 28:18	182:19	155:6,18	respond 9:24	restate
138:20	138:4,14	189:4,21	156:1	41:20 47:6	157:19
remedy	181:19	190:1	162:12,16	67:17 183:5	restriction
196:24	194:14,22	required	165:16	responded	181:14,16
remind 202:6	204:7,20	8:15 20:24	170:1 177:4	128:9 135:3	restrictions
reminder	reporting	30:5,12,20	177:13	156:5	129:18
59:21	1:22 73:8	56:24 59:2	183:10	responding	restrictive
removal	73:12,13,15	92:10 95:12	188:12	76:1,1	144:12
114:4,6	73:21 98:19	95:12 112:5	189:18	responds	result 20:2
removed	148:19	117:9 119:3	190:4 198:8	41:13	31:12 49:24
16:22	149:6	126:15	requires	response	52:19 62:16
removes	189:14	144:17,18	38:19 55:18	29:11 36:12	95:8 98:14
174:8	reports 51:16	148:17	132:16	39:24 41:16	121:22
rent 176:7	77:1,11,23	149:23	150:23	75:21 77:1	resulted 91:4
rented	86:22 87:24	150:4 152:2	162:3,5	77:11 78:5	results 85:3
174:17	148:16	152:5 161:3	165:1 168:8	81:11 83:17	164:19
175:17	represent	162:19	188:23	86:20	resume 62:5
repeat 43:21	28:23 35:21	168:13,14	requiring	105:13	62:7 68:12
75:1 102:18	196:13	169:5	47:18,20	107:6,12	68:18 69:11
136:17	202:13	175:21	57:13	110:19	69:14 79:3
157:15	representat	176:1 180:5	111:11	111:15	79:9 138:6
166:9 196:7	19:14 51:10	180:7,15	132:1	113:12,16	138:13,16
repetitious	representat	183:1,1	163:16	113.12,10	139:4
10:24	51:17	189:7,14	188:13	115:15	203:15
rephrase	196:12	requirement	189:10	128:15	Retroactive
58:2 102:23		13:18 58:18	research 13:5	134:3	195:2,3
	representing 19:4 29:4	112:7 123:8	16:17	154:15	return 40:1
125:6					
141:11	represents	143:11	resembled	184:6,10,23	returned
143:16	132:21	144:5,6	64:3	185:4 187:3	138:13
150:10	request 6:7	145:2,6,10	resembles	187:21	returning
157:19	39:8 200:24	149:2	64:5	190:12	69:9,13
162:20	201:17,19	151:11	residential	191:5	review 43:9
182:19	requested	153:13	126:7	201:14,17	45:8 90:3
report 78:9	201:12,14	155:17,22	residents	201:20	99:1 188:4
78:10 81:23	requests	156:7,9,11	19:4 21:3	responses	188:18
		, ,		_	202 22
81:24 84:9	39:19	166:23	resource	7:21 27:24	202:23

-					
reviewed	152:16	113:20	65:19 67:15	Sam 4:9	31:2 32:10
43:10 45:4	154:4,9	114:23	74:6 76:16	49:18	34:7 47:6,7
73:7,10	158:19	116:10,11	76:17 92:16	same 7:6	181:10
154:7 187:5	159:1	117:17	92:24 93:21	9:12,22	sat 53:1
187:8,13,14	160:18	118:3,4	94:13,14	11:10 14:5	satisfactory
reviewing	171:7 172:8	120:23	95:3 117:21	16:2,2	9:10 134:1
134:3,18	175:7 181:2	129:5	123:13	20:24 23:17	satisfy 73:18
148:16	184:13	132:12	131:3 173:3	26:10 39:9	saying 15:12
revised 29:13	192:7	139:9,10	177:8,19	41:1 45:7	24:9 84:21
30:4 187:4	194:19	143:23	182:22	64:7,18	96:3 126:19
187:6	righteous	144:1 147:8	187:11	88:16 91:10	145:3 160:8
revisions	23:24	147:10	189:4,21	94:15 97:1	says 48:5
18:3 187:9	rights 25:1	155:3,6,14	190:5,15,19	98:15	75:9 113:1
revitalizati	risk 121:18	155:19	194:10	101:21	129:4,12
22:2	river 124:8	158:9,11	195:10,17	141:5 147:7	141:22
rewritten	124:11	164:2,21	201:3,4	154:14	scale 82:1
194:3	rivers 3:5 7:9	165:21	rulings 14:7	167:24	scenario
Rice 4:6	21:8 124:7	168:19	run 55:2	168:4	117:5
12:10 25:12	role 98:7	169:1,24	112:12	171:18	schedule
25:14,14	roofs 22:15	171:18	runoff 55:5	173:15,23	41:18 53:15
27:5	room 11:24	173:9 179:7	122:6 133:1	179:23	scheduled
right 5:16,18	155:7	182:1 183:3	135:11	189:19	202:7,8
26:3 28:4	route 27:13	183:12,14	136:15	197:7	scheme 119:5
28:20 29:5	routine 41:4	197:3 199:7	137:2	sample	scientific
31:5 36:6	41:17,21,23	rulemaking	139:24	135:10	127:17
42:12 44:22	103:5,8	5:4,23 6:3	140:1,9,16	136:9,9	129:1
74:15 77:8	168:10	20:22 23:12	144:13,22	samples	130:23
78:14 81:9	row 27:22	93:17	158:13	40:22	131:1
83:10 85:1	rule 14:7,13	117:19	159:10,12	sampling	scripted
86:18 88:8	14:14,15	147:22	rupture	102:1 103:8	183:2
88:20 89:6	17:17,19,23	182:15	167:6	104:24	second 11:2
90:5 91:8	18:1 23:13	201:13	rural 19:4,15	105:1	12:1 27:22
92:1,4 98:4	30:7,11,12	203:1,7	rural/urban	132:13,16	29:13 30:11
100:24	30:14,16	rulemakings	22:1	132:17,19	39:15 74:4
101:2 106:8	49:23 51:8	29:12	rush 42:11	132:22	85:17 99:10
109:19	57:13,17	rules 8:21	Russell	133:4,11	108:9 113:5
110:17	59:12 65:9	10:23 13:21	144:14	135:3,5,8	128:5
111:15	65:11 73:8	13:24 17:21	RYAN 2:17	135:18,24	143:19
113:10	73:12,13,16	18:14 26:12	R-i-c-e 25:15	136:12,20	164:10
115:20	73:18,21	30:15 31:21	R12-23 1:5	136:23	179:20
117:12	74:2,9,12	42:20 43:12	5:8 28:24	137:5	202:7
119:13	74:13,19,22	44:2,3,6,12	202:22	163:13,17	secondarily
127:14	75:3,7,9,15	44:12 50:9	C	164:4,16,18	38:17 43:8
128:7,21	75:19 76:8	50:13,15	S14	164:22	seconds 83:6
130:6	83:18 84:13	55:24 56:3	S 2:14	165:10,16	section 8:21
136:11	84:15 92:8	56:3 57:15	safe 16:13	165:24	30:19 31:6
139:4	97:14	57:15 58:4	182:14	SANGAM	43:1 46:6
142:12	105:21	62:13,15	safeguarding	204:3	56:19 57:1
148:3	106:1	63:18,19	50:21	Sanjay 6:15	58:8 62:21
L		7 15 kg 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			

		•			
70:6 88:24	179:2	40:6 49:11	135:24	68:22	171:17
100:2 125:3	seeks 30:10	53:2 80:18	136:5,20	signals	176:7
128:2,8	seems 19:16	80:18 95:3	several 13:15	195:23	sites 104:12
129:2,17,22	68:8	105:6,16,17	49:24 64:1	signed 27:6	140:1
132:12	seen 31:22	105:23	64:2 80:24	138:19	180:15,20
134:9,17	74:8,13	108:2,7,22	86:20 94:11	significant	190:8
143:13	97:6,8	109:1,8,8	144:10	80:12	site-specific
144:5 145:4	167:7	111:2	194:4	significantly	76: 1 0
148:23	178:10	124:14	sewage	93:16	sitting 27:21
151:14,15	select 67:22	129:14	140:24	signing 8:12	29:5 36:6
154:19	104:17	166:2	142:10	sign-in 10:5	situation
156:9,16,23	self-determ	178:14	shallow	71:8 138:21	40:5,8 41:6
158:4 159:3	95:18	183:2 196:2	126:6	sign-up 71:7	52:17 174:7
161:6,23	self-determ	196:5	Sharpley	similar 64:9	175:11
163:15	96:2	setback	140:21	64:12 73:15	196:6
164:3,24	Senate 49:19	123:8 125:8	143:3	115:4	situations
166:16	Senator 4:9	125:14,21	sheep 82:5	189:21	38:7,10
184:19	27:13 28:8	127:12,17	sheet 10:5	195:8	40:19
188:22	49:3,7,9,14	127:20,23	27:10 71:8	similarly	121:24
190:11	49:19 53:13	128:16,22	138:21	159:2	six 130:1,2
200:23	53:17	129:2 130:4	sheets 71:7	simple 90:2	size 18:10
sections	senior 51:6	130:10,18	shine 52:6	simpler	37:22
149:7,9	sense 8:10	130:23	short 58:9	107:15	108:19,24
150:14,16	13:17 47:20	131:2,4,15	94:17	simplify	122:23
see 9:9 10:3	51:20 55:2	131:20	shorthand	62:19	147:14
24:12 26:1	56:6 72:9	132:6	204:6,8,10	simply 9:9	slatted 22:14
39:10 68:7	82:1 95:18	148:16	204:20	58:17,24	slightly
68:11 69:3	148:10	151:22	shortly 33:2	103:15	153:21
76:12 77:24	sensible 14:1	setbacks	shot 84:21	162:20	slope 151:4
97:10 98:6	17:15 52:23	120:21,22	shoulders	since 14:14	152:23
138:18	sensitive	120:23	53:9	21:22 33:2	159:12
seeing 10:20	103:14	124:15	show 47:11	37:4 100:7	slopes 159:4
11:22 24:5	159:21	129:15	120:3	134:21	159:16
24:15 27:11	160:1	131:11,11	139:14	147:10	sloping 159:9
32:18 34:20	separate	144:16	153:14	193:17	small 19:22
61:12 70:21	44:20 72:7	150:15,20	156:6	195:16	25:21 96:7
78:23 82:17	91:10 127:6	sets 7:21 9:16	169:21	sincerely	195:14
84:2 85:21	169:8	105:15,19	172:12	52:24	smaller
88:3 186:3	separately	107:13,13	showed	single 109:8	146:15
192:15	91:11	107:16,21	91:15	sir 21:15	195:13
200:16,19	September	107:24	showing	25:11	smell 101:20
201:21	85:7	108:9,14,18	77:23	sit 15:8	smelling
203:12	seq 132:12	183:6	shown 91:2	site 37:11	20:14
seek 47:20	series 165:21	setting	91:11	40:22 55:5	society 52:17
50:14 52:20	serve 23:17	117:20	side 44:18	57:4 144:20	53:7
63:1,2,14	served 23:12	seven 21:23	94:24	150:3	Sofat 6:15
176:6 183:8	service 51:14	132:16,19	sides 24:5	151:21	31:2 32:11
seeking	set 24:14	135:6,7	sight 51:11	155:11	33:6 34:7
154:16	26:22 39:16	seven-inch	sign 27:9	170:4	47:7 58:9
		<u> </u>	I	I	I ,

65:22 66:16	102:1	40:24	117:13	97:5	132:10
67:3,10,16	103:10	somewhat	specialized	speculative	139:7
72:8 73:7	104:20,22	37:3	101:24	93:14	141:16
73:14,23	108:22	somewhere	specific	speed 86:19	142:4,8,9
75:1,6,9,16	109:4,5	48:24 174:9	31:11 57:4	speedometer	150:13
75:20 76:9	114:18,22	soon 202:20	73:20 105:3	24:14	173:8
76:19,21	119:1	sorry 77:7	115:24	spent 24:4	178:14
111:19,22	121:16	92:19,21	117:13	spill 97:15	179:1,4
113:6 129:3	137:10	127:22	121:17	split 157:5	196:1,3
155:1 156:4	139:9,23	140:11	128:2 131:1	spoke 104:11	start 11:22
169:12	141:16	142:13	131:23	sprays	15:11 73:3
177:6,9	142:9	162:11	140:20	167:10	77:9 157:6
181:21	144:21	165:9 172:4	142:7	spreading	started 26:19
182:12,13	145:17	200:3	144:23,24	26:7	113:11
183:4,15	146:10	sort 38:2	146:14	Springfield	starting 5:16
188:7,10	152:14	48:20 73:24	151:21	1:11 2:11	109:24
190:20	153:1 156:9	80:17 103:9	152:10	2:15 25:18	110:14
Sofat's 45:14	160:4 163:5	114:7	153:23	SS 204:2	112:1
73:4	163:9 166:6	134:20	156:11	St 2:14	state 15:9,10
soft 11:14	166:12	193:9	157:8 163:7	stack 130:5	18:14 20:13
soil 15:22	167:11	sound 16:14	163:17	stacks 129:15	22:18 23:10
64:24 66:9	169:4	87:13	169:2	129:19	25:4,8 27:1
66:18	178:10,16	146:20,22	specifically	130:18,19	36:11 45:6
132:13,16	179:5	sounds 72:15	80:7 105:8	staff 3:2,7	49:19,19
133:2,5	181:13,14	171:4 182:4	110:24	11:4 38:9	52:4 65:18
135:3,22	186:19	source 80:9	123:15	71:1 103:7	74:20,24
136:2 137:9	188:8,11	80:19 81:5	124:16	106:23	75:5,14,18
139:15,18	190:4,5	97:2 98:3	125:16	112:8	76:6 81:12
143:10,22	191:21	101:7 102:6	135:11	126:11	85:5 104:13
144:2,13	197:3,5,23	102:9 105:5	145:15	203:4	106:4
151:5,5	198:5,7	sources 64:14	152:3	stage 42:3	110:12
152:22,22	199:6	79:14,24	158:20	183:24	113:3 124:5
163:13	somehow	112:2	161:5	stakeholders	125:17
164:3 165:2	169:15,24	south 13:1	201:11,13	51:8,18	131:15
165:15,23	someone	southwest	specificity	stand 53:9	132:15
177:12	36:18 40:13	26:17	177:19	standard	134:12
soils 66:15	170:3	sow 22:13	specified	14:4 38:24	149:14,17
164:18	something	soybeans	153:23	39:5 54:9	150:14
solution 22:7	37:9 66:21	15:6	163:21	67:11	154:3
141:17	77:14 90:17	spaces 12:24	164:4 170:2	131:16	169:11
some 24:16	97:11 110:8	speak 11:8	170:17	141:15,19	170:14,16
27:22 31:24	115:13	19:2 21:19	specify 38:8	141:20,22	180:2 188:1
37:20 48:1	122:1,5	55:4	114:19	195:24	194:8
55:10 62:14	147:21	speaker	153:4	standards	195:22
70:23 72:16	161:5	25:16	specifying	16:8,10	198:17,17
73:24 83:8	163:20	speaking	154:22	18:5 20:24	204:1,7
83:13 94:13	189:12,20	11:9 72:24	speculating	26:14,15,24	stated 81:13
95:11,11	195:17	76:17	93:7	30:6,11	126:13
101:24	Sometimes	special	speculation	53:2 89:2	148:14
		VT 17.0 2	The State of the S	Was danners with the same and	A CAMPAGE AND

	1				
150:17	48:20	124:8	145:16	134:20	199:5
154:15	stewards	Street 3:12	150:11,15	193:10	surely 196:23
158:10	50:18	22:23	150:20,23	summarizing	surface
161:13	still 47:11,19	strenuous	156:17	28:21	121:19
167:23	63:14 74:10	68:8	157:21	summary	123:12,15
187:3,22	127:23	stress 9:21	159:3	8:24 9:23	123:20
190:13	144:6 146:8	202:20	161:24	28:12 79:13	126:5 133:6
statement 4:7	169:21	stretch 23:8	163:12	79:23	133:10,11
28:20 45:2	198:5,12	strict 16:8	subsection	112:23	135:18,19
45:11 49:17	stop 22:7	strictly	162:2,5	191:16	139:19
114:16	25:6 163:2	160:19	188:23	193:20	144:20
200:9,22	storage 54:19	stringent	subsequent	199:14	151:7,8
states 30:8	75:24	30:16	90:24 117:7	supplied	surrounds
36:23 64:1	111:18	strive 51:14	147:9	70:23	197:8
74:21 144:1	113:14	struck 25:1	Subtitle 29:9	suppliers	Survey 105:2
182:24	114:17	structures	30:4 75:22	125:9	124:5
Statewide	121:7,20	123:10,11	succeed 53:8	supplies	surveyed
79:13,23	122:24	struggle 24:2	success	131:21	88:14
state's 17:13	167:10	studied	102:13	supply 28:1	suspect 138:4
52:1 84:11	stored 129:24	137:11	successes	52:18	suspected
182:16	storied 51:23	studies 137:5	52:1	124:20	96:11
185:3	storm 157:3	142:14	successful	127:24	sustain 16:3
stations	158:8	study 6:9	51:1,23	130:5	52:16
105:5	stormwater	83:5 141:7	sued 19:24	131:13	sustainabil
status 113:8	55:6 56:1	201:3,6,12	sufficient	132:4	50:11
status 113.8	57:10,14	201:20	125:21	support 13:5	swear 28:10
44:20	58:6,11	stumbled	145:12	16:10 30:22	28:14
stay 53:15	154:17	92:22	158:18	139:21	194:14
stay 33.13 steeply 159:9	155:16	subject 55:24	sufficiently	supporting	swine 15:7
steeply 139.9 step 12:14	156:7	97:14	176:13	16:16 17:5	20:14 96:12
20:17 47:10	161:15	105:21,23	177:20	supportive	99:21
47:22	169:22	105.21,25	Sugar 20:3,6	50:7	sworn 28:18
101:10	170:5 183:9	147:13	suggest 93:9		194:17,22
112:17	198:13	155:22	115:13	supports 140:17	200:15
119:2 129:6)	submit 21:1		140.17	
STEPHENS	story 97:11 straight 63:6	39:2 111:12	suggested 136:10	sure 11:15	system 11:13 21:24 85:4
2:13	straight 65:6	180:9	142:9,16	13:9 41:11	167:12
1	63:24	submittal	suggestion	44:17 56:7	167:12
stepping		189:16	23:20	79:22 89:11	
	stranger 195:18				S-a-n-j-a-y 47:8
steps 47:18		submitted 31:9 117:1	suggestions 176:19	103:22	47.8
111:17	stream 20:12			111:21	T
113:14,18	23:9 80:9	146:10,16	199:6	115:1	T 144:15
113:23	81:7 101:4	180:16	suit 20:10	117:12	table 28:16
114:6,9,11	101:13	191:5	suitable	127:19	53:1 79:11
114:16	104:19	subpart	76:11 90:4	128:13	79:13,16,17
115:17	124:11	132:11	suitably 81:4	163:23	79:13,16,17
117:8,19	streams 21:9	133:9	Suite 2:14	169:18	80:18 82:3
119:9	80:1,4	135:15,16	3:3,8	172:2	tables 27:22
stepwise	103:16	139:8	summarize	181:10,11	tables 27:22
MATERIA VIANTANIA SALA	C Assessment Commencer			X	The state of the s

83:9	177:3 193:8	129:19,21	28:21 30:23	111:14	51:2,4 56:2
tabulate 90:1	195:20	130:4,18	31:12,18	113:9	59:8,10
take 13:11	199:2	ten 92:11	32:10,11,12	119:19	69:1 103:17
23:3 25:15	talking 80:17	124:4	33:6,10	120:9	108:11
32:7 33:4	81:16 96:19	tens 19:22	45:13,14,21	121:15	113:7
40:22,23	98:10	ten-year	45:21 73:4	123:6,17	138:23
49:4 56:13	106:12	123:24	96:22 104:1	128:20	153:21
67:16 69:17	110:9 116:1	124:2	138:19	129:13	155:11
72:4 78:17	127:5 128:1	term 26:9	191:13	130:16	158:17
96:4 98:13	142:23	65:2,2,10	192:1 193:8	132:8	160:16
99:5 110:2	173:15	65:15 175:7	193:10,14	133:18	165:7,7,7,8
111:17	talks 155:14	178:18,21	193:24	134:14	165:11
112:17	taller 53:10	terminology	194:4 197:3	138:9 139:5	168:21,23
113:2,14,23	tardiness	65:15 66:18	197:4	142:21	168:23
115:17	49:15	67:2	199:18	148:1	169:4 170:4
118:20	target 140:22	terms 36:16	200:12,14	149:20	171:3
119:9 138:6	140:23	38:14 45:3	202:11	150:6 153:9	172:16,24
139:2 155:3	141:5	61:20 64:13	testing 165:2	156:13,14	176:10
171:11,23	targeted	65:24 66:9	165:4	157:12	183:22
173:3	105:8 140:8	98:24	text 7:8	159:19	196:14
184:14	targeting	108:22	thank 12:9	160:12	198:20
187:18	161:2	111:1	14:19,20	161:19	themselves
194:20	task 101:22	114:15	18:12,16,18	163:11	76:17
196:14,16	185:3	117:16,20	19:1 21:13	167:22	therefrom
196:16	team 51:7	177:17	21:14,18	168:7	98:9
200:20	technical 2:5	178:14	25:9,10	173:13	thereof
202:8,8	5:19 30:6	183:2	27:3,5 33:8	176:21	156:19
taken 20:10	30:11,24	195:24	35:19 38:1	179:16	157:23
69:6 114:16	71:1 132:10	196:20	39:21 41:8	180:24	166:4
117:8,19	139:7,21	198:2	42:5,15	182:2	they'd
138:10	150:13	territory	44:23 46:3	183:16	105:23
180:20	173:8	38:20	49:5 51:6	186:10	thing 37:10
204:10	186:19	test 26:7	52:24 53:11	188:20	37:14 84:24
takes 26:7	technically	135:22	53:11,14,17	190:9 191:6	109:7 111:6
101:22	13:24 17:15	136:1 137:9	53:19,23	192:10	173:16
108:8	50:15 52:23	151:5	60:5 61:15	194:2 195:3	things 16:6
173:19	techniques	152:22	62:5 65:4	199:14	97:10
174:8	51:3	testify 10:4	67:6,18	200:1,9	109:12
taking 22:6	technologies	44:11 71:8	71:1 74:4	203:13,17	122:3,10
24:2 47:16	155:13	201:19	77:6 79:1	Thanks	139:15
84:21 129:7	technology	testimony	79:21 81:8	69:12	144:23
138:16	22:12 155:4	4:13 6:4,6	84:23 86:17	their 8:9	151:4
156:12	tell 64:19	6:12,14,18	87:16,21	15:20 16:2	153:24
talk 197:9	70:3,11	7:2,16,17	88:17 90:11	16:4,4,5	161:8 167:7
talked 73:6	124:24	8:1,5,16,18	91:12,18,22	19:14,15	169:15,20
106:5	142:1 189:7	8:22 9:2,19	92:2 95:21	23:11 25:2	196:21
116:18	197:21	9:21,24	99:19	26:22 31:12	197:14,22
140:22	temporary	10:4,8,12	105:11	33:2 34:18	think 24:7
147:18	129:15,19	27:24 28:11	109:18	50:19,20	28:19 32:2
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	1			1	
35:8 37:20	188:10	23:8	89:16 99:9	195:7	25:7
39:4 40:16	191:18	threshold	99:16	told 194:16	trend 94:11
44:15,18,19	196:9 197:4	118:11	103:14	Tom 2:2 5:11	94:14
45:24 47:9	197:17,19	139:10,18	105:10	5:14 23:14	tricky 102:12
47:14 48:19	198:6 199:1	143:22	112:8,14	201:11	tried 45:24
59:14,21	199:9	166:19	118:20	tongue 92:22	64:6
62:7 63:5	thinking	thrive 17:9	119:1	top 35:15	triggering
63:14,23	48:15	through 18:3	125:12	106:22	45:16
64:15,16,22	114:15	26:21 27:21	138:15	167:4	troubling
66:20 67:19	141:3	32:20 35:1	147:7	topic 109:21	160:4
68:17 69:18	third 30:12	35:13 37:2	149:18	120:19,19	true 75:16
73:11,14,23	170:15,16	46:24 47:17	171:18	120:21	123:7 149:8
80:23 81:3	170:21	48:22 73:18	185:20	179:23	152:24
81:17 84:20	171:11	103:17	186:14	total 88:13	159:15
85:17 87:14	173:15	112:15	192:21	88:15	179:13
90:2 92:9	174:7	114:7 124:5	197:7 202:3	Towanda	204:9
93:6,13	175:10,12	127:1	203:14	2:19	try 23:4
94:6,7,10	175:16	146:12	times 25:19	towards 53:4	36:24 150:8
94:12 97:22	third-party	148:23	26:4 103:10	113:24	183:5
98:12 100:1	171:6 172:1	154:18	194:4 196:9	town 25:17	196:24
102:12	172:6,11,16	156:9 160:2	Timothy	Township	197:16
103:23	175:7 180:2	167:10,11	23:22	19:9	trying 24:6
104:3 106:1	180:5,11	178:24	title 79:23	track 87:7	26:2,3
107:18	THOMAS	193:9 203:3	titled 82:3	101:15	73:18
108:1 109:6	2:4	throughout	85:2	Tracking	100:20
110:3,10	though 128:5	26:14	today 5:10	101:21	103:3,21
111:3 114:1	146:15	throw 147:1	6:2,4 8:4,6	tractor	107:6,8,15
114:6,14	157:15	thru 4:17	10:4,10	167:11	111:4 114:7
116:14	172:24	tick 103:3	11:3 16:7	transcript	117:22
117:4 118:2	thought 65:1	tied 155:17	21:19 22:9	134:18	125:11
118:4	134:7	tile 151:6	23:2 31:1	202:18	147:12
119:10,12	thoughts	till 133:7	50:20 52:3	204:10	166:18,23
126:2 129:3	60:7	Tim 1:12 5:3	64:4 72:24	transfer	169:24
130:24	thousand	time 8:9	96:16	114:5 151:2	188:11
138:13	198:16	10:11 11:10	138:20,23	170:10,11	197:5
139:20	thousands	18:18 26:9	145:23	179:24	TSD 142:23
140:21	19:22	26:10 27:3	155:19	transpired	190:14,17
142:16,23	threaten	28:9 31:17	194:3	202:2	Tuesday 1:9
145:9,20,24	52:17	32:9,22	197:12,19	travel 25:23	202:9,10
146:9	threatening	33:16 35:3	198:3,7,11	traveled	turn 8:17 9:1
149:13	19:19	37:11 39:20	200:15	26:18	9:5,18
155:1 156:4	three 7:21	48:15 52:15	202:6	treat 13:6	19:12 39:3
157:18	8:13 12:16	61:18 67:24	203:11,14	16:17	68:18 71:24
160:4 163:3	17:2 18:3	68:1,15	today's 24:8	treated	72:5,17
163:19	28:10,15	69:18 71:4	202:18	173:23	88:24
166:15	30:18,24,24	78:11 79:6	together 31:8	treatment	183:24
169:13	52:7 65:24	82:22 84:7	50:14 53:3	54:8 140:24	186:20
177:6,21	162:11	84:20 86:3	107:7,14	142:10	two 9:16 15:3
178:9 181:9	three-mile	88:7 89:4	110:5 111:5	trench 22:5	20:12 23:20
		27: 007 0000; 9 0000100000			

29:11 38:12	67:14 68:6	13:22 67:13	159:16	179:8	U.S.G.S
44:19 49:4	76:10,15	103:22	160:2,5,16	182:23	124:6
54:18 55:9	80:21 84:12	172:5	161:3,6,7	190:17	
86:23 87:24	88:13 92:7	uniqueness	161:12	used 44:6	V
87:24 95:17	93:20 108:8	22:20	162:16,21	45:3 59:24	vague 169:8
96:22	111:9 118:4	unit 2:5 5:19	163:15	63:16 72:11	valuable
107:11,13	123:13	31:5 167:10	165:1,17	131:8	16:18
107:13,20	127:9 131:7	186:19	167:24	135:24	value 162:6
109:12,20	138:2 140:3	United 36:23	168:5,12,18	136:1	162:24
123:19	143:24	74:21	177:4	140:13	values 16:12
132:23,24	144:4,11,14	182:24	182:22	160:10	25:2
135:12	144:17	units 108:23	183:8 198:8	166:13	various
143:17	150:4 152:8	147:15	198:12	168:5	120:23
147:8 149:7	154:14	190:2	unrelated	179:11,15	151:22
163:18	165:7,8	198:16,22	117:19	189:8,15	178:24
167:20	170:20	universe	untenable	190:14	vast 148:5,10
184:4,14	171:13	81:16 88:20	21:10	useful 39:5	149:13,16
185:15,18	172:16,20	106:15,17	until 8:15	108:16	158:22
185:24	173:3	116:4,7	21:8 30:1	USEPA	197:24
186:5,16	174:13,17	146:15	untold 50:22	30:20 59:4	venturing
201:23	176:10	universities	updatable	59:7 74:5,8	97:5
type 37:6,14	186:6	155:12	110:4	74:13 86:8	verify 148:14
37:23 55:10	188:17	University	update 29:18	112:20	148:18
83:14 105:9	198:8,17	179:10	upper 133:5	142:9,16	version 74:8
108:20,24	200:23	unless 72:3	137:18	181:24	74:12 84:12
111:6 112:6	202:22	150:3	upstream	187:10,17	84:14
116:19	understand	unnatural	101:22	USEPA's	versus
types 37:13	23:18 45:23	141:20	up-to-date	73:7 74:1	165:16
37:17 95:15	46:19 47:23	unpermitted	107:19	uses 66:9	very 12:8,11
167:1	48:4 49:3	36:10,11	Urgent 184:8	67:1 110:7	16:24 22:10
typical 54:7	56:8 62:20	48:5 56:24	185:2	182:21	23:10 25:15
89:19,22	66:1,2,3	57:2 104:6	usable 109:8	using 76:13	32:4 35:10
136:1 137:5	72:15 92:5	118:8,11	110:4 111:5	114:15	35:19 39:11
167:9	99:24	145:14	USDA 23:13	137:8 141:3	61:15 63:12
typo 148:22	128:22	148:3,3,7	177:17	143:8 178:4	64:9 65:1
TT	130:17	149:9,12,17	use 16:22	utilization	67:20 68:4
U	133:3 150:9	149:22	37:19 45:6	57:6 160:6	68:18 69:21
ultimately	163:1 172:3	150:1,16,18	48:21 65:10	165:12	71:1,22
13:18	173:21	150:21	66:18 79:24	169:4	96:8 98:24
195:21,23	183:4 199:5	151:11,16	89:24 112:3	utilize 57:14	106:2 109:7
197:18	understan	151:24	137:10	utilized	112:7,24
under 8:20	27:12 45:15	152:7	139:10,11	57:16	124:10
22:15 29:16	123:2	153:14,17	139:13	utmost 13:9	138:5 182:7
30:21 31:20	130:11	154:16,23	143:19	16:21	183:2,20
33:1 36:10	132:18	155:10	152:14	U.S 74:24	186:11
48:19 54:20	166:18	156:10,24	163:8 165:1	75:5,10,15	191:8
55:6 56:2,3	167:8 179:3	157:2 158:6	167:24	75:18 76:7	193:12
57:15 63:2	180:11	158:10,22	178:2,10,11	105:1	195:8 199:9
65:21 66:12	understood	158:23	178:14	178:19	199:13
	understood	130.23	170.14	170.17	
	understood	136.23	170.14	170.17	

203:17	27:18 32:8	129:24	123:15,21	168:20	165:5
viable 13:6	45:11,19	131:12	124:5,20	181:22,22	176:24
16:16	51:6 65:23	139:12	125:9 126:5	183:4,6,12	177:11
vibrant	68:20 69:17	143:9 144:3	127:24	204:12	178:14
17:13	71:6 88:23	148:4,14	130:5	ways 13:8	179:8,9,12
viewpoints	89:24 97:4	149:2,22	131:13,16	101:18	180:24
53:4	114:19	150:12	131:21	103:4,13	193:2
Vilsack	127:19	151:19,20	132:4,23	116:15	195:11
23:14	134:22	153:15	135:10,18	117:24	196:17
violating	143:19	157:2 158:7	139:19	153:3 163:9	wells 124:15
158:11	146:1 155:3	159:10,11	140:16	165:9,19	125:14,17
violation	155:13,14	159:24	141:1,8,9	weaken	127:2,9,12
56:21,22	157:14	161:24	141:15,23	52:18	127:14
89:13 90:14	161:4	162:3,7,11	142:8 151:8	wean-to-fi	129:16
90:16,18,20	176:18	162:12,17	182:24	12:24	130:5,13,19
91:4 92:13	183:8 184:4	162:23,24	184:19	weather	131:4,13,16
98:5 101:7	186:22	164:19	187:24	64:13	132:4 151:7
101:9 102:5	195:1	165:2 166:3	waters 20:6	Web 202:21	well-being
violations	196:13	166:14	20:13 36:23	203:8	50:21
88:22 89:1	199:5 202:6	170:11	74:20,20,23	website 33:1	well-known
89:3,8,14	202:20	171:2,10,12	74:24 75:4	77:16 100:9	118:6
89:17,21	wanted 62:5	171:20,23	75:5,10,10	100:14,16	were 9:5 20:7
91:16	74:7 155:2	172:11	75:11,14,18	202:15	22:14,16,21
virtually	155:21	173:19	75:18 76:6	weight 98:13	27:23 28:17
138:12	181:24	174:8	76:7 121:19	welcome	30:3,6
visit 42:2	wants 43:24	175:23	123:12	42:17	32:21 35:2
45:5	125:24	176:4,6,11	127:6	well 6:23	37:18 39:24
visited 89:7	war 22:5	178:15	133:10	12:11 19:7	43:14,17
89:20	25:7	180:20	141:21	27:5 32:4	44:5 45:1,9
visits 88:15	wash 181:9	188:3,5	144:20	39:11 44:1	53:20 62:6
voice 11:14	wasn't 21:20	198:19	151:7 196:7	44:5 45:14	62:16 71:13
volume	59:13	wastewater	watershed	49:16 50:13	84:9,21
122:20	waste 19:23	37:8,21	101:5	59:4 60:19	88:6,10,21
volumes	20:14,15,18	54:8 65:12	102:10	72:17 91:13	89:16,20,20
162:4	21:1,6	66:6 84:12	waterways	106:21	92:13 94:24
voluntarily	55:16 57:3	water 7:10	84:11	117:1	96:2,7 99:2
96:9 117:1	57:7,9 65:8	15:17,22	way 12:7	121:13	99:21
voluntary	65:10,12,16	16:2 20:1,9	19:19 21:2	122:16	103:21,23
160:19	65:18,21,23	29:9,16	37:2 51:13	123:1,23	109:14
	66:10,19,22	30:21 31:3	57:22 58:1	124:17,21	110:9
W	67:2,12	37:16 45:6	58:3,5 59:4	125:19	114:14,20
W 3:12	74:23 75:4	50:19 65:21	68:6 106:2	126:7	137:5 139:2
Wacker 3:8	75:19,23	66:10 70:5	110:10	127:24	141:3
wait 8:15	76:8 101:12	75:14 76:12	138:2	129:9,23	146:17
28:7 127:18	108:23	84:10,19	154:22	130:14	161:12
Waiting 21:8	114:4 121:7	89:2,13	156:2	137:11	182:8 184:1
want 5:9	122:12,19	101:16	157:11	145:8 146:9	186:13
10:21 16:3	123:3,20	103:14	163:7 167:9	149:5	189:17
21:18 25:3	125:5,8	113:2 122:3	167:17	153:19	weren't
	120.0,0	113.2 122.3	10,.1,	100.17	
	l	<u> </u>	I	<u> </u>	l

43:16	33:9 50:21	willingness	50:14 51:12	192:1 203:1	70:4,10,13
west 15:2	51:2 60:6	39:2 184:11	53:2,3,4,12	wrong 26:11	72:8 74:11
19:5 25:17	61:22	win 53:3	117:20	66:21	76:22 79:15
we'll 9:8	whole 64:8	winter 64:8	118:4	158:17	79:19,21
28:21 49:9	79:23 119:3	Wisconsin	177:16	wrote 97:3	80:5,23
59:15 60:3	127:6	26:22 63:20	196:24		82:23 83:3
65:4 67:8	wide 20:12	64:2,4,6,10	workable	X	84:8,14,18
68:17 76:13	wife 12:24	64:12,13,17	110:4	X 4:1	86:4,6,12
78:17	19:10 20:7	Wisconsin's	worked 8:19	T 7	86:16 88:12
112:16	25:18	63:16	106:24	<u>Y</u>	88:23 89:10
we're 14:22	Williams 2:9	wish 10:6,14	194:8	yards 20:13	89:23 90:12
19:6 35:8	4:7 28:9,19	11:20 13:23	working	yeah 62:14	90:23 91:9
37:6 56:3	28:23 32:2	27:7 31:23	13:14 21:23	103:2 108:1	91:17,19
62:7 64:3	33:13 35:8	33:4 68:22	23:13 40:14	128:4	92:9,19
68:2 80:16	39:4,12	68:24	50:13 51:1	134:24	93:3,6,18
81:7,15	43:13,17,24	152:14,14	51:7,18	137:20	93:22 94:2
87:8 98:10	44:15 46:11	199:17	110:16	164:1	94:10 96:4
107:5,7	46:14 47:5	wished 27:12	111:1	year 22:19	96:7 100:8
111:4 114:6	58:1 60:3	71:8,9	179:11	26:7 29:10	100:15
127:5,19,22	60:11,13,17	138:19	197:15	29:18 30:2	101:8,17
132:5	61:1 78:4,6	wishes 8:23	works 106:24	89:22 90:1	102:7,11,18
135:22	78:14 79:1	70:18	119:6	90:1 143:4	103:2 104:2
137:8 150:2	82:7 85:16	200:14,17	world 147:10	146:18	104:7,10,21
150:11	85:19 87:15	wishing 6:12	183:7	years 13:15	105:22
157:8	94:16,18,20	97:17	197:13	21:23 22:14	106:12,16
166:16,18	95:21 99:23	witness 31:1	worth 23:21	26:9,17	107:5,11
166:23	100:18	31:7,13	23:21	49:24 90:3	108:1,17
175:6	109:9,14,17	42:1,17	184:17	92:11 93:3	110:3,16
187:13	125:22	100:4	wouldn't	94:11	111:3,13
196:18	127:18	witnesses 9:4	82:24	106:21	113:21
we've 45:24	145:24	28:10,15,17	158:11	124:4 147:7	114:1,14,24
49:11 69:8	157:4,7,12	30:24,24	163:4	162:14	115:12,19
94:12	157:16	31:11 44:17	wrap 200:20	194:7,9	116:6,14
102:12	166:9	71:12 72:8	201:23	195:6,14,19	117:18
106:5,20	174:21	72:19	Writers	197:16	118:9,13
116:18	175:2,5,6	wondered	185:6	year's 88:10	119:10,14
158:22	175:19,21	80:19	writer's	yield 162:9	120:1,5
167:7 177:3	176:2	wondering	184:12	yielded 50:8	126:2,24
178:9	177:21	59:5 81:15	187:4,10	Yurdin 6:15	127:15
186:17	181:17	81:17 115:8	writing 37:4	31:5 32:11	132:5 146:5
193:7	185:11,15	word 64:5,5	59:3	33:7 34:11	146:9,22
195:15	willing 38:15	words 54:10	written 7:1,4	36:4,24	147:2,5,17
197:15	39:7 59:23	57:21	7:18,20	38:22 39:11	147:24
199:2	67:11	152:20	8:13 9:9	39:24 40:2	148:8,21
whatsoever	114:21	work 15:15	38:13,23	41:12,19	149:10,19
91:16 97:23	115:13	15:22,23	68:17 71:17	42:16 45:12	166:15
98:14	119:8	25:22,23	72:2 143:3	45:23 48:17	167:5,13,17
wheat 15:6	willingly	26:15 48:2	182:21	58:21 59:9	167:21
while 31:10	23:10	50:1,7,13	191:1,14	59:18 63:23	168:2,6
			,	64:15 70:1	Ź
			I	I	

196:19	109 89:10,16	150:14	186:1,12	24,500 81:18	312)419-92
Yurdin's	11 4:20 59:19	163:14	201:8	82:2,6	1:23
94:23	78:12,15,18	202:9	200 20:12	24-hour	32 4:17,17
	78:22 79:4	1600 3:8	124:20	156:23	3200 84:22
Z	79:12 81:10	17 4:23 7:13	125:8,14,19	158:2	3431 3:12
Zalewski 2:2	81:10	91:14,20	125:20	248 92:12	35 1:5 3:8 5:6
5:14	132:14	99:4,6,7	128:16	25 4:6	29:2 93:20
zero 14:4	184:20	105:13	130:12	25,000 17:4	93:23 94:2
196:2,6	11:30 69:6	110:19	200-foot	52:8	95:23 96:8
	110 24:17	195:18	127:17	26 115:5	100:7
	132:21	17th 7:3,3	130:4,10	27 204:16	106:20
\$27 17:12	135:13	17(a) 107:12	131:15,20	27(b) 200:23	188:21
52:14	137:18	17(b) 107:6	2003 29:12	28 4:7 111:16	36 4:8
\$8,000 23:9	12 4:4,21	17012:19	30:6 83:18	113:12	
ш	82:10,14,16	18 4:5,23	147:8	114:2	<u>4</u>
#	82:19,20	70:14 99:12	2004 83:17	297 88:16	4 34:1 35:1
#084-001340	12(a) 76:10	99:14	84:19		45:2 72:7
1:24	76:13	170:14,14	2008 29:13	3_	88:24
1	12,000 22:18	170:16	30:7 87:4	3 4:17 32:13	151:22
14:17 32:11	12:30 68:12	18th 6:13	87:12,19	32:17,20	187:22
32:17,20	69:4,6,9	180 188:12	147:9	33:10 44:24	4(c) 53:20
33:7,22	120 4:12	185 4:23	2010 78:8,10	45:1 72:7	4(d) 53:21
73:6 151:18	111:18	186 4:12,23	85:7	76:24 77:2	40 106:20
184:6,24	113:14,24	189 88:14	2011 73:8	77:11 82:3	154:14
1st 5:24	114:16	19 4:23 180:2	78:9 84:20	112:22	42 91:14,19
29:10	13 4:22 63:6	185:2,22	87:2,11,18	125:17	4500 12:23
109:16	83:21,24	186:1,12	88:14,22	140:6	49 4:9
133:23	84:3,5 86:5	19th 6:13,17	89:8 146:18	151:20	49th 49:19
201:14	148:23	1902 3:3	2012 1:10	184:10	
1(a) 35:17	14 4:4,23	192 4:24,24	5:24 6:11	185:5 187:2	5
1(a) 35.17 1(b) 36:9	7:19 63:6	193 4:13	7:13,19	3(b) 46:1	5 34:4 59:7,9
1(c) 38:3	85:9,13,23	1970 22:11	70:7 73:9	3(c) 46:5,12	72:7 73:17
1.9 142:2	86:1,5	1991 23:6	187:4,6	46:14	74:13 85:6
1:9 23:23	87:12	1993 143:3,5	201:11,15	3:53 203:19	110:17
10 4:19 59:19	112:19		202:9,10	30 83:6	111:1
70:2,16,20	14.2 125:3	2	204:16	300 139:16	5,000 198:22
70:23 71:2	15 4:23 26:9	2 32:12,17	205 2:14	140:5 141:4	5.308 30:21
71:10	65:6 87:13	33:8 42:9	21 1:10 4:5	143:23	50 143:11
148:13	87:14,15,19	78:5 88:12	4:24 89:3	144:6 190:1	144:2
155:18	88:5,9 89:1	88:24 138:5	192:5,7,9	300-pound	500 86:12
156:9 165:8	90:13 138:6	144:14	192:14,17	139:18	146:18,20
169:5 202:9	159:4,17	151:19	192:19	303d 20:4	147:4
10:00 1:10	15th 5:24	184:18	22 192:5	77:23 78:9	501 1:6 5:7
1021 1:10	16 4:23 65:6	202:10	201:10	184:19	29:3 75:22
2:10	70:13 73:9	2(d) 42:18	23 6:10 83:16	305(b) 77:1	181:21
104 22:13	87:15,20	2:15 138:7,12	23rd 6:24	77:11,23	501.404(b)
79:11,20	88:5 89:5	138:15	7:17	78:8 104:11	129:17
104.424(f)	89:15 90:14	20 4:23 15:9	24 156:21	110:8	501.505
8:21	91:20	185:6,22	158:1	31st 202:20	30:19
0.21					502 1:6 5:7
			•		

29:3 76:15	160:1	60601 3:9	9 4:18 58:16		
76:19	502.625	61 4:18,18	60:16 61:5		
158:17	161:23	615 140:8	61:10,14,17	1	
183:6	162:18	152:8,11	62:21 140:9		
502.106 46:7	502.630	164:12	140:15		
58:18	150:15,19	61702 2:19	155:18		
502.320(w)	502.630(a)(61820 3:4	9(a) 60:18,19		
148:20	115:3	620 161:13	9(b) 60:17,22		
502.325(b)(502.635	62705 2:15	9(d) 59:20		
148:23	163:12	62794 2:11	90 68:7 138:3		
502.325(9)	164:3	633 131:17	90,000 20:14		
148:17	502.640	635 165:6	99 4:23,23		
502.505 43:1	166:16	65 24:11	,		
188:22	168:16	657 80:10			
502.505(g)	502.640(b)				
180:7	168:8				
502.510 43:1	502.645	7 34:11 46:12			
162:17	154:18	46:15 56:17			
502.510(b)	190:11	131:14			
56:20 57:7	502.645(a)	7(b) 58:17			
58:8 150:18	150:15,20	7(c) 46:16			
150:21	504 1:6 5:7	7,000 23:8			
151:15	29:3	70 4:19			
152:1	510(b) 58:10	700 2:14			
502.510(b)(58:13	71 4:19			
154:20	152:13,15	72 24:13			
168:13	153:4 155:8	73 4:11			
502.510(b)(156:5	75 131:2,9			
164:24	158:17	75-foot			
502.510(10)	160:7 163:4	130:18,23			
149:24	165:9	131:4			
502.515 43:1	510(b)(10)	78 4:20			
502.600	154:23	79 4:20			
132:12	510(b)(15)				
154:14	150:5	8			
502.615	510(b)(2)	8 34:14 35:1			
150:22	158:16	58:16			
154:18	54 4:11	132:21			
502.615(d)(55 24:11	133:14			
143:14	58 89:19	135:13			
502.620	91:19	80s 22:16			
156:16,23	190:10	82 4:21,21			
157:21		83 4:22			
159:3,20	6	84 4:22			
161:6	6 34:8	85 4:23			
502.620(d)	6(c) 56:16	86 4:23			
156:20	60 68:9 69:3	87 4:23			
157:24	600 165:21	88 4:23			
502.620(h)	60050 3:12	9			
					- <u>-</u>